



City of Saint Paul

15 West Kellogg Blvd.
Saint Paul, MN 55102

Minutes - Final

Legislative Hearings

Marcia Moermond, Legislative Hearing Officer
Mai Vang, Hearing Coordinator
Joanna Zimny, Executive Assistant
legislativehearings@ci.stpaul.mn.us
651-266-8585

Thursday, April 15, 2021

9:00 AM

Room 330 City Hall & Court House

Special Tax Assessments

9:00 a.m. Hearings

- 1 [RLH TA 21-31](#) Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for property at 143 BAKER STREET WEST. (File No. CG2004A1, Assessment No. 200163) (Amend to CG2004A1-1, Assessment No. 200169)

Sponsors: Noecker

Approve the assessment.

No one appeared

Moermond: you had a follow up conversation with her?

Clare Pillsbury: she called saying she couldn't attend today. I followed up by offering the 22nd, she emailed and said she couldn't attend that either. She wanted to move to another date.

Moermond: I am going to say we are going to not accommodate that request. This hearing was originally January 7, 2021, continued to March 3 per her request, continued to today again per her request. That is three dates and she has not shown. We will refer this to Council on May 28, 2021. Our standard practice is to offer 2 dates for Legislative Hearing, we've offered 3.

Referred to the City Council due back on 4/28/2021
- 2 [RLH TA 21-214](#) Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for property at 1957 BERKELEY AVENUE. (File No. CG2101A2, Assessment No. 210101)

Sponsors: Tolbert

Layover to LH April 22, 2021 at 9 am (unable to reach PO).

Moermond: we are calling them?

Clare Pillsbury: I asked if she wanted to attend and didn't hear back.

Voicemail left 9:17 am 4/15/21: I am trying to reach you about your appeal at 1957

Berkeley Ave. We'll try back in 15 minutes.

Voicemail 9:33 am 4/15/21: trying you again, this is our second call, I'm going to continue this to next Tuesday morning and we'll try reaching out one more time then.

[note: voicemail said Tuesday, so follow up email was sent on April 15, 2021 by Joanna Zimny confirming Thursday April 22]

Laid Over to the Legislative Hearings due back on 4/22/2021

- 3 RLH TA 21-208** Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for property at 1078 ENGLEWOOD AVENUE. (File No. CG2101A4, Assessment No. 210103)

Sponsors: Thao

Delete the assessment.

No one appeared

Moermond: looks like they are asking for deletion because of hauler billing error.

Referred to the City Council due back on 5/26/2021

- 4 RLH TA 21-190** Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for property at 1180 LANE PLACE. (File No. CG2101A4, Assessment No. 210103)

Sponsors: Yang

Delete the assessment.

No one appeared

Moermond: looks like they are again asking for deletion because of hauler billing error.

Referred to the City Council due back on 5/26/2021

- 5 RLH TA 21-207** Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for property at 820 LEXINGTON PARKWAY SOUTH. (File No. CG2101A2, Assessment No. 210101)

Sponsors: Tolbert

Reduce assessment from \$77.36 to \$32.69.

Michele Schumacher

Staff report by Clare Pillsbury: this is a pending assessment for \$77.36. The property owner stated it was currently vacant, and has been since it was purchased in October of 2020. She says she didn't know about the program until December 2020 and there were no carts at the property when she purchased. She says she paid the Quarter 4 invoice in February 2021. We show the property owner submitted an inquiry about quarter 3 assessments in October 22, and attended a Legislative Hearing in October for 963 Como Avenue, so it is impossible she didn't know about the program until December. There is no vacancy submitted for the property. Three notices of nonpayment were sent to the correct tax address. They did contact the hauler on

October 19, 2020, however it doesn't appear they requested a vacancy or temporary service hold. The payment in February was applied to the quarter 2, 2021 invoice, so we recommend approving.

Moermond: you are appealing, I heard a summary, what's going on?

