

# Minutes - Final

# Legislative Hearings

Marcia Moermond, Legislative Hearing Officer Mai Vang, Hearing Coordinator Jean Birkholz, Hearing Secretary Mary Erickson, Hearing Secretary legislativehearings@ci.stpaul.mn.us 651-266-8560					
Tuesda	y, September 20, 201	1 9:00 AM	Room 330 City Hall & Court House		
1	<u>RLH TA 11-369</u>	Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for VB1113, Assessment No. 118119 at 457 ARLINGTO	-		
		<u>Sponsors:</u> Helgen			
		No one appeared; approve the assessment.			
		Referred to the City Council due back on 10/5/2011			
3	<u>RLH TA 11-327</u>	Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for J1104V, Assessment No. 118124 at 10 BENHILL R	-		
		<u>Sponsors:</u> Thune			
		No one appeared. Approve the assessment.			
		Referred to the City Council due back on 10/5/2011			
2	<u>RLH TA 11-384</u>	Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for CRT1108, Assessment No. 118118 at 351 BATES A	-		
		<u>Sponsors:</u> Bostrom			
		Delete the assessment as payment was received.			
		Referred to the City Council due back on 10/5/2011			
4	<u>RLH TA 11-365</u>	Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for No.VB1113Assessment No.118119 at 706 BLAIR A	-		
		Sponsors: Carter III			
		No one appeared. Approve the assessment.			
		Referred to the City Council due back on 10/5/2011			
5	<u>RLH TA 11-362</u>	Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for VB1113, Assessment No. 118119 at 1241 BRADLE	-		
		<u>Sponsors:</u> Helgen			

*Ms.* Moermond will recommend deleting the assessment if building is occupied by mid-Oct 2011.

RE: 1241 Bradley St (single family)

Joan Bassing and Kelly Connor, owners, appeared.

Inspector Joe Yannarelly:

- VB registration fee \$1,100 plus service chg \$135 = \$1,235
- VB file opened Jun 22, 2010; it's Cat 1
- house was sold to Ms. Bassing by HUD; close date Aug 10, 2011
- Ms. Bassing lives in Eagan
- no C of O as of yet
- believes house is still vacant before hearing

Ms. Bassing:

- her understanding is that the time frame is from Jun 22, 2011 Jun 22, 2012
- getting close to being ready to occupy
- debate about who is going to live there
- will be occupied sometime in Oct 2011

Ms. Moermond:

- will recommend deleting the VB fee if Appellant can show that it's occupied by mid-Oct 2011

- if unoccupancy goes longer than mid-Oct, she will recommend deleting a portion of the proposed assessment

Referred to the City Council due back on 10/5/2011

6 <u>RLH TA 11-368</u> Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for Real Estate Project No. J1112B, Assessment No. 118117 at 1335 CASE AVENUE

Sponsors: Bostrom

No one appeared. Approve the assessment.

Referred to the City Council due back on 10/5/2011

7 <u>RLH TA 11-348</u> Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for Real Estate Project No. J1110E, Assessment No.118120 at 842 CLEAR AVE.

Sponsors: Bostrom

Laid Over to LH Sep 27, 2011. No one appeared on Sept 27; however, legistar has it going to Oct 4; so recommendation is forthcoming at this time.

Referred to the City Council due back on 10/5/2011

8 <u>RLH TA 11-357</u> Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for Real Estate Project No. J1110E, Assessment No. 118120 at 255 COLBORNE STREET AKA 253 COLBORNE STREET.

<u>Sponsors:</u> Thune

Ms. Moermond will recommend approval of this assessment.

RE: 253 / 255 Colborne (1-9 rental units)

Philip and Marcia Liniewicz, owners, appeared.

Inspector Paula Seeley:

- Excessive Consumption fee at a cost of \$50 plus service charge \$20 = \$70
- multiple due to Orders being issued Mar 28, Apr 19 and May 17, 2011
- 3rd violation in 12-mo period

The Liniewicz:

- she doesn't have an Apr 19 date on her letter; she has Apr 28 Jun 10, 2011
- did receive a letter on Apr 19 for one of the tenants and she did the work that day
- talked about having taken down a fence
- retaining wall behind the fence belongs to the party next door; however, they have requested that the Liniewicz remove all those retaining wall bricks

Ms. Moermond:

- checked out the letter
- explained the Excessive Consumption charge
- encouraged the Liniewicz' to appeal the Orders for the retaining wall
- will recommend approval of the assessment for Excessive Consumption

Referred to the City Council due back on 10/5/2011

9 <u>RLH TA 11-325</u> Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for Real Estate Project No. J1116A, Assessment No. 118121 at 716 COMO AVENUE.

<u>Sponsors:</u> Helgen

No one appeared. Approve the assessment.

Referred to the City Council due back on 10/5/2011

10 <u>RLH TA 11-364</u> Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for Real Estate Project No. VB1113, Assessment No. 118119 at 1715 CONWAY STREET

Sponsors: Lantry

No one appeared. Approve the assessment.

Referred to the City Council due back on 10/5/2011

11 <u>RLH TA 11-350</u> Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for Real Estate Project No. J1113G, Assessment No. 118122 at 612 COOK AVE E

Sponsors: Bostrom

No one appeared. Approve the assessment.

Referred to the City Council due back on 10/5/2011

12 <u>RLH TA 11-380</u> Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for Real Estate Project No. CRT1108, Assessment No. 118118 at 962 FOREST STREET.

<u>Sponsors:</u> Bostrom

Delete the assessment per DSI.

Referred to the City Council due back on 10/5/2011

13	<u>RLH TA 11-353</u>	Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for Real Estate Project No.
		J1110E, Assessment No. 118120 at 812 FULLER AVENUE.

Sponsors: Carter III

No one appeared. Approve the assessment.

Referred to the City Council due back on 10/5/2011

14 <u>RLH TA 11-354</u> Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for Real Estate Project No. J1113G, Assessment No. 118122 at 812 FULLER AVENUE.

Sponsors: Carter III

No one appeared. Approve the assessment.

Referred to the City Council due back on 10/5/2011

15 <u>RLH TA 11-372</u> Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for Real Estate Project No. J1112B Assessment No. 118117 at 726 GERANIUM AVENUE EAST

<u>Sponsors:</u> Bostrom

Ms. Moermond will recommend approval of the assessment.

RE: 726 Geranium Ave E (single family)

Beng Xiong, owner, appeared.

