



# City of Saint Paul

15 West Kellogg Blvd.  
Saint Paul, MN 55102

## Minutes - Final

### Legislative Hearings

*Marcia Moermond, Legislative Hearing Officer*  
*Mai Vang, Hearing Coordinator*  
*Joanna Zimny, Executive Assistant*  
*legislativehearings@ci.stpaul.mn.us*  
*651-266-8585*

---

Thursday, January 23, 2020

9:00 AM

Room 330 City Hall & Court House

---

#### Special Tax Assessments

#### 9:00 a.m. Hearings

- 1     **RLH TA 20-73**     Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for property at 2148 CARTER AVENUE. (File No. CG1904B4, Assessment No. 190151)

Sponsors:         Jalali

*Approve the assessment.*

*No one appeared.*

*Staff report by Clare Pillsbury: this is for appealing \$25.16 for Quarter 3, 2019. They didn't receive notice of nonpayment at the mailing address, said they spoke with Aspen to update their mailing address to one in Eagan. We did notice it was sent to the mailing address requested, therefore the payment of \$25.16 was a credit on the account, so we recommend to the approval.*

*Moermond: the only way it would make sense to not approve would be if they didn't go to the right address, but they did in this case. Approve the assessment.*

**Referred to the City Council due back on 3/11/2020**

- 2     **RLH TA 20-70**     Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for property at 1661 GURNEY STREET. (File No. CG1904B3, Assessment No. 190150)

Sponsors:         Brendmoen

*Approve the assessment.*

*No one appeared*

*Staff report by Clare Pillsbury: This is for \$117.79 for quarter 3, 2019. Resident said the balance was paid, however you can see the second page there's a payment of \$101.67 in October 2019.*

*Moermond: so the third quarter payment was made in the fourth quarter and applied to the fourth quarter bill. Recommend approval.*

**Referred to the City Council due back on 3/11/2020**

- xx3 RLH TA 20-69** Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for property at 1801 MORGAN AVENUE. (File No. CG1904B4, Assessment No. 190151)

**Sponsors:** Tolbert

*Delete the assessment.*

*No one appeared*

*Staff report by Clare Pillsbury: appealing \$31.55 for service quarter 3, 2019. Resident is appealing because after Waste Management took over Pete's they were incorrectly charged 3 late fees and 3 bags. She paid Pete's on time and didn't leave out any extra bags. Pete's didn't provide any photos of the bags, so we recommend deleting.*

*Moermond: recommend deletion of the assessment.*

**Referred to the City Council due back on 3/11/2020**

- 4 RLH TA 20-71** Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for property at 711 PARKWAY DRIVE. (File No. CG1904B3, Assessment No. 190150)

**Sponsors:** Yang

*Approve the assessment.*

*No one appeared*

*Staff report by Clare Pillsbury: \$5.12 for quarter 3, 2019. Payment wasn't submitted until September 30, 2019, it wasn't processed until after it was sent to the assessment office.*

*Moermond: it is unclear if he wrote the check with that date, or if it posted on that date. All that's going to happen is its going to become an assessment. If he paid them it's a credit on his next bill that's tiny. I'll recommend approval.*

*Pillsbury: he didn't provide us with any evidence of the check either.*

**Referred to the City Council due back on 3/11/2020**

### 10:00 a.m. Hearings

- 5 RLH TA 20-59** Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for property at 1531 HOLTON STREET. (File No. CG1904C1, Assessment No. 190152)

**Sponsors:** Jalali

*Delete the assessment.*

*No one appeared*

*Staff report by Clare Pillsbury: \$112.93 for Quarter 3, 2019. Billing error, Waste Management requested the entire assessment be removed, so we recommend deletion.*

*Moermond: did Waste Management give any indication what the error was?*

*Pillsbury: they paid Pete's Rubbish, which was transferred to Waste Management.*

**Referred to the City Council due back on 3/11/2020**

**6**      [RLH TA 20-79](#)      Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for property at 679 HOYT AVENUE WEST. (File No. CG1904C2, Assessment No. 190153)

