

City of Saint Paul

15 West Kellogg Blvd. Saint Paul, MN 55102

Minutes - Final Legislative Hearings

Marcia Moermond, Legislative Hearing Officer Mai Vang, Hearing Coordinator Jean Birkholz, Hearing Secretary legislativehearings@ci.stpaul.mn.us 651-266-8585

Tuesday, July 7, 2015

9:00 AM

Room 330 City Hall & Court House

9:00 a.m. Hearings

Special Tax Assessments

1 RLH TA 15-349

Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for Real Estate Project No. J1506E, Assessment No. 158308, at 1217 ALBEMARLE STREET

Sponsors: Brendmoen

No show; approve the assessment.

Referred to the City Council due back on 8/5/2015

2 RLH TA 15-323

Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for Real Estate Project No. VB1509, Assessment No. 158816 at 400 BATES AVENUE. (To be laid over to September 15 Legislative Hearing and October 7 Public Hearing) (Amended to File No. VB1509A, Assessment No. 158829)

Sponsors: Finney

Gary Rosenbaum, owner, and Jane Prince, Attorney, appeared.

Inspector Joe Yannarelly, Vacant Buildings:

-Mr. Seeger said that he was out there recently and apparently, Mr. Rosenbaum is very close

-our position is that we are not worried so much about the fee; just want to get everything signed-off

Ms. Moermond:

-is of the same mind

-building permit is finaled

-list is from Jul 2014 - what was left to do at that point

Mr. Yannarelly:

-the VB file was opened Feb 21, 2007; so, we are looking like 4-5 months into this year

-Mr. Magner talked with Mr. Seeger; apparently, there's just a very short list; he like to have him finish off the list; and we'll deal with the VB fee as leniently as possible; so, he can put that money into the rehab

Mr. Rosenbaum:

-he's doesn't know when he'll finish; he's not allowed to be on a ladder for a while and he doesn't have a car; he has the paint to do one side; he can scrape the lower part but he can't go up and do the rest until he's healthy enough to do it; at the rate that his health is progressing, he'd say that it'd be next spring when he could be totally finished because everything is slower with him at the moment

Ms. Moermond:

-asked it's sought any assistance; there are some programs designed for people with lower incomes to help with situations like this

Mr. Rosenbaum:

- -he has not sought assistance; he's been overwhelmed with many things
- -he makes \$400 a month; he has the materials to finish that one paint job; he can probably get a friend to come and help this summer
- -he doesn't have disposable money to buy batteries or anything
- -\$400 a month doesn't cover his bills; he is trying to work with Social Security it's difficult for him
- -he's not going to ask friends to give him money

Ms. Moermond:

- -there's a short list of things that needs to be done before this place can be legally occupied
- -this was originally in LH in 2008; and again in 2009 when you had long lists; and now, you're almost there

Ms. Prince:

- -she's a neighbor and Mr. Rosenbaum's legal representative
- -she will work with Gary on completing this list in a timely way; there are a number of neighbors who are very invested in this property because it's a community asset

Mr. Rosenbaum:

-Jim Seeger came out last summer, not recently

Ms. Moermond:

-understands that Mr. Seeger was trying to contact him so he could take a look -you need to talk to Mr. Seeger - get him out there

Mr. Rosenbaum:

- -he's been fighting with Sprint and his phone went dead; so, he has another number -he can do all the things this summer except for replacing the roof covering and he doesn't have money to do that the shingles need to be replaced; they are curled and damaged
- -he's in a class action suit with the Attorney General over the defective shingles that were put on in 2004 and that's in progress
- -he can't get up on the roof anyway

Ms. Moermond:

- -that's why you need some help to do that; it needs to be done this year
- -there are a lot of options without the law suit; Ms. Prince is ready to help you find those alternative ways to handle this, along with the roofing
- -in not happy about his being in the house
- -you need to be in a code compliant house as quickly as possible
- -let's get everything done quickly

Mr. Rosenbaum:

-he has nowhere to live

-he has no source of income; he can't work; nothing is coming through with Social Security

Ms. Moermond:

-knows that a House Calls Social Worker has been in contact with Mr. Rosenbaum

Ms. Prince:

-will arrange an appointment with Jim Seeger to go through the list; and come up with a plan

Mr. Rosenbaum:

-this house is better than 95% of the houses on the East Side as far as being code compliant; everything is brand new in it

Mr. Yannarelly:

-maybe the roof could be dealt with at a later date; don't need to get the roof replaced right now in order to get a Code Compliance Certificate

Ms. Moermond:

-is willing to look at a further reduction than half of the VB fee because of his circumstances (having fallen off the ladder and having money concerns, etc.) -let me know what kind of plans develop

-Ms. Prince can give you sound advice about housing, in general

-will Lay this Over to Sep 16, 2015 LH at 9 am

To be laid over to September 16 Legislative Hearing and October 7 Public Hearing.

Referred to the City Council due back on 7/15/2015

3 RLH TA 15-354

Deleting the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for Real Estate Project No. J1511A, Assessment No. 158524 at 530 CHARLES AVENUE.

Sponsors: Thao

7/9/15: Owner called and stated he misunderstood the public hearing and wants to reschedule. Rescheduled to July 21.

7/7/15: No show; approve the assessment.

Laid Over to the Legislative Hearings due back on 7/21/2015

4 RLH TA 15-344

Deleting the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for Real Estate Project No. J1510G, Assessment No. 158411 at 581 COOK AVENUE EAST.

Sponsors: Bostrom

Delete the assessment; property owner had service and called inspector; message was not received because inspector was on vacation. (No hearing necessary.)

Referred to the City Council due back on 8/19/2015

5 RLH TA 15-355

Deleting the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for Real Estate Project No. J1511A, Assessment No. 158524 at 751 COOK AVENUE EAST.

Sponsors: Bostrom

Delete the assessment.

Ka Houa Moua Vang, owner, appeared. Mai Vang interpreted.