Inspector Joe Yannarelly:

- SPPD requested securing of a rear window in side door at 12:45 pm Tue Jun 7, 2011

- work done by RestPro at 2:30 pm Jun 7, 2011

- cost: \$33.90 plus service charge of \$140 = \$172.90 (did not charge emergency add-on)

- history: numerous complaints for tall grass and weeds

- HUD owned the property at that time

Mr. Xiong:

- closed on the property Jun 29, 2011
- HUD is supposed to pay for this

Ms. Moermond:

- the City is stuck
- will recommend approval of the assessment

- you inherit property's debts when you buy the property but that should have been disclosed

- CCPH Oct 5, 2011; a bill will be mailed

Referred to the City Council due back on 10/5/2011

16RLH TA 11-352Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for Real Estate Project No.<br/>J1112B , Assessment No. 118117 at 622 HYACINTH AVENUE E (#6).

<u>Sponsors:</u> Bostrom

Ms. Moermond will recommend reducing the assessment from \$411.95 to \$205.97.

RE: 622 Hyacinth Ave E (109 rental units)

Susan Shepard, owner and Christina Janns, appeared.

Inspector Paula Seeley:

- Emergency Boarding to secure apt #6
- SPPD responded to a possible suicide attempt
- Fire Dept broke window on front door to gain access
- Cost: \$271.96 plus service charge \$140 = \$411.95
- entered police report

Ms. Moermond:

- looks as though a mental health crisis worker called in to say there was a possible suicide attempt going on inside the bldg

- Fire Dept gets there and no one is inside the unit but they had to break-in to find that out

- this is the cost of bringing out the boarding contractor to get the place secured again

#### Ms. Shepard:

- Christina Janns lives in apt #7 right next door

- Anna Marie, who lives in apt #6 has a mental illness and they have had a lot of police calls out there

- she and Christina have talked with Anna and have drastically cut down the police calls this year

- they have asked that Anna call her or Christina

- this happened the one day that Ms. Shepard was out of town

- Anna Marie had told her and Christina that she was staying at her mother's house during this time

- when SPPD showed up, they knocked on Christina's window, too, to get a response; Christina told the police officers that Anna Marie was not there but at her mother's house

- checks on her 4 times a week and Christina is there; try to get her to talk it through

#### Ms. Janns:

- Anna Marie had been at her mother's house the prior week also because her mother's in the hospital; Anna Marie didn't want to leave the cats alone

- the place is very small; they're are one-bedroom apts and Anna Marie keeps the blinds open all of the time so, you could see the whole entire area

- she told SPPD that she was the manager but didn't have the keys because she had just given then back

- she insisted that SPPD not break that door; there was no reason for them to go in there but they chose not to listen

#### Ms. Moermond:

- will recommend reducing the assessment from \$411.95 to \$205.97 payable over 2 years

Referred to the City Council due back on 10/5/2011

17 <u>RLH TA 11-377</u> Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for Real Estate Project No. VB1113, Assessment No. 118119 at 224 GROTTO STREET NORTH.

Sponsors: Carter III

No one appeared. Approve the assessment.

#### Referred to the City Council due back on 10/5/2011

**18** <u>**RLH TA 11-360</u>** Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for Real Estate Project No. VB1113, Assessment No. 118119 at 806 HAWTHORNE AVENUE EAST.</u>

Sponsors: Bostrom

Ms. Moermond will recommend approval of this assessment payable over 3 years.

RE: 806 Hawthorne Ave E (single family)

Jason Doll, owner, appeared.

Inspector Joe Yannarelly:

- VB reg fee for \$1,100 plus service charge of \$135 = \$1,235

- has been a Cat 2 VB since Jun 16, 2010

- recently purchased from HUD by Mr. Doll

- no Code Compliance or permit activity on the property

explained that a Cat 2 VB needs to go thru Code Compliance procedure before it can be occupied; 4 trades guys go inspect it to help determine any needs
 house was vacant and on Sep 6, Inspector Singerhouse noted that HUD sold the bound to leave him call back, proceeding to leave him call back.

house to Jason Doll; he called Mr. Doll and left a message to have him call back - no additional entry

- Mr Singerhouse will eventually catch up to Mr. Doll for living in a Cat 2 VB

Mr. Doll:

- just bought the house in Aug 2011; why would he pay for it being vacant when he just bought it?

- said he lives there; it's in livable condition-a nice house; what permits does he need?

- what does a Cat 2 VB mean? What are those violations?

- asked if Mr. Yannarelly has looked at the bldg and thought he should take a look
- installed a new water line
- if HUD doesn't observe those laws, he doesn't either

- HUD property realtor, J D Stewart

- would't disclose what bank has his mortgage while in a public room

- now says he lives in Stillwater and 806 Hawthorne (second home); his driver's license says Stillwater

- now says that 806 Hawthorne will be rented out

- now says, "It's not empty."

Ms. Moermond;

- explained that the bill applies to the upcoming year

- with HUD, there's no Truth in Sale and Housing and no Code Compliance

- explained that if anyone beside the federal government were selling that property to *Mr.* Doll, they would have had to do one of two things: 1) give him a Truth in Sale and Housing Report, which discloses all the problems with the property; or 2) give him a Code Compliance Inspection Report, which pretty much does the same thing - asked that *Mr.* Doll get her the bank information so she could find out how much the bank knew and responsibility around that

- HUD blew off the law and the City can't pursue HUD; their only option is to pursue the new owner

- Mr. Doll is not the first person to find himself in this circumstance

- a Cat 2 VB means that the bldg has enough violations to require an inspection

process and get cleared before it can be re-occupied

- the bldg was inspected before Mr Doll bought it

- asked for Mr. Doll's contact information

- will talk about this property as well as several others with the federal legislators about

- if Mr Doll has a home and is living in Stillwater, it may change things a lot about this property

Mr. Yannarelly:

- explained that the bldg was Condemned by the Fire Certificate of Occupancy *Program* 

- it was Condemned for lack of water

- Insp Smith opened the Cat 2 VB file Jun 2010; his notes: house Condemned by C of O Inspector Gerling; other exterior violations include missing screens, boarded windows, broken windows, peeling paint, rotting fascia; sent Wells Fargo the Code Compliance letter with no returned mail

- since Jun 2010, there has been only 1 WO for tall grass and weeds

- yard has been maintained

- said the City has an address for Mr. Doll in Stillwater; asked if he still lives there?

- asked if 806 Hawthorne was an investment property?