Schumacher: I just received notice from Advanced they credited for \$44.37. When I made the payment to them, I was under the understanding it was for Quarter 3. The first notification I received was on November 30, 2020. I called December 9 and spoke with Alicia with the City and she didn't tell me I needed to do the vacancy form. I told her I didn't see cans, so I assume there's no trash bill. You mentioned I knew, but without cans I had no idea I would be charged. The first notification came and then I called but wasn't told I needed to do the vacancy form. I was sent the vacancy form after I called the second time. I thought I'd pay for one quarter because I hadn't received a bill. Then I was told that was actually for Quarter 4, not 3. But I now have a credit, so somehow they were notified. It is really a much smaller difference now, since they credited me for the vacancy form I think. Just about \$30 difference. I wouldn't have known I needed to fill out a vacancy form for a house without cans.

Moermond: it is rare for a single-family home to not have cans. Is this something that was discussed?

Pillsbury: we don't have a record of her requesting an unoccupied dwelling form.

Chris Swanson: in a prior conversation there was mention that the carts will go in the garage, and she hadn't looked in the garage yet.

Schumacher: I have not been in the garage.

Moermond: so they could be.

Schumacher: the doors are partially open. I haven't seen them because it is in poor shape and will be torn down. I've owned 50 properties in Saint Paul, I've only had 2 issues on 2 different properties, but I've always had the cans out next to the house or garage. The difference is \$30 now. I called immediately, had I been told by Alicia I needed to do the form to stop it, I would have done it. When I was told, I did it immediately. I wasn't trying to get away with something. I never received the opportunity to cancel. Had that been given to me in December.

Schumacher: you didn't make a connection between the Como property and this property?

Schumacher: when I called her and asked what I needed to do, she said she'd look into not having cans. No one called me and said what I needed to do. When I called back in February I was told and I got it on February 4 and returned it right away.

Moermond: what's the normal procedure?

Swanson: in this instance it would be to recommend contacting the hauler if there weren't cans. Alicia also processed every one of our UDRW forms. It sounds like she may have looked into what was going on but ultimately there was no UDRF and there should be carts at the property.

Moermond: have you investigated and looked for carts?

Schumacher: I asked my general contractor to look. I didn't ask him if he went in the garage. I just asked him if he saw carts. He said no. I didn't ask him to filter through the garage full of junk. I didn't ask him too, in all honesty. Had Alicia called back, she was super helpful, it wasn't that. If she had told me I would have done it and we wouldn't be talking. I'm not upset, it was more the principle of it, if I had been notified. Every property is so different, I did call Advanced and told them I didn't have carts. It was relatively new that were unique, I've never ran into this.

Moermond: I don't think it is, but I won't belabor the point, and Alicia doesn't work with the City anymore. Reduce to \$32.69. Along with the credit is like it was deleted.

Referred to the City Council due back on 5/26/2021

- 6 RLH TA 21-189** Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for property at 755 MINNEHAHA AVENUE WEST. (File No. CG2101A4, Assessment No. 210103)

Sponsors: Thao

Approve the assessment.

Elsie Mayard, owner, appeared via phone

Staff report by Clare Pillsbury: the property owner doesn't feel it is fair to have garbage at this property. She feels it is unfair and has been mistreated when contesting delinquent garbage assessments in the past. Our response is that under citywide garbage service, all residential properties with up to four units, including rental homes and townhomes are required to have a cart and service for each dwelling unit. There is no option to opt out and the property owner is responsible for paying the outstanding charge since service as provided for one 35-gallon cart with every other week service, and one 64-gallon cart from October through November 2020.

Moermond: why are you appealing?