Inspector Paula Seelev:

- -Summary Abatement Order sent Apr 22; compliance Apr 26
- -pre-aurhorized work order for a cost of \$288 + \$160 = \$448
- -sent to Ka Houa Moua Vang and Tou Yeng H. Vang at 1876 Mechanic Ave, St. Paul and Occupant
- -no returned mail
- -garbage, rubbish on side of garage
- -photo

Mr. Vang:

- -he cleaned up the front and sides of the garage (talking about the Mar 10 SA instead)
- -he did not received the Apr 22 Summary Abatement Notice; wasn't aware of this issue

VIDEO - city cleaned up

Ms. Moermond:

-that's not the same as what's shown in this photo; this was cleaned up by the time the crew got there

-will recommend deletion

Referred to the City Council due back on 8/19/2015

6 RLH TA 15-350

Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for Real Estate Project No. VB1510, Assessment No. 158822 at 1164 COOK AVENUE EAST. (Public Hearing to be continued to September 2, 2015)

Sponsors: Bostrom

Craig Gelderman, owner, appeared.

Inspector Joe Yannarelly:

- -Vacant Building file opened Mar 27, 2012; changed to a Category 2 VB in Sep 2014
- -no code compliance inspection report
- -no permits
- -just the annual VB fee of \$2025 + \$155 service charge = \$2180
- -2 complaints on the property; no Work Orders

Mr. Gelderman:

- -he acquired the property from his mother's estate in 2014; she had been in a nursing home for several years; so, there was no work done on the house
- -2012, Inspector James Thomas came out and listed 7 deficiencies, which were pretty superficial; they have all been taken care of
- -he has asked Mr. Thomas to come back out to inspect the house; he sent him the documentation for a Fire Certificate of Occupancy application
- -has the smoke detector affidavit; existing fuel burning equipment safety test report
- -he painted the walls and replaced the bottom step support
- -thinks all is done; waiting for Mr. Thomas' response

- -sell or rent
- -it's a small house and has been in the family since 1920's
- -thinking about keeping it; has one daughter a senior in college; and one that just recently graduated

Ms. Moermond:

- -sounds like you're really close to being finished with the repairs
- -simplicity/cost wise, she would prefer that he get his Certificate of Occupancy
- -gave him Fire Inspector Leanna Shaff's phone number; she is supervisor for the eastern half of the city; call her and schedule a Certificate of Occupancy inspection per my direction
- -if all is done within the next 6 weeks, we will delete the VB fee
- -City Council Public Hearing is scheduled Aug 19; at that time, she will ask them to Lay this Over for 2 weeks (Sep 2)

Mr. Yannarelly:

-will change this to a Cat 1 VB

Ms. Moermond:

Owner to schedule a Fire C of O inspection and if he gets his Fire C of O re-instated by September 2, the assessment will be deleted. PH to be laid over to Sept 2, 2015.

Referred to the City Council due back on 8/19/2015

7 RLH TA 15-351

Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for Real Estate Project No. VB1510, Assessment No. 158822 at 25 ELIZABETH STREET EAST.

Sponsors: Thune

Jonathan Gutierrez Rivera, owner, appeared.

Ms. Moermond:

-she had asked for some follow-up; we held the VB fee for 90 days

Inspector Joe Yannarelly:

- -there is code compliant inspection report as of Jun 16, 2015
- -Vacant Building fee: \$1440 + \$155 = \$1595

Mr. Rivera:

- -they didn't allow him to pull permits
- -is here today to understand where we are at
- -he got the report and is ready to pull permits

Ms. Moermond:

- -in Jan 2015, you appealed the Registered VB; you appealed that and she waived the VB fee for 90 days while you were to finish the project
- -in the meantime, you got the code compliance inspection but didn't pull permits or start the work
- -you've been in the VB Program since Jan 13, 2015

Mr. Rivera:

-will be done with the work before the permits expire (6 months)

Ms. Moermond:

-you've been in the VB Program for more than half a year and we already did a

waiver

-will recommend approval of this VB fee assessment and if you want to appeal further, go to the City Council meeting in Aug and tell them where you are at

Mr. Rivera:

-last time, when I was here, you mentioned that you were going to do something in the taxes; that's why I'm here

Ms. Moermond:

-we can do a payment plan; it would divide this assessment over 5 years on the property taxes; you would be billed 1/5 of the amount for the 2016 property tax year

Mr. Rivera:

-when I was here last time, after those 90 days, you said that I could pull permits right away but when I went to DSI, it was a totally different story; we went back and forth; finally, they said that I needed the code compliance inspection report - so, I'm I good now to pull that permit or not?

-that other guy from the city (Dornfeld) said that I was good to go to pull permits

Mr. Yannarelly:

-found a note from Mr. Dornfeld in May, Inspector Dornfeld said, "Legislative Hearing on May 12; Denied Appeal fees to go to assessment; Allow permits to be pulled"

Ms. Vang:

-Mr. Rivera came twice: once in Feb and then in May

Ms. Moermond:

-he said you could pull permits but someone at the DSI desk, somehow didn't get that message

Ms. Vang:

-the first VB fee he appealed, Ms. Moermond recommended a 90-day waiver and allowed permits to be pulled

-the second one, you denied the appeal but allowed permits to be pulled

Mr. Yannarelly:

-the VB folder has a notation about Jan 14 allowing permits but nothing following it; so, someone didn't make that notation in there

Ms. Moermond:

-so, you are ready to pull permits now

Mr. Rivera:

-that's what I want to clarify

Mr. Yannarelly:

-you should be able to pull permits now because your VB fee has been assessed

Ms. Moermond:

-go pull permits; let's see how far you can get by the time this is up for public hearing; if you can get some of this done, I'm much more likely to look at decreasing it; if it's not until the end of the year, she will have a hard time decreasing it

-Mr. Yannarelly will have this all straightened out so that you will be able to pull permits today

Mr. Yannarelly:

-he will email Mr. Soley now for him to put in a note in the file that permits are allowed to be pulled because the VB fee is being assessed

Ms. Moermond:

-if the front desk isn't giving you permits, you tell them to contact Mr. Reid Soley

Approve the assessment and spread the payments over 5 years.

Referred to the City Council due back on 8/19/2015

8 RLH TA 15-356

Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for Real Estate Project No. J1511A, Assessment No. 158524 at 967 FREMONT AVENUE.

Sponsors: Finney

Yongyong Zhang, owner, and a friend, Quoc, appeared.