**Sponsors:**      Brendmoen

*Layover to LH to Feb 6, 2020 for staff to follow up with communication from hauler.*

*Marguerite DeSpain, owner, appeared*

*Staff report by Clare Pillsbury: \$110.48 for service medium cart with three late fees for Quarter 3, 2019. They hadn't had a cart since January 2019, she put a sign on the cart telling them she shares with her neighbor and asked they remove the cart. We'd have to reach out to the hauler to verify that.*

*DeSpain: I didn't give her any date. I do know it was after I had a hearing already. I kept putting the cart at the beginning of the program on my grass on my curb with a sign saying I neighbor share I don't need the cart. I have been here once before and tried to talk with this committee, and you weren't empowered to do anything for people like me who have medical issues and are low income and never signed up for this program. There's \$500 here since the beginning of the program for a person who makes zero money. I don't appreciate they aren't taking responsibility for people who make it clear in writing, and even came here, that they didn't want the service. I know I can't do anything from when the vote happened. Every time I call Waste Management they point the finger at the City. When I called here, I only did twice, they point the finger at Waste Management and say I have to talk to them. Citizens and you guys have been put in this. Until it was clear from the vote you shouldn't be charging people like me, who never used the service, I shouldn't be charged. Eventually someone picked it up, it was gone. It was after I was here for the hearing, that I know. But I don't have a specific date.*

*Moermond: we spoke on April 18, 2019. At that juncture we were looking at a bill, \$98.58 including a \$2.00 service charge which was deleted, for a medium cart. We'd ask if you would move to the small cart, you indicated at the hearing not to do that because it would mean you were agreeing to the program.*

*DeSpain: after the cart was picked up I didn't think I'd be charged anything.*

*Moermond: I don't know what happened to it, I doubt that the hauler picked it up since that would go against the contract. A neighbor probably walked away with it.*

*Pillsbury: we will check with the hauler, if you refuse the cart entirely we will build in the lowest cart service.*

*DeSpain: but there's also vacation holds. But a person wouldn't apply for that if the cart was gone and thought they weren't stuck with the bill. I talked to a guy driving a car behind a truck, they said they were picking it up.*

*Moermond: you were given very incorrect information from someone who didn't know*

what they were talking about.

*DeSpain: everyone was confused, it was before the vote but after the judge's decision. I called Waste Management and they didn't know what to do. I talked to this guy in the car and he said we will pick it up.*

*Moermond: I don't have a clue what that communication would have been. You have to ask Waste Management about that, staff.*

*Pillsbury: we can check on that.*

*Moermond: lets get a follow up report from Waste Management about whether or not they have a record of picking up the cart, and can we get a customer service printout of their conversations with Ms. DeSpain so we know what they've communicated? I think what we, and you, want to do at this point is get the smallest every other week cart, but do you look at it differently now.*

*DeSpain: well I don't have a choice. What did they do on the neighbor share? That appeared like it was being considered by the Council before the vote, but what happened to that?*

*Moermond: it was never considered again by Council, it was already addressed in the contract and the contract was not reopened.*

*DeSpain: they had a discussion about it that was on the council agenda before the vote, I'm wondering if you know what happened with that discussion.*

*Moermond: there have been so many discussions, and none of them have been to change policy, but there have been a number of points in the conversation that maybe they'd like to see discussed or explored in the next contract. They may have been aired, but there wasn't an ability to do changes to the existing contract.*

*DeSpain: but at this point they all know it was a mess, after the judge ruled but before the vote, so I think Council was discussing that.*

*Moermond: I work for the Council, that didn't happen like that. They had to consider the ballot question, the lawyer question, new rates, but the contract as it stands now was approved, signed off on, and in place.*

*DeSpain: yes I know that. But this is denial to pretend this has been clear for customers or for Council. As a person who is concerned about ethics and justice before this was voted on by the people, I don't believe I should be charged. I don't believe it was right that it went to my assessment. I don't believe I should be charged until it went to vote since I made it clear I don't want it and I have a trash plan in place, there's been no complaints on my property for trash. I'm fine with them charging the smallest bin, I have to do that with no choice. I'll play the vacation hold game for when I'm in Kansas City.*