- since this property will be rented out, Mr Doll needs a Code Compliance Inspection; once completed, he needs to speak with the Fire C of O Program to get a C of O to rent out the property legally; until then, it needs to be unoccupied - it will be on the night check list for the Saint Paul Police Dept to made sure that no one is there

Ms. Moermond:

- Mr. Doll bought a VB (he kept interrupting)

- if he can get the bldg inspected so that it can't be re-occupied, she will recommend reducing the VB fee

- needs to apply for the inspection at DSI Office, 375 Jackson St - see Jim Seeger, Code Compliance Insp, 266-9046

- will recommend approval of the assessment payable over 3 years

Referred to the City Council due back on 10/5/2011

**19** <u>**RLH TA 11-375**</u> Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for Real Estate Project No. J1116C, Assessment No. 118107 at 1942 JULIET AVENUE.

Sponsors: Harris

Approve cost of assessment be spread over 5 years per DSI.

Referred to the City Council due back on 10/5/2011

20 <u>RLH TA 11-367</u> Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for Real Estate Project No.J1112B Assessment No. 118117 at 1050 KENT STREET

Sponsors: Helgen

No one appeared. Approve the assessment.

Referred to the City Council due back on 10/5/2011

21 Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for Real Estate Project No. J1110E, Assessment No. 118120 at 276 MACKUBIN STREET AKA 495 IGLEHART AVENUE.

Sponsors: Carter III

No one appeared. Approve the assessment.

Referred to the City Council due back on 10/5/2011

22 <u>RLH TA 11-361</u> Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for Real Estate Project No. VB1113, Assessment No.118119 at 925 MAGNOLIA AVENUE EAST

Sponsors: Bostrom

*Ms.* Moermond will recommend reducing the assessment from \$1,235 to \$617.50. If the work hasn't been completed by Oct 31, 2011, Appellant will be re-billed for an additional \$617.50.

RE: 925 Magnolia Ave E (Duplex)

Margaret Uriah, property mgr, appeared representing owner, David Schmellsmering

Inspector Yannarelly:

- VB reg fee cost \$1,100 plus \$135 service charge = \$1,235

- VB file opened Jun 29, 2010 as a Cat 2 duplex

- Code Compliance Inspection dated Sep 22, 2010
- no active permits
- history of WO on the property

Ms. Uriah:

- a repair permit was pulled Jan 2011 when he bought it; and they just started work

- obviously, the bldg is vacant

- looking for a 5-month prorate because the work will be done long before Oct 29, 2011

Ms. Moermond:

- we can cut this in half and re-bill

- if the work is not done Oct 31, a second bill will be processed (will check computer for Code Compliance Certificate)

- will recommend reducing the assessment from \$1,235 to \$617.50

Referred to the City Council due back on 10/5/2011

23 <u>RLH TA 11-277</u> Ratifying the Appeal of Special Tax Assessment for 559 MCKNIGHT ROAD SOUTH for Project #: J1105E, Assessment #: <u>118037</u> <u>118074</u> in Ward 7.

Sponsors: Lantry

No one appeared. Approve the assessment.

#### Referred to the City Council due back on 10/5/2011

24 <u>RLH TA 11-376</u> Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for Real Estate Project No. J1110E, Assessment No. 118120 at 2115 PINEHURST AVENUE.

Sponsors: Harris

Ms. Moermond will recommend that this assessment be deleted.

RE: 2115 Pinehurst Ave (duplex)

Henry Waldenberger, owner, appeared.

Inspector Paula Seeley:

- Excessive Consumption fee at a cost of \$50 plus service charge or \$20 = \$70 (PAEC)
- tall grass and weeds Order sent May 27; compliance date May 30; re-inspected Jun 3
- Work done by Parks Jun 7, 20111 and it was done by owner
- orders sent to Henry Waldenberger, 2116 Pinehurst Ave and also Occupant
- entered photos taken Jun 3
- no recent history (some on record from 2003)

Mr. Waldenberger:

- notice arrived Sat after he had mowed the lawn on Fri - grew very quickly

Ms. Moermond:

- given history and looking at photo, she will recommend that this assessment be deleted

Referred to the City Council due back on 10/5/2011

25 <u>RLH TA 11-366</u> Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for Real Estate Project No. J1112B, Assessment No. 118117 at 264 POINT DOUGLAS ROAD

Sponsors: Lantry

*Ms.* Moermond will recommend the assessment be reduced from \$401.95 to \$200.97 payable over 2 years.

RE: 264 Point Douglas Road N (single family)

Rachel Williams, owner and Mark Muellerleile, Ramsey County Child Protection

Inspector Joe Yannarelly:

- emergency boarding requested by SPPD Jun 6, 2011 at 3 pm

- work performed by RestPro at a cost of \$261.95 plus service charge of \$140 = \$401.95

Ms. Williams:

- said SPPD were there later than 3 pm

- early in the morning, her friend's baby died (she used to live at this address with Rachel)

- when they came home, the police showed up and said they had to take her kids (she didn't argue and let them take her kids)

- CPS came to her house after she cleaned it up

- is confused as to what this is about
- says that her boyfriend had secured the front door so no one could get in

Mr. Muellerleile:

- concurred with Mr. Williams' version of events

- honestly, did not know what this was about either; they are both here to find out what this was for

- had replaced Ms. Williams' locks so that her boyfriend couldn't get in and he found a hinge screwed into the front door so that it couldn't be opened (Mr. Yannarelly said that is what RestPro would do)

Ms. Moermond:

- explained that the boarding fee is to secure the front door

SPPD has to stay there until the bldg is closed up; they'd see the crew come and watch them finish
Mr. Yannarelly was asked to get a copy of the police report; Ms. Moermond will

review it and call Ms. Williams

#### Referred to the City Council due back on 10/5/2011

26 <u>RLH TA 11-358</u> Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for Real Estate Project No. J1113G, Assessment No. 118122 at 475 SAINT CLAIR AVENUE.

<u>Sponsors:</u> Thune

No one appeared. Approve the assessment.

#### Referred to the City Council due back on 10/5/2011

27 <u>RLH TA 11-378</u> Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for Real Estate Project No. J1111E, Assessment No. 118128 at 886 ROSE AVENUE EAST

<u>Sponsors:</u> Bostrom

Laid Over to Sep 27 LH. Appellant missed hearing Sep 20.

Laid Over to the Legislative Hearings due back on 9/27/2011

28 <u>RLH TA 11-363</u> Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for Real Estate Project No. VB1113, Assessment No.118119 at 1340 SEVENTH STREET WEST

<u>Sponsors:</u> Thune

No one appeared. Approve the assessment.