Inspector Paula Seeley:

- -Summary Abatement Order sent Apr 7; compliance Apr 13; re-checked Apr 13
- -work done Apr 15 for a cost of \$412 + \$160 service charge = \$572
- -no returned mail
- -sent to Yongyong Zhang and Shichun Han, 810 Niagara Ln N, Plymouth, MN; and Occupant
- -remove overflowing garbage container in rear yard
- -photo on screen

Mr. Zhang:

- -a rental house
- -he didn't get a Notice about the clean-up; he just the letter to come here

VIDEO - city emptied Waste Management container, rubbish on the ground, tire, table, etc.

Ms. Moermond:

-staff said they mailed this Notice to you at Niagara Ln and they didn't get the mail back again; the city met their requirement

Mr. Zhang:

-he has not problem paying; he just didn't know what this was about

Inspector John Peter Ross:

-the tires, insulation, cabinet, duct work, etc, were added by the time the crew came out

Ms. Seeley:

-general garbage fee: \$260; general refuse fee: \$112; Auto tires: \$40; + code enf. fee

Ms. Moermond:

- -she hears all the time that people didn't get the Notice
- -the Orders were written specifically for overflowing garbage container in rear yard; the Order wasn't written for tires or furniture, etc; those were added later, which means that you weren't given notification that those things, as well, needed to be dealt with
- -she will delete those fees off the bill
- -reduced fee from \$572 to \$420

- -you need to go by this property more frequently
- -there's a problem with garbage/trash pick-up; make sure that there's a pick-up service

Ms. Seeley:

-2 weeks after that we got a complaint: 2 garbage cans from Waste Management are behind the garage, overflowing with garbage; garbage has not been collected for over a month; Inspector Hoffman went out there and said that the containers were empty; he called and the service is now current

Ms. Moermond:

Reduce the assessment from \$572 to \$420.

Referred to the City Council due back on 8/19/2015

9 RLH TA 15-345

Deleting the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for Real Estate Project No. VB1510, Assessment No. 158822 at 80 HATCH AVENUE.

Sponsors: Brendmoen

Scott Beck, Certificate of Occupancy Responsible Party, appeared.

Inspector Joe Yannarelly:

-Vacant Building registration fee: \$2025 + \$155 service charge = \$2180

-anniversary date: Apr 10, 2014

-got his code compliance certificate on Jun 30, 2015

-just 2 1/2 months into the year

Ms. Moermond:

-will recommend deletion

Delete the assessment.

Referred to the City Council due back on 8/19/2015

10 RLH TA 15-357

Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for Real Estate Project No. J1511A, Assessment No. 158524 at 1030 MACKUBIN STREET.

Sponsors: Brendmoen

Thomas Huynh, owner, appeared.

Inspector Joe Yannarelly:

-Summary Abatement Order sent Apr 1; compliance Apr 6; re-checked Apr 6

-work done Apr 8 for a cost of \$316 + \$160 service charge = \$476

-failure to maintain exterior property

-sent to Howard R. Merrill, trustee, 1887 Merrill St, Roseville, MN

Mr. Huynh:

-purchased house Apr 16; doesn't think that this assessment is his responsibility because he didn't own the house on Apr 1

-the title company didn't tell him anything about this

Ms. Moermond:

-when you buy the property, you also buy it's debt - it attaches to the property

- -the previous owner got the Notice
- -this clean-up happened only 1 week before you purchased the property
- -at closing, the seller was supposed to tell you about pending assessments, Orders, fees, etc.
- -you have to pay this bill
- -you can contact the realtor/previous owner and tell them that they neglected to inform you

VIDEO- city removed fire debris, wood, glass, etc.

Ms. Moermond:

-will recommend approval of this assessment

Approve the assessment.

Referred to the City Council due back on 8/19/2015

11 RLH TA 15-346

Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for Real Estate Project No. J1511A, Assessment No. 158524 at 503 OHIO STREET.

Sponsors: Thune

Yi Chen, Certificate of Occupancy Responsible Party, appeared.

Inspector Paula Seeley:

- -Summary Abatement Order issued Apr 3; compliance Apr 13; re-checked Apr 14
- -work done Apr 15 for a cost of \$430 + \$40 service charge = \$470
- -sent to Bonnie Chen, 503 Ohio St; Gerald J Schult/Bernice M Schult, 9 Oakridge Dr, South St. Paul
- -mail was returned from Bonnie Chen but owner Gerald Schult received it
- -large TV outside near dumpster area

Mr. Chan:

- -his mother, Bonnie, owns this property but she does not live there
- -his mother is buying it Contract for Deed; it's an apartment building and she has never lived there
- -did not get anything in the mail; the mailing address was for Gerald and Bernice Schult (previous owners); he's lucky that he got this
- -his parents and he share the same address
- -this is the first time he's hearing about this
- -the City of St. Paul gave him a Certificate of Occupancy every year; they have my address; so, I don't know why I didn't get Noticed
- -he gets trash dumped constantly at this place: mattresses, couches, the whole neighborhood seems to dump there, so, he constantly has to clean things up; he doesn't even know what garbage this is talking about (assumes from the letter)

Ms. Moermond:

-the address we have for Bonnie Chen, 503 Ohio, is the one that she/her title company would have filed with with Ramsey County Taxation or the title office;

Ms. Seeley:

-at the time, Ramsey County did not have Mr. Chen's address at 6836 Park Ave S, Richfield, MN 55423; so, he didn't get the Orders

VIDEO - city picked-up TV outside the dumpster at the rear of apt 205 at 503 Ohio St

Mr. Chen:

-if he had known about it, he would have removed it

Ms. Seeley:

-he got his C of O Certificate on May 13, 2015

Ms. Moermond:

-this Order was issued 6 weeks before the address was changed

-the city did the clean-up and they did notify the owner of record; they had your mom's name but her wrong address

Mr. Chen:

-he's not profiting much from this building; there's so much work to be done; and then, he feels so embarrassed - how do you clean something up that you not notified about? He feels is just unfair because he didn't get Noticed,

Ms. Moermond:

-and,,, the city didn't have your information, so, they couldn't sent you a Notice

Mr. Chen

-and he didn't know that the city didn't have that information

Mr. Chen:

-they financed through the owner; he doesn't understand how the address still remained the same

Ms. Moermond:

-will think about this one

-you will need to go to Ramsey County to change the address

Mr. Chen:

-he did that 2 months ago when they renewed the Contract for Deed and he had a lawyer do it; so, he doesn't understand

Ms. Seeley:

-there's a lot of C of O issues

-this is the only clean-up on the record

Mr. Chen:

-there's a lot of garbage getting dumped there

Inspector John Peter Ross:

-was taken care of by owner; most complaints seem to be interior tenant complaints; very few exterior complaints

Ms. Moermond:

-will recommend this assessment be reduced from \$470 to \$235

Reduce from \$470 to \$235.