*Moermond: lets layover for 2 weeks for feedback from Waste Management on the communication. The cart disappeared sometime after the last Legislative Hearing.*

*DeSpain: yes, I don't have an exact date.*

*Moermond: I will plan on decreasing this to every other week billing level.*

*DeSpain: the City isn't honoring the requests for people who aren't using the service from before the vote? They feel its moral to still charge people? We can't oppose that here? There has to be another lawsuit?*

*Moermond: I am not the city's legal representation to be able to engage that conversation.*

*DeSpain: but you are making recommendations to the City, and I am requesting that they not charge me anything from before the vote.*

*Moermond: Absolutely, and that's going on the record.*

*DeSpain: But you, Marcia, don't think that's right.*

*Moermond: Ms. DeSpain, I haven't made a final determination yet. You're asking for more and different and I'm not inclined to answer that now.*

*DeSpain: I'm asking a separate question. You're treating me as a regular costumer who chose that. I'm asking from separately if the Council has discussed the willingness to get rid of the bill from before the vote?*

*Moermond: have people made that argument? Yes. Am I going to provide a legal analysis on that? No. I do thousands of assessments, I can't comment on something from 6 to 12 months ago.*

*DeSpain: I'm thinking of a general decision.*

*Moermond: there wouldn't be a general policy discussion, it would only be in the context as an individual like you are. Have people made that comment to the Council? Yes.*

*DeSpain: each case is looked at individually.*

*Moermond: yes. I will put a decision on the record February 6 at 11 AM.*

**Laid Over to the Legislative Hearings due back on 2/6/2020**

**7 RLH TA 20-78**

Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for property at 1016 MARION STREET (File No. CG1904C2, Assessment No. 190153)

**Sponsors:** Brendmoen

*Approve the assessment.*

*Deanna Strauss, owner, appeared*

*Staff report by Clare Pillsbury: This is for \$69.95 for a small cart every other week. Appealing because they aren't utilizing the service so they shouldn't have to pay the cost. Staff comments are that as part of the City's program all residential dwelling units must have service and there is no option to opt out. She's getting the least costly level of service, so we recommend approval of the assessment.*

*Strauss: I believe when they came up with this thing, they didn't go to the people and*

*give them an opportunity to opt out or share, I have never had trash pickup in 23 years. I recycling everything, I bring trash to my folks or to my job and at this point I don't even have money for health insurance, so I'm spending money on a service I'm not using on top of everything else going on. This is one of the last things I should have to worry about. I had no opportunity to say anything when it first came out, they said it was in the news and a lot of people asked for opt out and shared and they didn't listen. I could be sharing with my neighbor who is a disabled veteran, making his cost less. They didn't ask anyone what they thought of the whole system. Now you're putting regulations on people. The reasons such as illegal dumping and destroying the street, the school buses do more damage. We still have dumping, I have pics of piles of trash since this went into play. If they reevaluate, they realize this regulation isn't stopping the problem they said was the reason they started. I live next to a park with a lilac bush, I get stuff all the time. No one is helping me out. I'm having to pick it up and bring it in the park or put it in the neighbors.*

*Moermond: if you have a small cart every other week, why aren't you putting it in yours?*

*Strauss: I don't have a place to put the cart. I don't want to bring my trash through the living room to drip on my floor to bring it through the front. I'm paying the price for this program.*

*Moermond: your comments are helpful about sharing and opt out. That's something that will be looked at for future contracts.*

*Strass: if they didn't do it the first time around, I don't know that they will look at it.*

*Moermond: but it is being heard. There's a lot going on beyond you and I with this. We're in a year and a half of a 5 year contract. In another year and a half we'll have to lock down expectations for the next contract. Your feedback informs what the City will look at. I don't have the legal ability to opt you out of the system. What I can say is you have the smallest level of service, the dumping of bulky items is misinformation about not having the opportunity to get rid of big things, you yourself have the ability to get rid of 2 items a year.*