Referred to the City Council due back on 10/5/2011

29 <u>RLH TA 11-346</u> Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for Real Estate Project No. J11116A, Assessment No. 118121 at 1659 SEVENTH STREET EAST.

Sponsors: Bostrom

No one appeared. Approve the assessment.

Referred to the City Council due back on 10/5/2011

- 30 <u>RLH TA 11-370</u> Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for Real Estate Project No. J1116A, Assessment No.118121 at 673 SHERBURNE AVENEUE AKA 675 SHERBURNE AVENUE.
  - Sponsors: Carter III

No one appeared. Approve the assessment.

Referred to the City Council due back on 10/5/2011

**31** <u>**RLH TA 11-341**</u> Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for Real Estate Project No. J1116A, Assessment No. 118121 at 929 SIXTH STREET EAST.

<u>Sponsors:</u> Lantry

No one appeared. Approve the assessment.

Referred to the City Council due back on 10/5/2011

**32 RLH TA 11-382** Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for Real Estate Project No. J1115A1, Assessment No. 118133 at 1126 SIXTH STREET EAST.

Sponsors: Lantry

September 20 LH (Not on Agenda)

RE: 1126 6th St (single family)

Lisa Capistrant, owner, appeared.

Inspector Paula Seeley: -tall grass and weeds -property clean-up for \$300 -Order went out Jun 1; compliance date Jun 4; re-checked on Jun 9; -issued WO -work done by Parks Jun 10 -No Video here today; back at the office

*Ms.* Capistrant: -I got the letter and mowed the grass the next day -don't understand why she got this

*Ms. Moermond:* -*if letter went out Jun 1 and the work was done on Jun 10, it's possible that there was sufficient growth and Parks would go ahead and do it* -*will Layover to 10:45 am next Tue Sep 27, 2011 LH to look at video* 

On September 26, 2011 Ms. Vang contacted Inspector Essling regarding the video. He sent an email indicating that he does not have the video for the grass abatement done on June 10, 2011. He believed it may be on a video Ms. Moermond retained for Council.

Laid Over to the Legislative Hearings due back on 9/27/2011

#### **Special Tax Assessments**

Delete per DSI; waiver on file.

Referred to the City Council due back on 10/5/2011

33RLH TA 11-356Deleting the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for Real Estate Project No.<br/>J1115P, Assessment No. 118123 at 145 SNELLING AVENUE NORTH.

Delete assessment per DSI; waiver on file.

Referred to the City Council due back on 10/5/2011

- 34RLH TA 11-359Deleting the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for Real Estate Project No.<br/>VB1113, Assessment No.118119 at 299 STINSON STREET.
  - <u>Sponsors:</u> Helgen

Delete the assessment per DSI. (Amanda error)

Referred to the City Council due back on 10/5/2011

**RLH TA 11-286** Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for Real Estate Project No. J1115A, Assessment No.118103 at 277 TOPPING STREET.

Sponsors: Helgen

No one appeared. Approve the assessment.

Referred to the City Council due back on 10/5/2011

**36** <u>**RLH TA 11-347</u>** Deleting the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for Real Estate Project No. J1115P, Assessment No. 118123 at 681 VAN BUREN AVE.</u>

Sponsors: Carter III

Delete assessment per DSI; waiver on file.

Referred to the City Council due back on 10/5/2011

37 <u>RLH AR 11-98</u> Ratifying Property Cleanup Services from June 30 to July 28, 2011 (File No. J1116A, Assessment No. 118121)

<u>Sponsors:</u> Lantry

Referred to the City Council due back on 10/5/2011

38 <u>RLH TA 11-385</u> Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for Real Estate Project No. J1110E, Assessment No. at 664 YORK AVENUE.

Sponsors: Bostrom

September 20, 2011 LH

Ms. Moermond will recommend approval of the assessment.

RE: 664 York Ave (single family)

Philippe Desroches, owner, appeared.

Inspector Paula Seeley:

-Excessive Consumption/PAEC letter

-Orders issued May 2 with compliance date of May 6; re-checked May 10 and issued a WO: swimming pool was full of stagnant water; a section of fence was falling over; concerned about someone falling into the pool and drowning -Parks went out and said there was no stagnant water in pool, which generated a PAEC

-photos

*Ms. Moermond:* -viewed photos in file

*Mr.* Desroches: -got a call saying the pool water was stagnant and he had to open the pool -questioned why he had to open the pool; the weather was too cold and he didn't

		want to open it because he would have had to run the heater -explained to Inspector Seeley that it was still too cool and she said the water was stagnant and he had to open the pool; he told her he'd open it soon -a short time later, someone from the City came by the house and said his house was flagged "Vacant" and they had to drain the pool; he told them that, obviously, his house was not vacant and he told them that they could not drain the pool because the liner would fall; he added that in time, he would open the pool -time went buy; he opened the pool and never heard about anything else -then, he got this letter last week -explained to Ms. Seeley that there were high winds which took a portion of the fencing down; he propped it back up until someone came to fix it (it was fixed soon after that) -when people from City came to the house, they couldn't gain access because everything was nailed shut
		Ms. Seeley: -she was the inspector on this and was concerned about the stagnant water and also, the privacy fence was falling over (very unsafe) -she issued Work Order: empty, drain stagnant water (it was early May) -Parks went out and they met the owner who said he'd take care of it -she went out a couple days later and owner had installed a fence -she has standing Orders on the house, as well
		<i>Ms. Moermond: -having the pool open to access is a significant one -given the severity of the issue, she is comfortable recommending approval of this assessment</i>
		Referred to the City Council due back on 10/5/2011
39	<u>RLH AR 11-83</u>	Ratifying Boarding and Securing Services during June 2011 (File No. J1112B, Assessment No. 118117)
		<u>Sponsors:</u> Lantry
		Referred to the City Council due back on 10/5/2011
40	<u>RLH AR 11-90</u>	Ratifying Boarding and Securing services during July 2011. (File No. J1113B, Asmt No. 118127)
		<u>Sponsors:</u> Lantry
		Referred to the City Council due back on 10/5/2011
41	<u>RLH AR 11-82</u>	Ratifying Demolition Services from July 2011 (File No. J1116C, Assessment No. 118107)
		<u>Sponsors:</u> Lantry
		Referred to the 10/05/11City Council
42	<u>RLH AR 11-89</u>	Ratifying Demolition services from July 2011 (C.D.B.G. Funds). (File No. J1117C, Asmt No. 118126)
		<u>Sponsors:</u> Lantry
		Referred to the City Council due back on 10/5/2011
72	<u>KEITAK 11-05</u>	J1117C, Asmt No. 118126) <u>Sponsors:</u> Lantry