Referred to the City Council due back on 8/19/2015

12 RLH TA 15-276

Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for Real Estate Project No. VB1508, Assessment No. 158812 at 1258 RICE STREET. (Amended to Project No. VB1508C, Assessment No. 158827)

Sponsors: Brendmoen

Appellant sent in letter via email.

Ms. Moermond reviewed the appeal letter and recommended approving the assessment. There was no indication of when appellant will be done with the repairs and the building has been in the VB program for 5 months.

Referred to the City Council due back on 7/15/2015

13 RLH TA 15-322

Deleting the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for Real Estate Project No. VB1509, Assessment No. 158816 at 67 ROSE AVENUE EAST.

Sponsors: Brendmoen

Jason Simmons, appeared; house is in Jeremy Simmons' name; Jason Simmons paid for the rehab.

Inspector Joe Yannarelly, Vacant Buildings:

- -Category 2 Vacant Building file opened Mar 19, 2014
- -annual assessment: \$2,025 + \$155 service charge = \$2,180
- -mechanical, building, electrical permits finaled
- -open warm air permit
- -3 1/2 months into the program and very close to getting a sign-off

Mr. Simmons:

- -he is confused because it's actually all signed off
- -he called Mr. Seeger twice now

Mr. Yannarelly:

-I will email him right now to have him look into this

Ms. Moermond:

-will recommend the Council delete this assessment

Delete the assessment. Building was out of VB Program in 3 months.

Referred to the City Council due back on 7/15/2015

14 RLH TA 15-353

Deleting the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for Real Estate Project No. VB1510, Assessment No. 158822 at 710 SHERBURNE AVENUE.

Sponsors: Thao

Yongyong Zhang, owner, and friend, Quoc, appeared.

Inspector Joe Yannarelly:

- -annual registered vacant building feeL \$2025 + \$155 service charge = \$2180
- -entered the VB Program Mar 27, 2014
- -all permits signed off
- -Mr. Seeger just needs to issue the Code Compliance Certificate
- -will email him, also, and cc MM about the status

Ms. Moermond:

-will recommend deletion of this assessment

Delete the assessment.

Referred to the City Council due back on 8/19/2015

15 RLH TA 15-348

Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for Real Estate Project No. J1511A, Assessment No. 158524 at 619 SIMS AVENUE.

Sponsors: Bostrom

7/21/15: No show due to car accident. Called to reschedule again. I rescheduled Mr. Black to August 4. No further continuance if he is a no show at the August 4th hearing and he would need to contest to City Council.

7/7/15: No show; approve the assessment.

Laid Over to the Legislative Hearings due back on 8/4/2015

16 RLH TA 15-342

Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for Real Estate Project No. J1509A3, Assessment No. 158531 at 578 THOMAS AVENUE.

Sponsors: Thao

Maurice Hodges appeared on behalf of Chan Huynh, a senior citizen, whose English is limited.

Mr. Hodges:

- -lives right next door to this address at 580 Thomas
- -last year Ms. Huynh offered him the house but he couldn't afford it; eventually, someone else moved in and they weren't paying rent or taking care of the property (garbage, yard, etc.)
- -he hasn't gone through her mail
- -is here today because he is in the process of moving into that house and he wants to know.....

Inspector Paula Seeley:

- -Summary Abatement Order sent Feb 10, 2015; compliance Feb 17; re-checked Feb 17-no attempt to move piles of refuse and scattered furniture
- -work was done Feb 19 for a cost of \$476
- -property has a long history; several assessments
- -sent to Chan Nguyen, 499 Western Ave N, St. Paul; Nghi Huynh, 417 University Ave W, St. Paul; and Occupant
- -in capital letters: Remove multiple piles of black trash bags and garbage in the alley VIDEO removed bags and container
- -have been 5 Excessive Consumptions

Mr. Hodges:

- -sometimes he goes over there to help her because things are difficult for her
- -she's a senior citizen, who is handicapped, as well
- -tenants aren't taking care of things; not paying the rent either
- -she offered to sell him the house, as well; he is going to rent it now and buy it later

Ms. Moermond:

- -provided him with Tonya Townsend's # 651/266-6153 in Real Estate; she can look up any assessments for clean-ups, etc.
- -re this assessment, it looks like she got the Notice that things needed to be taken care of it but she didn't take care of it
- -will recommend approval of this assessment
- -even is she's older and handicapped, it's her responsibility to take care of the property

Mr. Hodges:

-tenants moved out Jun 30 and he will be moving in next month; plans to purchase the house in Dec or Jan

-he is fixing some things

-he would like to be notified of things; he will come down to represent this property; he doesn't want it to get out of hand before he purchases it

Ms. Moermond:

-the letters are sent to the Occupant at this property Approve the assessment.

Referred to the City Council due back on 7/15/2015

17 RLH TA 15-347

Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for Real Estate Project No. J1511A, Assessment No. 158524 at 1138 THOMAS AVENUE

Sponsors: Stark

Appellant was misinformed of hearing date information. Rescheduled to July 21.

Laid Over to the Legislative Hearings due back on 7/21/2015

18 RLH TA 15-352

Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for Real Estate Project No. VB1510, Assessment No. 158822 at 911 WILSON AVENUE.

Sponsors: Finney

Forthcoming. LHO wants to contact building inspector about permits.

Referred to the City Council due back on 8/19/2015

19 RLH TA 15-335

Deleting the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for Real Estate Project No. J1506E, Assessment No. 158308 at 343 WINIFRED STREET EAST.

Sponsors: Thune

Andrew Dunbar, owner, appeared.

Inspector Paula Seeley:

-Excessive Consumption

-Sep 4, 2014, Correction Notice was sent to get a permit for a furnace replacement

-it's a Category 1 Vacant Building opened Oct 4, 2010

-inspector issued 3 separate EC's; he didn't take care of the furnace

Inspector Ross:

-the contractor was supposed to call DSI and say that the furnace has been repaired but he didn't do it

Mr. Dunbar:

-the contractor made the correction in May; the inspector came back and verified that it was fixed but no one closed out the permit;

-he kept calling and calling the inspector

-then, he called Mr. Dornfeld

Ms. Seeley:

-the mechanical permit is finaled; everything is taken care of

Ms. Moermond:

-3 separate EC's for noncompliance

Ms. Seeley:

-one has been levied; this one is for \$155; doesn't see any forthcoming EC's

Ms. Moermond:

-will recommend this assessment be deleted

-the problem has been taken care of and one EC has already been paid

Delete the assessment.