*Strauss: I think a lot of it isn't coming from homeowners, its coming from outside the City and people who rent or don't have a way of hauling. 3 times a year they have the thing at the Fairgrounds, but a lot of people don't even have cars, how do they move to a mattress?*

*Moermond: they move it from the house to the curb.*

*Strauss: they don't know that though.*

*Moermond: which is exactly my point. It isn't widely known. The problems you're having because of being near a park and a school is something you can talk to the Ward office about, about maybe adding cans. I'm trying to be helpful because at the end of the day I cannot change your bill. Everyone in the City is in the same place. I hear you didn't feel like you were heard, I don't have anything to say beyond the Council had a lot of public hearings and so did the Mayor, and not everyone's ideas made it.*

*Strauss: even during the lawsuit, they didn't accept the petitions, they ignored that. I went to a taping of something, and we could just sit and listen, the people on the side of trash bill were completely ignoring the truth. They told half-truths and put race into it.*

*Moermond: and I'm going to say a political conversation you had with people back then, is exactly that. The City is now operating on this having been on the ballot and the vote to keep the system in place. There is not greater voice than that. I know you oppose it, and you disagree with it. You have the opportunity to use it, you're paying for it anyways. As far as your neighbor with a disability, there is the ability to get a free walkup service for people with physical disability.*

*Strauss: I was offered that same service if I put my can in the front, but there would be a charge.*

*Moermond: likely due to the lack of disability. I'm not familiar with your parcel, do you have access to the alley?*

*Strauss: no, there's no way to get back there.*

*Moermond: ok, so you need a gate, I get there's a cost with that. And you can't bring it in front?*

*Strauss: I live by a school, teachers park there, the walkway is blocked with cars.*

*Moermond: have you ever actually used it?*

*Strauss: no.*

*Moermond: then lets not say it won't work until it has been tried. If someone is doing something illegal it's a simple phone call to make. You've talked to Council President Brendmoen's office?*

*Strauss: I've talked to the district and the Teen Zone afterschool program. They park all the way up to the stop sign, not 30 feet away like they should.*

*Moermond: I'm struggling how you're getting the message that people are illegally parking in front of your house and no one is doing anything.*

*Strauss: yes. My lot is 27 feet wide.*

*Moermond: you sound negative about pursuing it.*

*Strauss: The things I've called and complained about in the last 27 years, it gets passed over. I moved down to North Carolina and the house sent empty and I couldn't even discontinue electricity so I ended up paying bills for 8 months when I wasn't there, and when I came back they said it wasn't my primary residence so for 5 years I wasn't able to collect property tax refund. I've had a lot of issues and had no help from Rena Moran and Pappas office.*

*Moermond: and none of that is the City.*

*Strauss: I call on graffiti right away and it sits there for weeks. If they want to take care of the gang problem, they need to remove it right away.*

*Moermond: I don't know what is going on with a delay, there's a 72 hour policy on that kind of thing. I'm hearing you complain, but I'm trying to get you reactivated to try and stop some of those things.*

*Strauss: I'm giving you background so you know what I have been dealing with for 27 years. You're making me want to leave the City because of everything I've gone through, this house has been in my family for 90 years. If I pay this \$69, is that all you want me to pay and them in done? Or is this starting again for this year. The company will say if I pay this, they will backdate it here and here.*

*Moermond: the charge for a cart every other week third quarter is \$60.83 and three late fees bring it to \$69.95. That \$60.83 would be due and owing for fourth quarter of 2018 and all four quarters of 2019. In January of 2020 there is a new lower rate of \$57.60. So when you get billed by the hauler, you can pay it, or after a late fee. If you wait too long they will have already sent it to the City for assessment. Will it keep happening? Yes, for all the other bills. Are there any other pending assessments?*

*Pillsbury: yes, from October to December 2019 for the same amount as this bill.*

*Strauss: so how much will they backdate?*

*Moermond: the computer screen shows what is currently active in 2020. Things that got voted on in 2019, which would be if you hadn't paid quarter 4, 2018 and quarters 1 and 2, 2019 if you didn't pay and they already went to assessment. So it would already be on your proposed tax bill you got in the mail. I'm hearing from staff that that is the case. Would you like me to talk to the Ward office about the parking issue on the corner?*

