

City of Saint Paul

City Hall and Court House 15 West Kellogg Boulevard Phone: 651-266-8560

Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: ABZA 17-3 Version: 1

Type: Appeal-BZA Status: Archived

In control: City Council

Final action: 5/10/2017

Title: Public hearing to consider the appeal of McKenna Skrypek to a decision of the Board of Zoning

Appeals (BZA) denying a variance of the minimum floor area ratio (FAR) in order to construct a new commercial building with three business spaces in the T2 Traditional Neighborhood zoning district at

373 Ruth Street North. (Public hearing continued from May 3)

Sponsors: Jane L. Prince

Indexes:

Code sections: Sec. 66.331. - Density and dimensional standards table.

Attachments: 1. 373 Ruth CC Appeal, 2. 373 Ruth BZA Appeal, 3. 373 Ruth Staff Report, 4. 373 Ruth Resolution, 5.

373 Ruth Minutes, 6. 373 Ruth DC Letter & Maps, 7. Site Photos 373 Ruth St..pdf, 8. 373 Ruth St N.Extension Letter.1-30-17, 9. 373 Rut St N.BZA Appeal Withrawal Letter, 10. Minn Stat 15.99 Waiver

on 373 Ruth Street, 11. Saathoff email

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
5/10/2017	1	City Council	Withdrawn	
5/3/2017	1	City Council	Continue Public Hearing	Pass
4/19/2017	1	City Council	Continue Public Hearing	Pass
4/5/2017	1	City Council	Laid Over	Pass
3/22/2017	1	City Council	Denied	Pass
3/15/2017	1	City Council	Continue Public Hearing	Pass
3/1/2017	1	City Council	Continue Public Hearing	Pass
2/15/2017	1	City Council	Continue Public Hearing	Pass
2/1/2017	1	City Council	Continue Public Hearing	Pass

Public hearing to consider the appeal of McKenna Skrypek to a decision of the Board of Zoning Appeals (BZA) denying a variance of the minimum floor area ratio (FAR) in order to construct a new commercial building with three business spaces in the T2 Traditional Neighborhood zoning district at 373 Ruth Street North. (Public hearing continued from May 3)

See attached resolution.

On January 4, the Board of Zoning Appeals (BZA) duly conducted a public hearing where all persons interested were afforded an opportunity to be heard. The BZA denied the request on a 6 to 0 vote. The applicant is appealing the BZA's decision on various grounds including this project would make the site more pedestrian friendly while providing enough parking for the proposed smaller footprint building.

Does this issue fall within the 60 day rule? Yes

If yes, when does the 60 days expire? February 9, 2017

File #: ABZA 17-3, Version: 1

Has an extension been granted? Yes

If so, to what date? March 9, 2017

Sean Westenhofer 651.266.9080