43	<u>RLH AR 11-92</u>	Ratifying Collection of Certificate of Occupancy fees from July 2011. (File No. CRT1108, Asmt No. 118118)	
		<u>Sponsors:</u> Lantry	
		Referred to the City Council due back on 10/5/2011	
44	<u>RLH AR 11-91</u>	Ratifying Excessive Inspection services billed June 13 to July 01, 2011. (File No. J1111E, Asmt No. 118128)	
		Sponsors: Lantry	
		Referred to the City Council due back on 10/5/2011	
45	<u>RLH AR 11-84</u>	Ratifying Excessive Inspection Services billed from April 28 to June 10, 2011 (File No. J1110E, Asmt No. 118120)	
		<u>Sponsors:</u> Lantry	
		Referred to the City Council due back on 10/5/2011	
46	<u>RLH AR 11-86</u>	Ratifying Graffiti Removal Services from June 23 to July 13, 2011. (File No. J1115P, Assessment No. 118123)	
		Sponsors: Lantry	
		Referred to the City Council due back on 10/5/2011	
47	<u>RLH AR 11-85</u>	Ratifying Trash Haluing services during July 06 to July 27, 2011. (File No. J1113G, Asmt No. 118122)	
		<u>Sponsors:</u> Lantry	
		Referred to the City Council due back on 10/5/2011	
48	<u>RLH AR 11-87</u>	Ratifying Towing of Abandoned Vehicle Services in April and May 2011 (File No. J1104V, Assessment No. 118124)	
		<u>Sponsors:</u> Lantry	
		Referred to the City Council due back on 10/5/2011	
49	<u>RLH AR 11-93</u>	Ratifying Collection of Vacant Building fees from July 2011 (File No. VB1113, Assessment No. 118119)	
		<u>Sponsors:</u> Lantry	
		Referred to the City Council due back on 10/5/2011	
	11:00 a.m. He	earings	

# Summary Abatement Orders

50 <u>RLH SAO 11-30</u> Appeal of Leslie Cudworth Marsh to a Summary Abatement Order at 135

# ANNAPOLIS STREET EAST.

Sponsors: Thune

No one appeared. Appeal denied.

#### Referred to the City Council due back on 10/5/2011

51 <u>RLH SAO 11-31</u> Appeal of Marcus Greely to a Summary Abatement Order at 1128 NORTON STREET.

<u>Sponsors:</u> Helgen

Withdrawn

Matt Dornfeld: - he's supposed to get it signed off Thursday, Sep 22; if not, then a SA will be re-issued and it will be boarded up

Withdrawn

# **Orders To Vacate, Condemnations and Revocations**

52 <u>RLH VO 11-69</u> Appeal of Vicki Osterkamp to a Revocation of Fire Certificate of Occupancy and Order to Vacate at 1414 FURNESS PARKWAY.

<u>Sponsors:</u> Bostrom

53 <u>RLH VO 11-76</u> Appeal of Lakewood Partners LLC to a Fire Certificate of Occupancy Recovation and Order to Vacate at 540 MENDOTA STREET.

Sponsors: Lantry

*Ms.* Moermond will recommend denying the appeal and granting an extension to the end of October, 2011 to come into compliance.

RE: 540 Mendota St (single family)

Mark Anderson, Lakewood Partners, appeared.

Fire Inspector/Supervisor Leanna Shaff:

- Fire C of O

- Insp Cummings (retired) sent appointment letter Jan 6, 2011

- Insp Cummings Notes: to be insp in Feb - owner called to reschedule to Mar 11, 2011 - no show by owner, occupant was here and knew about inspect and allowed to inspect. Apr 8, 2011, Insp Cummings approved Fire C of O with Corrections: lots of exterior work: repair/replace front porch; walls and ceiling; water damage; rear porch ceiling/walls; sidewalk; painting; garage repair (photos)

- Jun 6, 2011 Insp Cummings met with workmen; had no keys so were unable to porch - strom door locked and no one home; exterior work was not done properly can see where roofing materials had been put over defective roof for garage; roof still sagging and wavy; no defective wood taken care of, just slapped on paint on garage. House- rear porch area water damaged, area not properly repaired; roof sagging; can see rotted floor boards under storm door; exterior house still has damage or missing areas of siding; needs permits and approvals

- end of Jun, Insp Cummings retired; file transferred to Insp Spiering

- Insp Spiering reviewed the referral that no work had been done with Ms. Shaff; permits hadn't been pulled

- Revoked the Fire C of O for noncompliance

Ms. Moermond:

- reviewed Sep 6 photos taken by Insp Spiering

Mr. Anderson:

letter dated Sep 7, item #7 and #8 were done (Insp just didn't look thru his file - we looked it over on site); all interior work is taken care of, including the front door
basically, his son had met Ms. Cummings on site; then, got Orders to do the front porch, back porch and garage

- after that, he tried to set up an appointment with Insp Cummings because his son (handyman) can't authorize that kind of work;

- Insp Cummings said she would let the other inspector handle it

- a long time elapsed before heard from Insp Spiering

- less that 24 hours from the time they were supposed to meet, he called and said he had an emergency, said he'd come the next day

- Mr. Anderson had a prior appointment but his son met with him; Insp Spiering was very irate about meeting with his son

- Insp Spiering called Mr. Anderson, who said he would come right over since he was so very upset; Mr. Spiering said he didn't have time for that

- *Mr.* Spiering said he would give him a ticket because he had had the time to fix this; *Mr.* Anderson asked for some clarification about the work that needed to be done, specifically

- then, he got the Revocation letter

- as Mr. Spiering was telling him what needed to be done, he was also putting the placards on the bldg; they went thru the house

- since all has to be done under permit, it will take more time

- got letter Sep 8; should be done Oct 3; has other properties, as well

- he's complied all along; not his fault the inspector retired

- house is safe as far as Ms. Cummings was concerned

- just needs some time

Ms. Shaff:

- back and front porch, all of these have been on the Orders since Mar 11, 2011 (Mr. Anderson rebutted saying they did do the work; it just wasn't up to snuff so, now, they have to pull permits and have a bldg inspector come out)

Ms. Moermond:

- looking back at the old set of Orders on this, they are clear that this work needs to be done; looking at the photos, it's clear to her that this is derilect, run-down and troubled property - a profound lack of maintenance; bldg may not be structurally sound or maybe just the siding looks bad

she thinks Revocation is appropriate given how long the Orders have been standing
 will recommend the City Council grant until the end of October to come into compliance

Mr. Anderson:

- hasn't had the bldg very long and this is the first time through

- this is a new program for single family homes and the first time they go through, they will find everything wrong with the bldg; hopefully, each time after, it will be just little things

- bldgs do get run down over 50-100 yrs

- doing all the safety things first

- you just don't start tearing things apart until you get some clarification

Referred to the City Council due back on 10/5/2011

# 1:30 p.m. Hearings

#### Fire Certificates of Occupancy

54RLH FCO<br/>11-316Appeal of Dan Charles-Anthony Hekrdle to a Re-Inspection Fire Certificate<br/>of Occupancy With Deficiencies at 670 BELLOWS STREET.