*Strauss: you might have a better shot than me.*

*Moermond: I will give them a heads-up that you will be calling. I'd like to make this more livable to you. I don't know why the graffiti concerns weren't addressed, if you have a specific address you want to send our office we can look at. Hopefully we can make some forward progress with some of this, unfortunately that won't be the garbage bill.*

**Referred to the City Council due back on 3/11/2020**

## 11:00 a.m. Hearings

- 8 RLH TA 20-74** Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for property at 1000 HUBBARD AVENUE. (File No. CG1904C4, Assessment No. 190155)

**Sponsors:** Thao

*Reduce assessment from \$117.80 to \$60.83.*

*No one appeared*

*Staff report by Clare Pillsbury: appealing special tax assessment for \$117.80 for large cart nad 3 late fees quarter 3, 2019. Her and her husband bought the house July 11 and never got a bill for this quarter. They also want to make sure they are only paying for a small, not a large cart. The resident received the nonpayment notices, it went to previous owner under her name. They contacted Republic July 8, 2019, to request a small cart. Therefore we recommend it is reduced to \$60.83 for the small cart.*

*Moermond: so recommended.*

**Referred to the City Council due back on 3/11/2020**



- 9 [RLH TA 20-72](#) Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for property at 834 IROQUOIS AVENUE. (File No. CG1904C3, Assessment No. 190154)

**Sponsors:** Prince

*Staff to speak to PO about reducing cart size. If they go down to small every other week cart, reduce assessment from \$115.98 to \$69.50.*

*No one appeared*

*Staff report by Clare Pillsbury: \$115.98 for service quarter 3, 2019, appealing because they take their garbage to their business they own in Maplewood. Staff comments are that under Citywide garbage service, all residential properties up to four units are required to have a cart and receive service for each dwelling unit, with no option to opt out. Therefore, staff recommends approving the assessment.*

*Moermond: is this for a medium cart?*

*Pillsbury: yes with additional fees. We'd have to look into what those are.*

*Moermond: what I'm wondering is it seems like they would be well served to be in the every other week small container, do we have contact info?*

*Pillsbury: I believe we have it.*

*Moermond: lets reach out and ask them, I will decrease it to \$69.50 if they go to the small every other week, and the ones moving forward, but they need to switch cart size. I also want a breakdown of the bill. Layover for 2 weeks.*

**Laid Over to the Legislative Hearings due back on 2/6/2020**

- 10 **RLH TA 20-77** Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for property at 755 MINNEHAHA AVENUE WEST. (File No. CG1904C4 , Assessment No. 190155 )

**Sponsors:** Thao

*Reduce assessment from \$180.46 to \$139.00 (the cost of 2 small bi-weekly carts).*

*Elsie Mayard, owner, appeared*

*Mayard: I need your card and I need a copy of any assessment that you claim you have for me. Everything you have for me I need a copy today.*

*Moermond: you got a letter in the mail about the proposed assessment. You didn't bring it with you, but that's what we have. I would suggest you take notes since you didn't bring your paper.*

*Mayard: I don't like the way you're treating me period. I'm not a slave of yours. You're telling me I have a bill. I don't have a bill. You did whatever you wanted to.*

*Moermond: you know I need to create a legal record of why we're talking today. You are going to tell me you didn't get the bill?*

*Mayard: you have something against me, you treat me like some sort of slave.*

*Moermond: no ma'am I won't accept that characterization.*

*Staff report by Clare Pillsbury: This is for \$180.46 for quarter 3, 2019. Resident states they do not want to pay for service that was not provided during the quarter and--*

*Mayard: THAT IS A LIE. I make it clear I'm no one's slave.*

*Moermond: I have never said that in a million years.*

*Mayard: you treat me that way! You slander my name, about something that didn't happen. You didn't listen to me! I said I don't have a bill. The federal law false bill act. You can't give someone a bill for service you didn't provide to them. They gave me ZERO service. I don't have welfare. I'm supporting myself. I have no service from a company you're working with. Then you sit in your desk telling me this is my bill. Don't treat me like a slave. You listen to everything else but when I say I don't have a bill but you won't listen to me. They never provided service to me since Republic. Under false bills act you are breaking the law.*