Referred to the City Council due back on 8/5/2015

20 RLH TA 15-290 Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for Real Estate

Project No. VB1508, Assessment No. 158812 at 647 YORK AVENUE.

(Amended to Project No. VB1508A, Assessment No. 158825)

Sponsors: Bostrom

No show; approve the assessment.

Referred to the City Council due back on 7/15/2015

Special Tax Assessments - ROLLS

21 RLH AR 15-48 Ratifying Tree Removal services from April 2015. (File No. 1507T,

Assessment No. 159007)

Sponsors: Stark

Referred to the City Council due back on 8/19/2015

22 RLH AR 15-49 Ratifying Collection of Vacant Building fees billed October 21, 2014 to

March 19, 2015. (File No. VB1510, Assessment No. 158822)

Sponsors: Stark

Referred to the City Council due back on 8/19/2015

23 RLH AR 15-50 Ratifying Property Clean Up services during April 1 to 30, 2015. (File

No. J1511A, Assessment No. 158524)

Sponsors: Stark

Referred to the City Council due back on 8/19/2015

24 RLH AR 15-51 Ratifying Trash Hauling services during April 1 to 29, 2015. (File No.

J1510G, Assessment No. 158711)

Sponsors: Stark

Referred to the City Council due back on 8/19/2015

11:00 a.m. Hearings

Summary Abatement Orders & Correction Orders

25 RLH SAO 15-43 Appeal of Robert J. McCarthy to a Vehicle Abatement Order at 1356 JEFFERSON AVENUE.

Sponsors: Tolbert

Deny the appeal. Appellant failed to appear for hearing and also failed to submit diagram of his lot and how the parking area fits in, where the tree is, garage, etc; solution that would allow for water getting through 1) permeable pavers or 2) carriage tracks-old fashioned driveways.

Referred to the City Council due back on 8/5/2015

26 RLH SAO 15-46 Appeal of Thomas Adamson to a Vehicle Abatement Order at 1519 ALMOND AVENUE.

Sponsors: Stark

Deny the appeal; no show for hearing.

Referred to the City Council due back on 8/5/2015

27 RLH SAO 15-48 Appeal of Dan Linders, Linders Specialty Company, to a Summary Abatement Order at 432 ATWATER STREET.

Sponsors: Thao

Dan Linders, Linders Specialty Company, owner, appeared.

Inspector John Peter Ross:

- -complaint came in regarding refuse; inspection was made
- -Orders were issued Jun 15, 2015: Remove loose and scattered refuse around and behind the building; compliance Jun 22
- -there's been some communication between Inspector Sean Westenhofer and Mr. Linders regarding the origin of the refuse
- -under the property maintenance code, this property owner is responsible for the clean-up
- -photos

-pulled up an overhead map: large triangular property between Como, Western and Atwater on the NW corner

Mr. Linders:

- -there has been some dumping; he had called the city about it
- -going back to 1985, that Como bridge had been widened; so now, the public walk way has been shortened to maybe 10 feet on a very drastic slope
- -they are trying to paint the back of their building put some money into the building; in order to complete the painting of the exterior of the building, they had to call the city and ask them to trim back the trees; instead of trimming back the trees, they

totally removed 20 trees; and upon removing these trees, the garbage was exposed; so, he emailed in a complaint just to get someone to come out; his intention was to work with the city; it's hard to define the property line - he asked the city to see if they could draw the property line

Ms. Moermond:

-remembers looking at the ROW on this; there's a lot of ROW around this building; it's confusing

Mr. Linders:

-it's really confusing because they put in a holding pond; there was a railroad spur in there; it's a terrible piece of property; but they want to try to do some work on their facility; they have a lot of water running in off of that high embankment; they may need to go back and excavate that and that's the reason that they need to get the lot line marked; the dirt on that slope is pushed up about 3 feet high on their building and the water seeps...........

-he and Ms. Moermond viewed the photos together

-a retaining pond was put in where a company used to be in the late 70's, early 80's -they are trying to make some use of this property and improve it; but once those trees were removed.... they had known that there had been people sleeping back there, cooking meth back there and they decided to fix the building and take things to the next level and clean it all up; it will take a lot of work - a lot of clean-up -he would like to work with the city to landscape it properly; otherwise, he would just put up a fence once he finds out where the property line and the trash would just wind up against the fence

- -he signed a graffiti waiver
- -would like to get things landscaped so that no one will sleep next to the building or do drugs there; and maybe vandalism would stop, too
- -would also like to install lights alongside the building to help dissuade garbage being thrown over there, etc. (\$5,000 investment to do that)

Ms. Moermond:

-you are doing the right thing in terms of lighting and landscaping; other things that are advised are cameras, no dumping signs, et.

Mr. Linders:

-would like to work with someone in the city so that his side of the street looks like the other side of the street and we eliminate it as a home for people and a dumping site, which would help the safety of the neighborhood (one less place where they are sleeping and cooking meth)

-the business is metal fabrication and they had problems with having metal stolen out of their back yard; now, if it's shiny, they keep it inside; they were able to catch one of the people and they haven't had problems with that since; they've also had the building broken into and they stole over \$100,000 worth of items; so, they put in cameras; they are slowly improving the area

Ms. Moermond:

-noted that the SPPD used to have someone who'd work with people on the environment around and in the building for crime prevention

Mr. Ross:

- -The FORCE Unit, maybe
- -for property maintenance, technically, the owner would be responsible for up to the sidewalk or the street, if there were no sidewalk

Mr. Linder:

-so, in this particular case, what can he do to prevent people from just using it as a dump; is he allowed to put up a 10-foot high fence

-he is really looking for someone to work with from the city to give him direction and who knows what is allowed and what is not allowed

-the last issue he had was snow removal off the bridge: pushing the snow off onto the backside of their building - you can see the salt going through their brick wall; it's deteriorating the brick; when the city widened Como, they should have removed his building instead of destroying it

Ms. Seeley:

-with a variance you can put up a 10-foot fence; go to Zoning and talk about it

Ms. Moermond:

-the scattered and loose trash around your property is your responsibility; it will be cheaper for you to hire someone to do it than if the city does it for you suggested that he connect with the Ward 5 Office; also, with Forestry Division of Parks and Rec; Code Enforcement and the FORCE Unit (Officer Graupman and Officer Keenan); the Ward Office can orchestrate the communication -will give you a new deadline for what's in this Order: Jul 31, 2015 -City Council Public Hearing Aug 5, 2015

Grant until July 31, 2015 for the loose and scattered refuse throughout the property to come into compliance.