Sponsors: Thune

Deny the appeal and grant an extension to November 30, 2011.

Inspector Mike Urmann reported that the property was inspected because the owner of record under Ramsey County and STAMP is not Mr. Hekrdle. The property owner's address is off-site. It's a situation of a non-owner occupied building.

*Mr.* Hekrdle said his grandfather owned the house and he died in 1980. *Mr.* Hekrdle said he bought it about 2-3 years ago in order to be closer to work in Oakdale when the weather is bad. He also has a home in Amery, WI and his wife has a home in Hugo. He does reside in Amery but stays at the Bellows home often in the winter. He questioned why he can't own two homes.

*Mr. Urmann said he was told that Hekrdle's son lives in the home. Hekrdle concurred, said his son's name is not on the title and maintains he does not rent it.* 

Ms. Moermond said if she recommends to the City Council to grant his appeal and let him out of the C of O program, the list of things still need to be done as they are code violations. The C of O was set so anyone occupying the structure who is not the owner is a "trigger" for it to go into C of O. She asked if he is homesteading this home. Mr. Hekrdle said it's difficult because he's dealing with two states.

Ms. Moermond said she's uncomfortable w/the situation and she's struggling with a way to prove that he resides at this address. She reviewed the items that need correcting. Removing the old vinyl venting and replacing with solid aluminum venting may be one of the harder things he'll have to do. He must get an electrician and pull a permit for the exposed wires with the water heater. Hekrdle said he has fixed that. She questioned how bad the ceilings are. Hekrdle said the previous owners put some sheet rock up and wanted him to complete the work. There is still existing wall. He has completed the items that were easier to do. He said there is a financial issue with completing some of the items. Ms. Moermond said she feels the only thing that will cost him is the exposed wire.

Ms. Moermond will recommend to the City Council on September 21 that he get out of the C of O program and give him an extension to November 30 to complete the list. She will leave it up to DSI as to whether they will send a fire inspector out or if they turn the file over to a Code Enforcement inspector who handles private dwellings. They will go through the list and make sure it is addressed before the file is closed.

#### Referred to the 10/19/11 City Council

55RLH FCOAppeal of Michael Severin to a Correction Notice-Complaint Inspection at<br/>367 CHARLES AVENUE.

Sponsors: Carter III

No one appeared; appeal denies.

#### Referred to the 10/19/21 City Council

57RLH FCO<br/>11-364Appeal of Tien Chau to a Correction Notice-Reinspection Complaint at 1846<br/>HEWITT AVENUE.

#### Sponsors: Stark

No one appeared. However, a written appeal statement was sent via certified mail. Laid over for Ms. Moermond to review the appeal letter.

In the appeal letter, Appellant stated: "I live out of town and won't be able to come. I withdraw from the appeal."

#### Withdrawn

58RLH FCO<br/>11-382Appeal of Kendall Burton to a Fire Certificate of Occupancy Inspection<br/>Correction Notice at 899 JENKS AVENUE.

#### <u>Sponsors:</u> Bostrom

Laid over to LH October 11, 2011 for ceiling height measurement from inspector. (October 19 City Council)

#### Referred to the 10/11/11 Legislative Hearings

59RLH FCO<br/>11-366Appeal of Joseph J. Skelly to a Re-Inspection Fire Certificate of Occupancy<br/>With Deficiencies at 1231 KENNARD STREET.

# <u>Sponsors:</u> Bostrom

*Ms.* Moermond will recommend that the City Council grant an extension to November 1, 2011.

Inspector Urmann said it appears they are dealing with an existing heating system. A permit was pulled and the orders that were issued and are under appeal were issued by the mechanical inspector and not the fire inspector. The mechanical code for the new installation requires that the heating system be installed in the fashion as noted. An existing furnace is what they would expect may not be.

*Mr.* Skelly said in November he will have owned the property for ten years. One of his tenants has been there the entire 10 years and the others have been there two to three years. He said he had no idea this code existed. This is not a conversion single family home into a duplex so it's the way it was originally built and there has always been one furnace. There are two water heaters and two electrical sources. He has had no complaints from the tenants about air quality or not enough heat in the winter. The code is saying it's not safe having one heating unit and there is not separate ventilation in case of a fire on the first floor. He compared it to the two-story house he lives in and questioned if that was unsafe. If it's a major safety issue, everyone should know about it. The furnace is capable of handling the entire home and he's being told it's not adequate. An additional heating system would change the rent dynamics for the tenants.

Ms Moermond said this is about a fire in one unit affecting a fire in another unit. Mr. Urmann said it appears there was a heating system in the building which had a problem and there were orders to be replaced. Once the permit was pulled, the new mechanical code kicked in and it does not allow two units to be supplied by the same heating unit because of the fire issue. Had the heating system not had to be changed, there would not have been a requirement to change it to the new code. It's an existing building but not an existing system.

*Ms.* Moermond said she can not waive the mechanical code but the Building Official could look at it. The deadline that was given by the fire inspector on July 1, 2011 was one week to take care of it. Mr. Skelly said a letter dated August 10 gave him 30 days to make the change.

*Ms.* Moermond suggested he could call *Mr.* Reinsberg and ask him if there is a process where he could be waived out of this requirement.

*Ms.* Moermond will recommend that the City Council grant an extension to November 1, 2011. It will appear on the October 19 City Council agenda.

Referred to the 10/19/11City Council

60RLH FCOAppeal of Rick Peloquin to a Fire Certificate of Occupancy Correction Notice11-274at 2069 MANITOU AVENUE.