*Moermond: you've been yelling at me, it is your choice to testify at Council.*

*Mayard: I asked for a copy and you told me you don't have it.*

*Moermond: I need a staff report.*

*Mayard: they are making up lies. When is this going to Council?*

*Moermond: it is in the letter you got.*

*Mayard: I am not nobody's slave. I don't have a bill. You didn't listen to what I said. You all refuse to listen. We're going to have to go court, the way you treated me like a slave, like a piece of garbage. Stop! Nobody is above the law.*

*Moermond: lets complete the staff report and complete the recommendation on the record.*

*Staff report by Clare Pillsbury: \$115.98 for service quarter 3, 2019, appealing because they take their garbage to their business they own in Maplewood. Staff comments are that under Citywide garbage service, all residential properties up to four units are required to have a cart and receive service for each dwelling unit, with no option to opt out. There are two photos attached on the report. Therefore, staff recommends approving the assessment.*

*Moermond: there's only a photo of one. In the past she testified she delivered them to a park to give them back to the City. She was re-delivered them, she has a lot of reasons she doesn't want to use the service. I don't know if she has both carts now or not, but it is a duplex. Is this the minimum bill?*

*Pillsbury: she has a medium and large, so no.*

*Moermond: we worked really sincerely hard with her a couple bills ago, trying to get her to fill out the paperwork indicating she was the only person living in it, and filling out the vacancy form, so we could decrease it. She had it almost completed and didn't want to*

*sign it. In other cases where people have refused to be participants in the program we've reduced them to the smallest can and service. I'm wondering if we can't do the right thing, I know there's an adverse relationship so trading out the cans might be hard, but wondering if we can do that. We do need to have two carts there unless she fills out the vacancy form. If we went to \$69.50 for two carts, we'd be looking at \$139.00 for quarter 3 2019. I imagine she has another unpaid bill for the fourth quarter?*

*Pillsbury: yes, for quarter 4, 2019.*

*Moermond: can we make the same change on that, and reach out to the hauler about why there's no purple lid there and this is a special circumstance?*

**Referred to the City Council due back on 3/11/2020**

- 11 RLH TA 20-67** Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for property at 1697 ROSE AVENUE EAST. (File No. CG1904C4, Assessment No. 190155)

**Sponsors:** Yang

*Approve the assessment.*

*No one appeared*

*Staff report by Clare Pillsbury: this is for \$80.90 for one small cart and 3 late fees quarter 3, 2019. Appealing because they paid their bill. The payment wasn't submitted until November 8, 2019 which was quarter 4, and was processed after it was sent to the City. Therefore, the payment was applied as a credit. Therefore, we recommend approval.*

*Moermond: so recommended.*

**Referred to the City Council due back on 3/11/2020**

- 12 RLH TA 20-81** Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for property at 1062 RYDE STREET (File No. CG1904C4, Assessment No. 190155)

**Sponsors:** Brendmoen

*Delete the assessment.*

*Lynda Jones appeared*

*Staff report by Clare Pillsbury: appealing for quarter 3, 2019. Appealing because she requested the amount of \$1.91 be on the next bill. Highland said they were going to refer the assessment to the City. Staff would have to look into it further.*

*Moermond: I recommend deleting, but why did you come down here?*

*Jones: when the lady called me and told me I short paid, I asked if she could put it on the next bill, she said no. She could have just done that instead of touching my taxes.*

*Moermond: if it was incorrect it was an oversight, it wasn't like you purposely didn't pay \$1.91. I'm disappointed with Highland that you're in this situation, but I will recommend it gets deleted. Staff will follow up with Highland about their customer service.*

**Referred to the City Council due back on 3/11/2020**

- 13     **RLH TA 20-80**     Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for property at 1364 SEVENTH STREET EAST. (File No. CG1904C4, Assessment No. 190155)