Referred to the City Council due back on 8/5/2015

28 RLH SAO 15-47

Appeal of John Krenik to a Vehicle Abatement Order at 1270 CLEVELAND AVENUE.

Sponsors: Tolbert

Rescheduled per request.

Laid Over to the Legislative Hearings due back on 7/14/2015

29 RLH CO 15-16

Appeal of Daniel Craig Solberg to a Correction Notice at 103 FRONT AVENUE.

Sponsors: Brendmoen

7/8/15: Owner came in and requested to be rescheduled. LHO approved to be rescheduled to July 21.

7/7/15: Deny the appeal; no show for hearing.

Laid Over to the Legislative Hearings due back on 7/21/2015

30 RLH SAO 15-49

Appeal of Sean Schouveller to a Summary Abatement Order and Vehicle Abatement Order at 873 JOHNSON PARKWAY.

Sponsors: Bostrom

Sean Schouveller, tax owner, appeared.

Inspector Paula Seeley:

- -Summary Abatement issued Jun 18; compliance Jun 23
- -remove wood, car parts, scrap metal, garbage and debris from property of store it in

the garage

-also a Vehicle Abatement Order on a gray Chevy with expired tabs -photos

Mr. Schouveller:

- -looking for a little more time
- -the gray Chevy has tabs on it now
- -he plans to get rid of a lot of stuff; his grandfather passed away and he had a lot inside of the garage that needs to go
- -part of it is already gone; it will all be gone in a couple of weeks

Ms. Moermond:

- -will recommend an extension to Jul 24, 2015
- -City Council Public Hearing is Aug 5, 2015
- -an inspector will go out and check on Jul 27

Grant until July 24, 2015 for compliance.

Referred to the City Council due back on 8/5/2015

31 RLH SAO 15-50

Appeal of Alan Gear to a Summary Abatement Order at 598 MAGNOLIA AVENUE EAST.

Sponsors: Bostrom

Alan Gear, owner, appeared.

Inspector Paula Seeley:

-Summary Abatement Order sent Jun 19, 2015; compliance Jun 24
-overgrowth of vines and rank plant growth on the west side of the property
-she spoke with Mr. Humphrey and Mr. Magner -both agree that even though, they
are not Mr. Gear's vines, etc, they are a nuisance: people can hide in them, trash
gets caught there; it need to get cut back
-overhead photo on the screen

Mr. Gear:

-the vines originate on the other side of the fence; that's not visible in the photo
-has photos of the whole neighborhood as far as plant overgrowth into the alley
-he wants Ms. Moermond to state the actual ordinance that this plant growth violates
-in Highland Park, there's plant growth all over the place
-is this the inspector's interpretation or does code specify

Ms. Moermond:

-there are 2 codes that this could come from: 1) Minimum Property Maintenance Standards; and 2) Chap 45 - Nuisances

Ms. Seeley:

-Chap 45.15 - "Rank plant growth, vines, uncontrolled vegetation, which are conducive to accumulation of refuse, debris, harbor of vermin, must be cut back."

Mr. Gear:

-has a photo of his front yard where the elevation is the same as his neighbors'
-has a photo of his back yard where his is a lower elevation with a walk out
basement; the neighbor's yard is 20 feet higher than his with a fence; so, the vines
are in the air; they may be protruding into air space
-his grass is all cut

-there is no vine growth on the east side

-west side - there are electrical wires in there; he contacted Excel Energy and they don't want to come out and cut down their easement in the air space any more; and he's not going to cut down trees with wires; the trees aren't even on his property -brought up his photos of neighbors' yards and throughout the neighborhood -his house is for sale; he has owned it since 1993 and has never had a complaint; now, he's going to make complaints on all of these other properties; and if the city doesn't follow thru on those, he will be going back after the city -he doesn't think it's proper to leave an Ordinance open to interpretation from one inspector to the next

Ms. Moermond:

-needs Mr. Gear to back off of Inspector Seeley; her enforcement is not personal -complaints come in by an anonymous call or is found upon inspection; it's a complaint-based system; unfortunately, some of the fallout of operating under a complaint-based system is that things are missed; it is the inspector's job to determine whether or not it's a founded concern

Ms. Seeley:

- -complaint came in Apr 27; Inspector Gavin went out and found garbage, rubbish, loose trash, etc; May 1, he re-checked and wrote a Summary Abatement on the vines: "Bushes, trees, plants obstructing public sidewalk and alley ROW; cut all overgrowth back to the inside property line. Cut down and properly dispose of rank plant growth on the side of the house and in the rear yard." He sent a Work Order to Parks May 8, 2015; Parks said that it was done by owner; Parks was supposed to do that work on May 19, 2015; Mr. Magner was furious and he told me to go back out there and send another Order
- -the trash, litter, etc, was done by owner
- -the owner didn't take care of the vines
- -the vines were put on a separate Order from the trash: "Cut down and dispose of overgrown vines and rank plant growth along the west end of the property and branches obstructing the alley ROW
- -currently, she has 3 Orders going on in that alley

Mr. Gear:

- -the city's code 45.15 isn't very clear what is overgrowth? How much is overgrowth?
- -in Highland Park, that's what the yard's look like; that's what they want for privacy; there's overgrowth there; What is considered overgrowth?
- -the code isn't direct about overgrowth (for grass, it's over 6 inches; or protruding over the alley)
- -and this is somebody else's vines that are covering up somebody else's wall; then,let's get everybody's greenery cut down
- -that wall is not a pretty site; the wall is the property line

Ms. Seeley:

-Chap 45.15 states: "rank plant growth; vines; uncontrolled vegetation which are conducive to the accumulation of refuse, debris and harborage of vermin must be cut back"