<u>Sponsors:</u> Lantry

Deny the appeal on the dryer vent and smoke detector affidavit and grant an extension to October 15. Ms. Moermond will recommend to the City Council that the appellant stay in the C of O program and grant him until May 31, 2012 to repair the driveway.

Inspector Mike Urmann reported this is an issue of a property that is not owner-occupied. The driveway is not even Class 5 but is dirt or sand and which would have to be sealed up. There are also window orders. The owner lives in Victoria, MN and that's how DSI got involved.

*Mr.* Peloquin said he has owned this house since 2003. In 2007 he got a job in Chanhassen which required him to move to that area. The homes on both sides of his were foreclosed and he was unable to sell his and that is the reason he still has it. He lost his job since moving to Victoria so he's in the same position with both houses. He's now back in St. Paul and has completed a lot of the items on the list. The driveway is shared with his neighbors and he has asked them in the past about re-doing it and they've turned him down. He owns about one foot of the driveway in the front. In the back, the neighbor's carport is on his property and would be in the way. In order for him to repair the driveway, the City would have to move the street light in front of his house, fix the curbing, and the neighbor's car port would have to come down or he would have to get an agreement with his neighbor to replace the driveway.

*Ms.* Moermond said she will recommend to the City Council that a variance be granted on the bedroom windows.

She said the dryer vent needs to be fixed. He said he will take care of everything on the list. He purchased the house with the existing driveway and said 50 percent of his neighbors all have the same type of driveway.

*Mr.* Urmann noted that the Inspector's notes say the girlfriend lives in the house full time so it is not owner-occupied. *Mr.* Peloquin concurred.

Ms. Moermond said the driveway will have to be fixed and she thinks it can be solved

more affordably than he thinks. She suggested a paver type and said Zoning will have to sign off.

*Mr. Urmann suggested that orders could be issued to the neighbor who shares the driveway.* 

*Ms.* Moermond will recommend to the City Council that he stay in the C of O program. She will allow until May 31, 2012 to fix the driveway. The deadline for completing the other items is October 15, 2011.

#### Referred to the 10/19/11 City Council

61RLH FCOAppeal of Stephen Ersfeld to a Fire Certificate of Occupancy Inspection11-331Correction Notice at 1736 NEBRASKA AVENUE EAST.

<u>Sponsors:</u> Bostrom

Laid over to October 4 Legislative Hearings

*Mr.* Ersfeld said he's unemployed so money is tight. The rent money does not cover the mortgage. He asked for an extension to get the major things done. He has the furnace inspection report ready to be sent in as well as the smoke detectors. He has made most of the repairs. He requested a variance on the ceiling height in the upper bedroom. The room is completely finished and is used by children. The ceiling is 6.75 ft. high. It's a peaked roof; slanted walls and flat through the center of the entire attic. Ms. Moermond said it was not clear to her from how the dimensions are written how to calculate what proportion of the ceiling is above the threshold.

Inspector Urmann suggested the inspector re-measure for accuracy.

*Mr.* Ersfeld said the windows were replaced less than 10 years ago. *Ms.* Moermond said she

will grant a variance on all the windows.

With respect to the vinyl tiles on the bathroom floor, Mr. Urmann said they need to be tight and caulking done around tub and toilet.

Regarding the garage door, Mr. Ersfeld said it was old but functions fine. There is some deterioration and he asked if that was a concern. Ms. Moermond asked him to provide photos of the door.

The ceiling height and garage door issues will be re-visited. Ms. Moermond granted until November 1, 2011 to complete the work on the balance of the items and an inspection will be done after November 1.

*Mr.* Urmann suggested that *Mr.* Ersfeld call Inspector James Thomas to schedule an inspection for the ceiling and bathroom floor. *Ms.* Moermond will make a decision after she receives that information along with photos of the garage door.

Mr. Urmann asked if the basement has been vacated and Ersfeld said it has.

Laid over to the October 4, 2011 Legislative Hearings.

Referred to the 10/4/11 Legislative Hearings

62RLH FCOAppeal of Carrie Micko to a Fire Certificate of Occupancy Inspection11-335Correction Notice at 1171 NORTON STREET.

<u>Sponsors:</u> Helgen

No one appeared; deny the appeal.

#### Referred to the 10/19/11 City Council

 63
 RLH FCO
 Appeal of Bridgitte Bachmeier, WA Dorsey House Condo Associations, to a

 11-389
 Reinspection Fire Certificate of Occupancy with Deficiencies at 457-459

 PORTLAND AVENUE.

Sponsors: Carter III

Laid over to October 18, 2011 Legislative Hearings.

#### Laid over to the 10/18/11 Legislative Hearings

64RLH FCO<br/>11-333Appeal of Xiong Pao Chang to a Fire Certificate of Occupancy Correction<br/>Notice at 933 WHITE BEAR AVENUE NORTH

#### <u>Sponsors:</u> Bostrom

No one appeared. Deny the appeal for the fire rated floor and/or ceiling issue in Items 6 and 9; grant a 2-inch variance on the openable height of the egress window in all residential units; grant a variance on the sill height on the condition that Appellant install a step. (Inspector James Thomas)

#### Referred to the 10/19/11City Council due

65 RLH FCO Appeal of Andrew Dick to a Fire Certificate of Occupancy Correction Notice 11-381 at 693 YORK AVENUE.

<u>Sponsors:</u> Bostrom

Appeal granted on windows and denied on the extermination issue.

Referred to the 10/192011 City Council

# 2:30 p.m. Hearings

# Vacant Building Registrations

66 <u>RLH VBR 11-73</u> Appeal of Margaret Uriah to a Vacant Building Registration Notice at 584 EDMUND AVENUE.

Sponsors: Carter III

Ms. Moermond will recommend waiving the VB fee and keeping it a Cat 1 VB until Nov 30, 2011. The properties must remain connected and can't be occupied until a Fire C of O has been received by both properties. If the C of O has not been issued by Nov 30, 2011, the designation will change to a Cat 2 VB and the VB fee will be due.

*RE:* 584 Edmund Ave, alley house (two residences on one parcel) and 586 Edmund Ave, front house, duplex

Margaret Uriah, MFO Property Holdings LLC, appeared.

