



Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: ALH 11-485 **Version:** 1
Type: Appeal-Legislative Hearing **Status:** Filed
In control: Legislative Hearings
Final action: 5/3/2011
Title: Appeal of Special Tax Assessment for 852 JENKS AVENUE for Project #: J1106E, Assessment #: 118051 in Ward 6.
Sponsors: Dan Bostrom
Indexes: Assessments, Nuisance Abatement, Ward - 6
Code sections:
Attachments: 1. 852 Jenks Ave, snow letter 12.21.10.pdf

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
5/3/2011	1	Legislative Hearings	Referred	
4/19/2011	1	Legislative Hearings	Laid Over	

Appeal of Special Tax Assessment for 852 JENKS AVENUE for Project #: J1106E, Assessment #: 118051 in Ward 6.

Delete the assessment.

Cost: \$50.00

Service Charge: \$20.00

Total Assessment: \$70.00

Gold Card Returned by: Anna Pvsyannikova

Type of Order/Fee: Snow walk-PAEC

Nuisance: Snow and ice on public sidewalk

Date of Orders: 12.21.10

Compliance Date: 12.24.10

Re-Check Date: 12.27.10

Date Work Done: 12.30.10-marked done by owner by parks crew,

Work Order #: 10-323465

Returned Mail?: No

Comments:

History of Orders on Property: SA 8.27.10 Tree obstructing traffic view. Done by owner.

Legislative Hearing Minutes 5/3/2011

Asia Original, tenant, appeared.

Ms. Moermond noted that this is a tax assessment is for Excessive Consumption. When the inspector has to go out a certain number of times, they start charging for the extra trips.

Mr. Joe Yannarely, the Department of Safety and Inspections (DSI), reported that the snow Work Order was sent out on December 21, 2010 with a compliance date of December 24, 2010. Upon re-inspection on December 27, 2010, it was found in non-compliance. A Work Order was issued. The work crew went out there on December 30, 2010 and noted that it had been done by the owner. The cost is \$50 with a service charge of \$20 for a total of \$70; the Excessive Consumption charge is based on the fact that it wasn't done upon re-inspection. He added that there is not a lot of history on this property.

Ms. Original stated that she had shoveled the walk; however, the work crew said that it had been done too narrowly. It was supposed to be wide enough so that a wheel chair could navigate through it. Ms. Original said that she was not aware that it needed to be shoveled so wide. She has lived there for two (2) years and she doesn't have extra money to pay for the assessment.

Ms. Moermond stated that Ms. Original had made a good faith effort; she will recommend that the assessment be deleted.