Mayard: the way they are treating black people in the City of St. Paul. The people who work for the City, the way they treat black people is outrageous. One, they don't listen if you're black. Two, they don't care. There, they are using their power towards a minority. What she is saying is completely false. I have met with you so many times Marcia Moermond. You don't listen to a thing I say to you. "Pao Thao" doesn't listen to black people. He ignores black people. He is supposed to speak for people. He doesn't. What those people are doing to me, you know. Racism, abuse against a minority. The beginning of the trash program we have had meeting after meeting and I have contacted the trash company. I have had for a long time picking up my trash. They say the City tells them what to do. Then I come to you and you ignore me too. The three people involved with this are Chris Swanson, someone else, and Marcia Moermond. You know all about what's going on. Pretending you don't know, it is outrageous. I have come to your office. I told you what's going on. You ignore me too. Telling me I have no right to know or say nothing. That's a violation of my rights. Regardless of black or not, they are going to find out this isn't the case. Expect me to do something about this. Because of the pandemic, the fact is something has to be done. The trash company, I didn't have a trash can. You know that Marcia Moermond, don't pretend you don't know what's going on. You know 100 percent. I went to your office with my invoice. You ignored me too. everyone ignores me. You say you have to pay. I need to know if the law is only for white people. How do you think I have no

service and you demand I pay? You ignore me. I show you my invoice and show you I have to take my trash to the County dump because I don't have a can. You don't want to hear about it because you don't like the way I talk. White people want me to be a slave. I'm no slave of nobody. Those bills, they are false. They are not legit bills. You're causing intentional hardship. You ignore me. Those bills are false. Period. I have the right to know as well. When I ask questions, I have the right to know. Those bills sent to me are false and improper. No matter what happens I have a right to know what my bills are. I don't know what's going on. When they send me bills, the whole time the City has had this program, there's a lot of different things that keep coming to me. Which one am I supposed to pay? \$200 something dollars. I'm not sure about this four-unit thing. I don't have four units. I have a house; it is a duplex. I have a right to know what service is provided. Just because you treat me as a slave you think I have no rights. I contacted the trash company; I have a Republic supervisor coming to my house. I have a meeting face to face; they gave me their business cards. I talked to them an hour and they promised something was going to be done. Then they contacted the city and said they said No. That Chris Swanson and Marcia Moermond said no. I did my work by contacting the company. I talked with the driver. Showed them I have nothing. They told the office they didn't pick up anything and then Marcia Moermond says I have to pay. Failure to provide me with a property bill, they can't charge me nothing. This is a federal law. When you produce a bill that is false it is a crime. It is false by sending those bills to my taxes and causing me a hardship. Marcia Moermond you 100 percent know what's going on. Christopher Swanson is racist against black people and think they can do whatever they want. They want me to go to court to show how I am treated. That is going to be responsible for what you are doing. Because first of all I have the right to know, everyone has the right to know what your bill is. You tell me I have no rights to know anything. I know what you are doing in your seat and lie about everything. I had the same company for a long, long time. I have proof of my bills. There's no reason for any issue but because of racism with the City who treats me like a slave, this is the same company I have had a long time for my services. If they bring me a can and I see the proper charges, then there's no reason to have any issue of paying that bill. I owe them nothing. I pay on time. I pay all my bills to them. Why am I not paying my bills? That the issue you'll find yourself in. You have an attorney there. There is no chance for her to do anything, it is a free lawyer for you. You take advantage of minorities and it is done by you guys, Chris Swanson, and Marcia Moermond. You are well aware. Those bills are not going to be paid, failure to bill me properly. What you're doing caused me a hardship. That's what you're doing, you are abusing your power. The damage has been done and the law has to speak now. I also have an issue with Marcia Moermond, and Paula Seeley. You know what's going on. She called the police on me to put the knee on my neck. You are aware because I talked July 17. There was someone I rented a room too, they broke into the house and stole stuff in my property and when I called the police they said they can't do anything. I have no other option but to go to court to get them out. There was a lot of looting. Then I went to court and the judge got them out. Paula Seeley came over and told me, with the police, she said bad things about me. Defamation of character. I said I don't know what's going on. Then it was Paula Seeley with the officer screaming about a doorknob in the middle of the pandemic. I don't know what she told them. I asked her what the problem was. She said I have no right to know anything, I'll send you a letter. I went to Marcia Moermond saying it was harassment and I wanted to appeal. I appealed and you told me, go to court and it will be fine. I heard nothing from her, then she sent me a threat letter about going to jail. You, Marcia Moermond, are involved with that. When she moved out of the place, the judge tells the lady to give me the key. They carry all of the stolen items, the police department carried it to her car. I called someone to check something for me. The only issue was behind the sink they broke some stuff. The person that was there fixed it but then didn't allow them in.