Ms. Moermond:

-photos: although the roots don't originate on your property, you are responsible for the greenery that's on your property; it does need to be removed -the old photos show the wall; this is an overgrowth and it constitutes a nuisance -if you believed that there are other nuisance conditions out there that should be called, by all means, identify them and call them in; they will be investigated, as well; call 651/266-8989

-deadline for compliance is Aug 7, 2015

-will be on the City Council's agenda on Aug 5, 2015; they can change the deadline

Mr. Gear:

-the majority of what you see in the picture is gone

Ms. Moermond:

-you need to work with Inspector Seeley

Mr. Gear:

-I tried to; she won't; then, I tried to talk to Steve; then, I got a hold of Chuck and now, I'm here

Ms. Moermond:

-I made my decision on what I'm recommending to the Council

Mr. Gear:

-requesting a copy of the audio for this meeting

Ms. Moermond:

Grant until August 7, 2015 for compliance.

Referred to the City Council due back on 8/5/2015

32 RLH CO 15-14

Appeal of Rejuv-A-Home to a Correction Notice at 1619 SELBY AVENUE.

Sponsors: Stark

Deny the appeal; no show for hearing.

Referred to the City Council due back on 8/5/2015

33 RLH SAO 15-52

Appeal of Patrick McNally to a Summary Abatement Order at 225 SIDNEY STREET WEST.

Sponsors: Thune

Patrick McNally, owner appeared.

Inspector John Peter Ross:

-complaint came in about blvd plants exceeding 3 ft in height; possibly creating a visible traffic hazard

-inspected and found to be the case

-Summary Abatement Order was issued Jun 25, 2015 to trim back the blvd plants that exceeded 3 ft in height; compliance Jul 2

-brought pictures up on the screen

Mr. McNally:

-has photos

-property is in the middle of the block

-some of these plants do exceed 3 ft (milk weed is essential for the Monarch

Butterfly; he has Monarch eggs under some leaves)

-this has been a real good year for native plants

-bought this house 15 years ago in Oct and has been blvd planting most of that time -he is passionate about native plants; about 80% of the plantings on the blvd is native plants; he uses no fertilizers or pesticides

- -the blvd is actually a good place for native plants; he doesn't have to water it; they have deep roots and there's not much run-off
- -he puts a lot of work and money into this garden
- -doesn't feel that the safety concern is very big

Ms. Moermond:

-she is only concerned about the driveways and getting the height down near the driveways so that visibility is improved

Mr. Ross:

-the code: plantings are permitted on the blvd subject to the following regulations: may not exceed 36" in height except within 5 feet from any alley or driveway where the limit is 18"

Mr. McNally:

-of all the years he's been doing this, he was totally unaware of any height regulation

Ms. Moermond:

- -thinks it's great what he's doing with the native plants in the blvd; she has no concern about that
- -her concern is just about the height within 5 feet of the driveway; get it down to 18 inches by trimming or rearranging the plants

Mr. McNally:

- -thinks that 18" is a little extreme
- -there's really only 1 child who rides on the sidewalk and he's talked with his parents; they have no problem with what he's doing

Ms. Moermond:

- -don't disturb the Monarch eggs
- -will recommend that he get a variance of 4 foot plantings in most of the blvd, but the side with the driveway must be down to 18 inches within 5 ft of the driveway. Grant until August 7, 2015 to come into compliance.

Referred to the City Council due back on 8/5/2015

34 RLH SAO 15-51

Appeal of Catherine Wyatt to a Summary Abatement Order at 2027 STILLWATER AVENUE EAST.

Sponsors: Bostrom

Catherine Wyatt, owner, appeared.

Inspector Paula Seeley:

- -Summary Abatement Order sent Jun 25; compliance Jun 29: immediately remove stakes and obstructions along the driveway/property line. This is causing an exit obstruction, interferes or renders dangerous for passage; and a hazard for emergency response vehicles. All exterior areas shall be maintained in a safe, sound condition, free of hazards.
- -photos
- -believes that Inspector Meshuga had her move those stakes last year, too -thinks that Ms. Martin was concerned about emergency vehicles getting into the driveway

Ms. Moermond:

-in the photo she has, it looks like stakes

Ms. Wyatt:

- -these markers are along the edge of her driveway; she doesn't think that they hinder people getting in and out at all
- -the real issue is that her neighbor can't back up in a straight line; she drives up onto Ms. Wyatt's asphalt, the edges crack and crumble
- -there's plenty of space for vehicles to get in and out; she can get in and out of her driveway without going onto the neighbor's property; the neighbors are renting tenants; she had talked with the owner, who has no issue with the stakes; he thought it was resolved; he knows why the stakes are there it's to prevent her from backing onto her driveway
- -she already has a fence partially down the side of her driveway; if she were to extend that fence to the end of her house, what's the difference?
- -thinks the neighbor has a fear of backing up
- -has already has several poles destroyed or removed; Ms. Wyatt knows that the neighbor drove over them, she can see that by the tire tracks; she took photos; she showed the neighbor the pictures
- -Ms. Wyatt has actually seen her neighbor backing over the poles; chunks are coming off the edge of her asphalt

Ms. Moermond:

-why is DSI asking for these to be removed?

Inspector John Peter Ross:

-the posts went up in Mar of 2015 according to Inspector Meshuga (that's when he got a complaint)

Ms. Wyatt:

- -she put up the orange posts first but they started to disappear because she would drive right over them; then, she started to replace them with the metal ones
- -the neighbor would have more problems with a fence
- -her driveway is inside the property line
- -the little space between the 2 driveways is not her property; the owner said that he wants, eventually, to replace that cement driveway with a driveway that meets her driveway

Ms. Moermond:

-I do like the fact that they are reflective markers so that someone could see them when they are backing up or coming in

Ms. Wyatt:

- -she had 2 blue reflectors at the end of the driveway that disappeared
- -either the neighbor is removing them or someone else
- -the owner of the rental property has spoken to the tenants about this; they don't listen
- -right now, she has taken all the poles/markers/reflectors out and put them into her garage

Ms. Moermond:

- -assumes that the asphalt has gone through plan review
- -is not seeing any objective determination in the Orders saying that the driveway is not sufficiently wide for an emergency vehicle to go in there and, is it even a requirement that an emergency vehicle go into the driveway or simply have access to the property from the street? It's not compelling
- -whatever is in there, she'd like it to be reflective; cover the posts from top to bottom with reflective tape and put in twice as many, equally spaced
- -you will get a letter confirming that your appeal is granted

-no specific deadline; just put them all up within the same week

Grant the appeal on the condition that the owner put reflective posts alongside the driveway.