**Sponsors:**     Prince

*Delete the assessment.*

*Debra Johnson, owner, appeared  
Ariana Johnson, daughter, appeared*

*Staff report by Clare Pillsbury: [there was a mix-up on the intake form so no staff report was given]*

*Ariana Johnson: last time she was here, Diana Chao called the trash company to confirm the vacation hold, but they weren't set up to do that right, so she wanted it credited the payment we did pay while we were gone for no trash pickup onto a different bill, so credited forward when we were back. I think that's that bill.*

*Moermond: First quarter of 2019 is what we talked about last time.*

*Mai Vang: Bill was for \$110 for medium cart and 3 late fees, got a service hold from October to 2018 to March 2019, and she needs to look into whether they were credited for quarter 1. Ms. Chao said this was about timing, it was requested for 2 quarters so there shouldn't have been a bill for quarter 1. She asked the Johnsons to see if they paid for quarter 4, she said she didn't remember, Ms. Chao was going to check. If they didn't pay they will be square, if they did they will have a credit. Following up, on August 8, 2019, they paid quarter 2, no credit was given and you recommended deletion of Quarter 3 as credit.*

*Moermond: that makes sense to me, I think we need to put together the billing record from the hauler and attach that to this file so we can look at them together. Basically we should have no charges for fourth or first quarters, we have a problem with the leapfrogging of the credit. Lets get an account summary. We're going to delete this without further issues.*

**Referred to the City Council due back on 3/11/2020**

- 14     **RLH TA 20-68**     Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for property at 1572 YORK AVENUE. (File No. CG1904C4, Assessment No. 190155)

**Sponsors:**     Yang

*Approve the assessment.*

*No one appeared*

*Staff report by Clare Pillsbury: this is for \$4.80 for a late fee for medium cart for quarter 3, 2019. She did say she paid the assessment, but she also stated that she had already paid the assessment on August 1, 2019 and then paid again January 12, 2020. The payment was made on Aug 2, 2019 was for Quarter 2, 2019, the July 3 assessment was valid. We recommend approving the assessment.*

*Moermond: so she has a couple late fees because she was late.*

*Pillsbury: we do have a copy of this.*

*Moermond: has this been communicated back to her?*

*Pillsbury: not yet.*

*Moermond: lets do that, and I will recommend approval.*

**Referred to the City Council due back on 3/11/2020**

### **Special Tax Assessments-ROLLS**

- 15 RLH AR 20-22** Ratifying the assessment for the City's cost of providing Collection of Delinquent Garbage Bills for services during July to September 2019. (File No. CG1904B3, Assessment No. 190150)  
**Sponsors:** Brendmoen  
**Referred to the City Council due back on 3/11/2020**
- 16 RLH AR 20-23** Ratifying the assessment for the City's cost of providing Collection of Delinquent Garbage Bills for services during July to September 2019. (File No. CG1904B4, Assessment No. 190151)  
**Sponsors:** Brendmoen  
**Referred to the City Council due back on 3/11/2020**
- 17 RLH AR 20-24** Ratifying the assessment for the City's cost of providing Collection of Delinquent Garbage Bills for services during July to September 2019. (File No. CG1904C1, Assessment No. 190152)  
**Sponsors:** Brendmoen  
**Referred to the City Council due back on 3/11/2020**
- 18 RLH AR 20-25** Ratifying the assessment for the City's cost of providing Collection of Delinquent Garbage Bills for services during July to September 2019. (File No. CG1904C2, Assessment No. 190153)  
**Sponsors:** Brendmoen  
**Referred to the City Council due back on 3/11/2020**
- 19 RLH AR 20-26** Ratifying the assessment for the City's cost of providing Collection of Delinquent Garbage Bills for services during July to September 2019. (File No. CG1904C3, Assessment No. 190154)  
**Sponsors:** Brendmoen  
**Referred to the City Council due back on 3/11/2020**

**20 RLH AR 20-27** Ratifying the assessment for the City's cost of providing Collection of Delinquent Garbage Bills for services during July to September 2019. (File No. CG1904C4, Assessment No. 190155)

**Sponsors:** Brendmoen

**Referred to the City Council due back on 3/11/2020**