I sent a picture to your office. Then Paula Seeley called the police on me. She wanted to come into my house to cause me harm. I'm the only one here. Marcia Moermond you know about those things. This racism needs to be stopped. You send me a paper saying I have to pay, because you want her to come to my house to cause me bodily harm. If she comes she is going to come by police. Paula Seeley wants me to pay because I didn't want her to come to my house to cause me harm. Are you kidding me? She had a beef with me without me knowing what's going on. I didn't call her. She had no right to call the police for me. You want me to let her inside my house? All she has to do is go to court and get a court order. I will let her in. Then I know they won't harm me. But her harassing me and you agreeing with her. Marcia Moermond you knew about all of those things when you go to Council and tell them whatever you want to tell them because you feel like it. You are part of the problem. The court isn't going to buy it. Marcia Moermond told me I have to pay but you know what? The racism is going to be stopped.

Moermond: you've had thirty minutes to talk. You hung up during the last hearing about the bill for the inspection.

Mayard: my phone died.

Moermond: you did bring the photos in. I agreed you brought them in to show compliance. You brought them in after the deadline and didn't let Inspector Seeley in. I split the difference, I cut it in half.

Mayard: cut in half? Look. It was Paula Seeley bringing the police. You want me to have Paula knock on my door with the police and I don't know what's going on. I ordered the police report. You think I should allow her to call the police and put a knee on my neck.

Moermond: you and I both know I never thought or said any such thing. I do listen, just because we disagree doesn't mean I don't listen and abuse my power. I try very hard to be as helpful as I can. We have talked many times about filling out the form that one of your units is empty. You haven't wanted to do that. It would make your bill smaller. Every single single-family home, no matter who owns it, is required to have service. You are not exceptional in that regard. Everyone is treated the same whether it is Summit or Edmund. I am left with one option which is treating you as I do everyone else, and you need to pay a bill as part of the program. If you feel like it is illegal, you clearly do, you can talk to the Council. You can go to District Court and have yourself heard. You've talked about wanting to do that. I encourage you to follow that and have the court look at your argument. That may be good for everyone. Here I am going to again say you would benefit by filling out the UDRW form, we can send you one again. It should decrease your bill significantly. I do wish you well, we have worked together for years. I have to treat you like the other situations--

Mayard: what other situations?

Moermond: you are one of 10,000—

Mayard: it isn't because I didn't want to pay my bill. That is not my case. I hope you didn't think that. This is the company I had for a long time. I have no reason for not paying. The case is the way you and Chris Swanson are treating black people in the City is the problem. If you didn't ignore the fact it wasn't a legit bill. "Pao Thao" the City Councilmember doesn't represent black people in the City. When you violate people's rights under the law, we are only talking because of the pandemic and the court isn't

open properly. You have to have a reason. It isn't because I didn't want to pay the bill. It is because it is false and improper. This is not acceptable and the way you are treating me as a slave isn't acceptable. And "Pao Thao" doesn't listen. I expected a phone call about this, it is a conflict of interest in the first place. You know everything that happened.

Moermond: you understand we talked is because Republic says you didn't pay your bill. The City didn't decide you didn't pay. They provided documentation you didn't pay. I would have to have financial interest to have a conflict of interest, which I do not. I am not the City Council; I am not the decisionmaker. You can provide your assessment to them, as you have done in the past.

