City of Saint Paul

City Hall and Court House
15 West Kellogg Boulevard
Phone: 651-266-8560

Legislation Details (With Text)

File #:	SR 17-137 **Version:** 1		
Type:	Staff Report	**Status:**	Archived
		In control:	Legislative Hearings
		Final action:	10/3/2017
Title:	Review request of Deborah Moriarty, owner, to a Ratification of Assessment File RLH AR 17-59, adopted by City Council on September 6, 2017, for property at 1199 REANEY AVENUE (File No. J1713A, Assessment No. 178523).		
Sponsors:	Jane L. Prince		
Indexes:	Summary Abatement Order, Ward - 7		
Code sections:			
Attachments:	1. 1199 Reaney Ave.staff report.9-21-17, 2. 1199 Reaney Ave.work order.4-13-17, 3. 1199 Reaney Ave.photos.4-13-17		

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
10/3/2017	1	Legislative Hearings	Received and Filed	

Review request of Deborah Moriarty, owner, to a Ratification of Assessment File RLH AR 17-59, adopted by City Council on September 6, 2017, for property at 1199 REANEY AVENUE (File No. J1713A, Assessment No. 178523).

Cost: $144.00
Service Charge: $162.00
Total Assessment: $306.00
Gold Card Returned by: Deborah Moriarty called
Type of Order/Fee: Summary Abatement Order
Nuisance: Misc. items in yard
Date of Orders: Summary Abatement Order dated 4-6-17 was sent to Deborah M. Moriarty. Invoice was sent to her at 1199 Reaney Avenue.
Work Order #: PA 17-029016
Returned Mail?: Not an issue
Comments: Moriarty said that when she saw "car seat" on the SAO, she assumed it meant a baby car seat. But, the picture she and I looked at in STAMP showed an actual adult seat for a vehicle. Moriarty said that seat was for her obese son to use. In addition, Moriarty's daughter did not open the mail while Moriarty was away, so the SAO was not seen in time. When it turned into an assessment, Moriarty received the assessment hearing information in time, she returned the gold card, but she assumed she would get something forthcoming in the mail, which is not the case. Moriarty is not disputing that the work was done, and the items are not a result of dumping.
History of Orders on Property:

This assessment was already adopted and we're giving her a second chance to be heard. This case will not be rescheduled because this is a second hearing opportunity and the assessment rolls must be certified shortly. The recommendation is to ratify, reduce or delete the assessment will be written using the results of this hearing. -MM

powered by Legistar™