

## Legislation Details (With Text)

File #:	ALH	11-119	Version: 1			
Туре:	Appe	al-Legisla	ative Hearing	Status:	Filed	
				In control:	Legislative Hearings	
				Final action:	1/18/2011	
Title:	Appeal of Special Tax Assessment for 700 Surrey Ave for Project #: J1103B, Assessment #: 118008 in Ward 7					
Sponsors:	Kathy	y Lantry				
Indexes:						
Code sections:						
Attachments:	1. 700 Surrey AVe. EBI letter.pdf					
Date		Action By		Act		Result
1/18/2011		•	ve Hearings		ferred Under Master Resolution	
Appeal of Speci	allax	(Assessi	ment for 700 S	Surrey Ave for Pi	roject #: J1103B, Assessment #:	118008 in Ward /
700 Surrey Ave						
Cost: \$337.00						
Service Charge:	\$140	00.0				
Total Assessme	nt: \$4	477.00				
Gold Card Returned by: James Richard						
Type of Order/Fee: Boarding						
Nuisance: Fire Department had window boarded due to a dryer fire.						
Date of Orders: EBL letter sent on August 23, 2010						
Compliance Dat	:e: N//	A				
Re-Check Date:						
Date Work Done	e: Aug	gust 18,	2010			
Invoice #: 1280	)9					
Returned Mail?:	No					
Comments:						
History of Orders on Property: No						

## Legislative Hearing Officer Recommendation

Delete

James Richard appeared.

Boarding - (four (4) windows in basement)

(Fire Department had window boarded due to a dryer fire.)

Mr. Richard explained that his tenants had called him to advise him of a small fire on the property. He went to the scene and found four (4) windows were broken in the basement but there was no reason for those windows to be broken. They were openable windows that could have been opened to air out the place. The Fire Department just chose not to. His tenant stated that he was there and he couldn't believe that they broke the windows - they just went all the way around the house and broke all the windows on the basement level. The Orders say that the dryer fire was contained inside the dryer and the venting; it never burned anything else and there wasn't much smoke. Water wasn't needed. The second reason he is appealing is that he's an insurance adjuster and he knows what it costs to board windows. He was at the scene within an hour of Fire leaving. They advised his tenants that they were going to send someone out to board up the building. In order to mitigate his damages, Mr. Richard called the number that they had and told them that he was there and he would board up the windows. He had all of his tools and it would have taken him about half and hour. They told him that someone had already been dispatched and once they dispatch, they can't call them back. Three (3) hours later, he finally left (8:30) and had not boarded it up because he was under the understanding that they were still coming. They finally showed up at 9:30-9:45 and boarded them up. Mr. Richard stated that he did everything he could to prevent the windows from being boarded and still have the building fully secured.

Ms. Moermond said that it sounds as though Mr. Richard took some great steps to get it done.

Inspector Essling noted that it sounds as though he was misinformed, as well. Once the Boarding crew is called, they can be stopped - similar to dropping a vehicle off a tow truck (there is a fee).

Ms. Moermond recommended deleting the assessment.