Mayard: it is racism. I am not no dummy. I know exactly how you are treating me Marcia Moermond. You have an issue because I am a minority and don't like the way I talk. There was a white guy, you Marcia Moermond, have him getting money from the City for his house. One way or another it isn't looking good for the City because of discrimination. Slavery is over. I don't know what you're going to say about me not wanting to pay my bill. That is false. I pay every one of my bills. You are creating hardship for me by creating money owed. I it was legit; I would pay but it is false and that's the whole point. It is an improper bill.

Moermond: you've made that point before and I respect that. We do disagree on that.

Mayard: how do you disagree about a bill I say isn't mine and is improper. Republic wants to come to my house and have a document. You think it is ok because I am a slave its ok I have to pay. "Pao Thao"--

Moermond: for the record his name is not "Pao Thao".

Mayard: whatever his name is. I'm not his mother or father.

Moermond: it is D-A-I Thao, out of respect for him.

Mayard: I didn't know his name. I just know his particular name. That's the name I know for him.

Moermond: I wanted to make sure it is clear. We are going to come to a different conclusion on this. We have careful notes and a recording in case you want it.

Mayard: because of the abuse condition by you and Chris Swanson and the City Council and Paula Seeley and police putting a knee on my neck. We are going to federal court for discrimination. You cannot tell me you disagree with me. Show me the proof. You ignore me, even when I have proof.

Moermond: I have had no communication with Republic. None.

Mayard: someone told me you have a contract and talked with Swanson and he told them to take me to court. We are going to court for discrimination.

Moermond: I think that would be a good conversation to have.

Mayard: the City Council would be served as well and you as well and Paula as well and Chris Swanson as well. You have no basic reason to disagree with me. I am the person who complains, you should listen to me.

Moermond: you've been yelling at me for over 30 minutes.

Mayard: I am not yelling it is emotional stress. The stress you are causing to me. What you are doing to me is outrageous. I am not yelling; I am stressed out.

Moermond: you are stressed out very loudly.

Mayard: and when you're stressed out that's what happens.

Moermond: you're going to disagree but I am recommending approval. I am encouraging you again to fill out that form.

Mayard: you are going to be one of the defendants in that case. It is not a surprise to me. I am stressed out. You say I'm yelling. That's why I'm talking loud. I'm not that loud it is just stress. It makes you talk loud.

Moermond: again, I've arrived at a recommendation. It is just that, a recommendation.

Mayard: when we go to federal court you won't talk to me the way you are talking to me right now. They will ask you about what problem you have with me.

Moermond: you can certainly do all of that.

Mayard: racism has to be stopped.

Moermond: I certainly agree with you. I don't believe this is a question of racism, I have to treat you like everyone in the City.

Mayard: the bill is improper. I disagree because I am black.

Moermond: from my perspective that is not what is going on. I welcome that discussion in court if you want to bring it.

Mayard: I know you really don't like me because I'm a black person.

Moermond: that couldn't be less true and I do wish you well and hope things are going well. We'll have to say goodbye for today.

Referred to the City Council due back on 5/26/2021

7 [RLH TA 21-69](#)

Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for property at 197 ROBIE STREET WEST. (File No. CG2004A1, Assessment No. 200163)

Sponsors: Noecker

Reduce assessment from \$186.14 to \$155.11.

Diana Galaviz, owner, appeared via phone

Staff report by Clare Pillsbury: the reason for the appeal was they stated they only had one cart but were being charged for two carts during quarter 3, 2020. The property is listed as a 2-family dwelling and is required to have two carts. It is listed as having two 64-gallon carts. The property owner requested a seasonal form but they didn't have a

printer to compete it. Hauler records show the property owner called again July 27, 2020 and requested one of the carts be on hold. Manteza at Republic Services contacted the property owner and emailed her the temporary service hold. They sent in the form to place one of the carts on hold from July to September 2020. Staff conclude since the property owner made a good faith effort to the assessment be reduced down to \$155.11.