Referred to the City Council due back on 8/5/2015

11:30 a.m. Hearings

Orders To Vacate, Condemnations and Revocations

35 <u>RLH VO 15-35</u> Appeal of Gonzo Guerra to a Revocation of Fire Certificate of

Occupancy and Order to Vacate at 717 SMITH AVENUE SOUTH.

Sponsors: Thune

Owner in compliance.

Withdrawn

36 <u>RLH FCO</u> Appeal of Daniel Engen to a Correction Notice - Complaint Inspection

(Condemnation) at 1743 IOWA AVENUE EAST.

Sponsors: Bostrom

Appellant in compliance.

Withdrawn

37 RLH CO 15-17 Appeal of Patricia Hoffman to a Correction Notice at 1040 SIMS

AVENUE.

Sponsors: Bostrom

Patricia Hoffman, owner, appeared.

Inspector Paula Seeley:

-complaint on the condition of the property; the roof of the house is collapsing

-Inspector Martin went out and took photos; she did not see that the roof was collapsing; the shingles are curling and cupping

-sent Order on the roof, broken window on front door, torn screens, broken front door handle; replace rotten eaves and soffits

-Order sent Jun 2; Jul 24 re-check

-explained that 2 months would be necessary, at least

-understands that Ms. Hoffman has filled out an application with Rebuilding Together

Ms. Hoffman.

-if she doesn't get any assistance from Rebuilding Together, she will need to get some elsewhere

-asked for suggestions

-hoping to get an extension to Sep 29

Ms. Seeley:

-suggested Zong Vang, PED; Mai Shong, ESNDC; Brush with Kindness - 651/207-1700

Ms. Moermond:

-the roof, eaves and soffit is the big part

-the cracked windows, screens; will give you a month deadline on that part of the repair

-will lay this over for 2 weeks to see how you're doing with getting help financing the rest

-asked Ms. Hoffman to contact her office in 2 weeks to let her know where she's at; then, she will set up a deadline for the roof, eaves & soffits

Layover to discuss where appellant is at with programs that would be able to assist her in getting the repairs done.

Laid Over to the Legislative Hearings due back on 7/21/2015

1:30 p.m. Hearings

Fire Certificates of Occupancy

38 <u>RLH FCO</u> Appeal of Robert Stein to a Correction Notice - Complaint Inspection at 332 JESSAMINE AVENUE EAST.

Sponsors: Brendmoen

Rescheduled per owner's request.

Laid Over to the Legislative Hearings due back on 7/28/2015

39 RLH FCO Appeal of David Lanham to a Correction Notice - Complaint Inspection at 1818 MARYLAND AVENUE EAST. (appellant withdrew the appeal.)

Sponsors: Bostrom

All repairs are in compliance.

Withdrawn

40 RLH FCO Appeal of Patrick Lynch to a Fire Inspection Correction Notice at 2121 MINNEHAHA AVENUE EAST.

Sponsors: Finney

Frank Lynch appeared; son of owner, Patrick Lynch.

Fire Inspector Leanna Shaff:

-Fire Certificate of Occupancy Correction Notice dated Jun 9, 2015 conducted by Inspector Jonathan Gaulke

-only appealed item is #4, the 2nd floor ceiling height, highest point is 6'6" (7 ft is required over half the floor area)

-photos

Mr. Lynch:

-also brought photos

Ms. Moermond:

- -all photos were viewed and compared
- -this is 6 inches short

Mr. Lynch:

- -he doesn't know how to correct that
- -the room is used for sleeping by their mother who is hearing impaired
- -he and his dad bought the house in 2013 from the daughters of the man who built the house; these 2 women, who are now in their mid-late 60's, actually grew up in that bedroom
- -built in 1952, it's been classified as a 3-bedroom house
- -renters live there now
- -he is 6'5" and has no problem getting up and down; there's plenty of closet space and a window on each end of the room; it gets plenty of light

Ms. Moermond:

-this is a tricky one

Mr. Lynch:

- -at the lowest point, it's just over 4 feet
- -the room runs the whole length of the house; substantially large room; so, to deem it unusable seems unrealistic, in his opinion
- -they have done a significant amount of work; taken no short cuts; all new windows, doors, new electrical system, new furnace, new air conditioner; they try to do things above and beyond the standard
- -have hard-wired smoke and CO detectors throughout, specifically, one for hearing impaired upstairs (Ms. Shaff: I'm good with that)

Ms. Moermond:

- -it's 2-3 feet wide where the ceiling is 6'6"
- -seeing a nice open stairway and a good landing on the attic level; it's clean and a good window to get out of at either end
- -she; would feel more comfortably with a couple inches more
- -in the 1950's, this was probably considered livable space and used as a bedroom

Grant a variance on the ceiling height in the upper level.

Referred to the City Council due back on 8/5/2015

2:30 p.m. Hearings

Vacant Building Registrations

41 RLH VBR 15-42 Appeal of Tonja Miller to a Vacant Building Registration Notice at 1732 REANEY AVENUE.

Sponsors: Finney

Layover so that inspector can conduct an inspection.

Laid Over to the Legislative Hearings due back on 7/21/2015

42 RLH VBR 15-46 Appeal of Thomas W. Igar, on behalf of the Estate of William S. Igar, to a Vacant Building Registration Notice at 1032 MARGARET STREET.

Sponsors: Finney

7/8/15: Attorney, Michelle Barrette contacted our office via email and stated she requested that the matter be rescheduled to July 21 at 1:30 p.m.

7/7/15: Deny the appeal; no show for hearing.

Laid Over to the Legislative Hearings due back on 7/21/2015

3:00 p.m. Hearings

Other

43 RLH OA 15-6

Appeal of Fred Kueppers for Grand Heritage Properties, LLC to a Fire Inspection Code Compliance Notice at 1659 GRAND AVENUE.

Sponsors: Tolbert

Rescheduled per attorney's request.

Laid Over to the Legislative Hearings due back on 7/14/2015