Moermond: Ms. Galaviz, why are you appealing? What is your perspective?

Galaviz: I don't have the original contact information; it was a young woman named Asa Vaughn. I contacted them before the June 9 email to put a hold on the cans. She is the one that emailed me the form to fill out. I filled it out but apparently when they received it, it wasn't filled out. I don't know what happened. No one informed me, so I had to contact them and they resent me the form.

Moermond: it sounds like staff investigated and confirmed that. Because that was a mistake on the hauler's part, you should be credited for that month. You shouldn't have had to fill it out twice. So that part is resolved, they are suggesting we delete that month of billing. Other concerns about the bill?

Galaviz: they have made it hard with communicating and reaching out to them, as well as them letting me know. They didn't make it easy for. If they had a written email, I think it should have been sufficient vs. having me go through this whole ordeal. January of this year was my last email to them. The customer service hasn't been pleasant. I've been dealing with them with other issues. When I took over the account after my dad passed away, I was being double billed at first. I don't trust their billing system. They told me because I was delinquent and that's why they were going to start me in July. I know some items were added to my taxes, and I'm wondering if I'm being double billed. Know what I mean?

Moermond: can you tell me, the listed owner and homesteader as Roberto and Josephina Galaviz. Is that your father or mother?

Galaviz: yes.

Moermond: did family occupy both units? Or was it a rental?

Galaviz: both. Originally I was renting the upstairs, and then when my dad passed away I moved downstairs with my mom and we rented out the upstairs.

Moermond: when did that happen?

Galaviz: about 4 years ago.

Moermond: if it was family in both units, I would have said we could go with one cart. If one is clearly a rental, we can't really go there.

Galaviz: I am getting ready to move back upstairs in June. If you wouldn't mind making a note of that.

Moermond: you have a renter upstairs and 2 carts now?

Galaviz: yes.

Moermond: you won't be needing 2 carts come June?

Galaviz: exactly.

Moermond: we'll make a note in our system. Mr. Swanson, what do you need from her to turn this into a one family situation?

Swanson: we will send you an unoccupied dwelling registration form, which allows us to turn it into operationally a single-family home. It doesn't change the zoning. It sounds like you've had some customer service issues with Republic. They have been working hard the last couple months. In the future I would ask, feel free to use the City as a resource. We tend to have more weight with the haulers. We can send an email directly to Republic to get it resolved.

Galaviz: I remember you; I know we've been in contact and you've been great at responding in the past. I appreciate that.

Moermond: we'll say the assessment of \$184.14 will be decreased to take into account the credit for that month, down to \$155.11. Staff will send you that UDRF, fill it out, you want to check "other" on the box and indicate you live upstairs and we are one family living there.

Galaviz: and if I rent it out again? I let them know?

Moermond: we're counting on you to self-monitor on that and call in and get the second can. I'm sorry you had some bureaucracy with Republic. It is not the first time we've heard that. It all helps to improve customer service.

Referred to the City Council due back on 4/28/2021

10:00 a.m. Hearings

- 8 **RLH TA 21-212** Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for property at 604 ASBURY STREET. (File No. CG2101A6, Assessment No. 210105)

Sponsors: Jalali

Approve the assessment.

Anthony Post

Staff report by Clare Pillsbury: essentially the property owner is saying they have a tenant who is not paying garbage bills or moving out and they shouldn't have to pay the assessment. Staff response is that all residential properties including rentals are required to have a cart and receive services. The property owners, not tenant, must provide garbage services. The owner is responsible for paying the outstanding charge, as service was provided from October to December 2020.

Moermond: so a tenant situation. Why are you appealing?

Post: Clare has been great to work with. She's listened to me whine a couple times. Great staff. I'm just stuck. I wanted paper in the file, every time I can. I will point out that it is my intent to move into this house. I brought the house from the previous owner with the understanding the tenant was moving out. That didn't happen, and now

because of Covid I can't evict. She's not communicative. I don't even know if she's getting services. Or if she's alive. I'm just contesting.

Moermond: we're kind of stuck on this one.

Post: I know.

Moermond: if she's doing things that create assessments it does end up with you.

Post: I know there are tenant advocacy groups, I don't want to get sideways. I understand tenant rights. I am just looking for some idea of how to proceed, or some sort of deferral until I can establish communication. It has been almost 6 months, and I'm tentative to even knock on the door. I don't want to put myself in a position to be litigated against. Any ideas? I know it is not your job, I'm just putting it out there for the record.

Moermond: I'm looking at the inspection records for the Fire C of O to see if I can find out more about what's going on there. I can definitely follow up there. It looks to me an inspector may have started an inspection cycle in 2020, but I can't figure out from the notes what the conclusion was. It looks like they didn't get into the property. Things were a bit sideways last June. I'm going to reach out to fire inspections and see what's going on. I assume they will send you an appointment letter to have an inspection. That would be an opportunity for you to speak with them. There is information on the Attorney General's website. There is a handbook on the Minnesota law site.

Post: I appreciate your time and hearing me out.

Moermond: it is going to be recommended approval because it attaches to the property not the person.

Referred to the City Council due back on 5/26/2021

- 9 RLH TA 21-202** Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for property at 1231 BARCLAY STREET. (File No. CG2101A3, Assessment No. 210102)

Sponsors: Yang

Approve the assessment.

Tried calling 10:44 am – mailbox full.

Moermond: we have tried three times to reach and unable to get through. The appellant doesn't seem to have any ownership with property. The assessment attaches to the property, not the person, and I will recommend approval.

Referred to the City Council due back on 5/26/2021

- 10 RLH TA 21-192** Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for property at 818 EDMUND AVENUE. (File No. CG2101A6, Assessment No. 210105)

Sponsors: Thao

Approve the assessment.

No one appeared

Staff report by Clare Pillsbury: they are appealing because the property owner stated they made a payment of \$233.83 and believe it should have been applied to the quarter 4, 2020 invoice. We confirmed with the hauler that the payment was applied to quarter 3, 2020. Therefore we recommend approving the quarter 4, 2020 assessment. The property owner agrees.

Moermond: recommend approval.

Referred to the City Council due back on 5/26/2021

- 11 RLH TA 21-213** Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for property at 1672 CONWAY STREET. (File No. CG2101A6, Assessment No. 210105)

Sponsors: Prince

Approve the assessment.

No one appeared

Staff report by Clare Pillsbury: property owner stated the hauler mailed the original invoice to the wrong address. County records show the current property owner purchased January 21, 2021, so they wouldn't have been able to pay the quarter 4 bill to the hauler as the bill had already been sent as an assessment. The hauler did confirm there was payment made February 16, 2021, which was applied to quarter 1. We recommend approving. I spoke with the property owner through a Karen interpreter and they understand the billing process.

Moermond: do they know they should talk to their realtor about this?

Pillsbury: I did communicate that to them.

Moermond: recommend approval.

Referred to the City Council due back on 5/26/2021

Assessment Rolls

- 12 RLH AR 21-40** Ratifying the assessment for the City's cost of providing Collection of Delinquent Garbage Bills for services during October to December 2020. (File No. CG2101A4, Assessment No. 210103)

Sponsors: Brendmoen

Referred to the City Council due back on 5/26/2021

- 13 RLH AR 21-41** Ratifying the assessment for the City's cost of providing Collection of Delinquent Garbage Bills for services during October to December 2020. (File No. CG2101A5, Assessment No. 210104)

Sponsors: Brendmoen

Referred to the City Council due back on 5/26/2021

- 14 RLH AR 21-42** Ratifying the assessment for the City's cost of providing Collection of

Delinquent Garbage Bills for services during October to December 2020.
(File No. CG2101A6, Assessment No. 210105)

Sponsors: Brendmoen

Referred to the City Council due back on 5/26/2021