Fire Inspector/Supervisor Leanna Shaff:

- new Cat 2 VB; changed to a Cat 2 per Steve Magner

- read Inspector Dornfeld's notes: at the time of inspection, found contractor working without a permit; I told him to stop work immediately and notify the property owner

Ms. Uriah:

- apologized because she didn't know contractor was working without a permit; she hired who insurance company told her to hire

- 586 is duplex in front of parcel; 584 is an alley house (both have same PID number); so, if you make one a VB, you have to make them both VB; however, there's only 1 fee

- had Orders to do siding on 584 and 586

- just went thru a Fire Inspection with Pat Fish and needed to get siding on back of 586 done and 584

- May 17, 2011, the house caught fire in back (tenants were smoking outside and the house caught fire)

- worked with Foremost Insurance company; had Service Master who met Foremost to give claim amount

- thought we should trust the contractor that the insurance company trusted

- unfortunately, they were framing the back house without a permit

- had the C of O for both houses; just couldn't put anyone in back house because it was boarded up from the fire

- had to vacate the tenants from the front house (lose \$3,000 / mo in rent)

- didn't complete the siding because we thought it would better to do both houses with the same siding

- gave Pat Fish all info thru ServiceMaster

- asking to be able to rent out the front house

- Pat Fish had everything cleared on the front house except for some pieces of siding missing

Matt Dornfeld, Vacant Buildings:

- 2 homes on one lot that share the same pin number

- C of O Revoked by Inspector Pat Fish Aug 16, 2011 for 10 violations (had been a fire there)

- Inspector Kalas, Aug 17, 2011 opened a Cat 1 VB

- Aug 29, 2011 - both homes were changed to a Cat 2, VB per Steve Magner due to the house at 584 Edmund being worked on without a permit

- also have constant neighborhood complaints on both properties and chronic police and code enforcement calls

- both properties are held up simply because they have the same pin number even though they are 2 separate dwellings (so an exception would have to be made)

- he was not inside 586 and they don't have a deficiency report on it; it certainly has exterior violations that you can see; he can't speak to the interior

- Sep 12, 2011, Inspector Dornfeld issued a WO to cut grass and to remove bldg materials and scrap wood from in front of 584

Ms. Uriah:

- got the VB notice but not the Revocation of the Fire C of O (requested a copy)

- need to change the Eagan address with Ramsey County

- doesn't know what the 10 items are that should be corrected

- constantly have problems with the neighbor; he chased her into a car and she had to wait for the police to come; he also chased her business partner into the corner of the front porch where she dialed 911; he told them on tape that he was going to burn the neighbors out of the back house if they didn't do something about them; he also keeps saying that the former owner still owns this property; last time, he chased her and an officer with a hammer and they finally arrested him

- just went thru the Pat Fish inspection to do the annual Fire C of O - all exterior things were written up

- they moved people out from front house when it went to the VB program

- when they were ready to put on the new siding, the back house caught fire May 13, 2011

- doesn't mind doing another Fire inspection on the front house if that's what it takes to be changed to a Cat 1 VB and let ServiceMaster finish what they were doing on the back bldg; their work itself could be done in 30 days if needed

- the delay on the back house was really caused by the tornadoes coming thru MpIs

- front house has been empty since Aug 29, 2011

- if she has the list of 10 items, she can get them fixed quickly

Ms. Moermond:

- is looking for an interior inspection for 586

- she will split the difference on this

- will recommend the City Council waive the VB fee until Nov 30, 2011 and keep it a Cat 1 VB until Nov 30; and the property can't be occupied until the C of O has been received for both properties; if it doesn't have the C of O by Nov 30, the designation will change to a Cat 2 VB and the VB fee is due

- properties will remain connecte

Referred to the City Council due back on 10/5/2011

#### Window Variances: No Hearing Necessary

69 RLH WP 11-68 Appeal of Renewal by Andersen, on behalf of Joe Briesler, to an Egress Window Non-Compliance Determination at 155 BELVIDERE STREET EAST.

Sponsors: Thune

Referred to the City Council due back on 10/19/2011

70RLH FOW<br/>11-163Appeal of Nancy Pogue, Oaks California Drive LLC, to a Fire Certificate of<br/>Occupancy Correction Notice at 1167, 1168, 1179, 1180, 1191, 1192, 1201,<br/>1202 and 1203 CALIFORNIA DRIVE.

#### Sponsors: Helgen

No hearing necessary. Grant a 2-inch variance on the openable height of egress windows in all one bedroom units throughout as listed in the September 9, 2011 Correction Notice-Complaint Inspection Letter. (Michael Urmann)

#### Referred to the City Council due back on 10/19/2011

71RLH FOW<br/>11-151Appeal of Portoua Yang and Paul Yang to a Fire Certificate of Occupancy<br/>Inspection Correction Notice at 995 CYPRESS STREET.

#### Sponsors: Bostrom

No hearing necessary. Grant a 4.5-inch variance on the openable height of the egress windows in all three bedrooms. (Kelly Booker)

Referred to the City Council due back on 10/19/2011

Legislative Hearings		Minutes - Final	September 20, 2011
72	RLH FOW 11-157	Appeal of Tony Swanson, Public Housing Agency, to a Fire Ce Occupancy Correction Notice at 1076 CYPRESS STREET.	ertificate of
		<u>Sponsors:</u> Bostrom	
		No hearing necessary. Grant a 6-inch variance on the openable heig window in the upstairs bedroom. (Kelly Booker)	ht of the egress
		Referred to the City Council due back on 10/19/2011	
74	RLH WP 11-70	Appeal of Renewal by Andersen, on behalf of Nick Stark, to an Window Non-Compliance Determination at 2057 FIFTH STREI	-
		<u>Sponsors:</u> Lantry	
		No hearing necessary. Grant a 5-inch variance on the openable heig. hung replacement egress bedroom window measuring 19 inches hig wide.	
		Referred to the City Council due back on 10/19/2011	
75	RLH WP 11-69	Appeal of Renewal by Andersen, on behalf of Tom Collins, to t Window Non-Compliance Determinations at 1865 FORD PAR	-
		<u>Sponsors:</u> Harris	
		No hearing necessary. Grant a 5 1/8-inch variance on the openable h double hung replacement egress bedroom windows measuring 18 7/ 36 inches wide.	-
		Referred to the City Council due back on 10/19/2011	
78	RLH FOW 11-152	Appeal of JoAnn Erickson to a Fire Certificate of Occupancy In Correction Notice at 767 HOLLY AVENUE.	spection
		Sponsors: Carter III	
		No hearing necessary. Grant variances for egress windows in the low lower level south, upper level south and upper level north bedrooms.	
		Referred to the City Council due back on 10/19/2011	
80	RLH FOW 11-155	Appeal of Tony Swanson to a Fire Certificate of Occupancy Co Notice at 921 JESSIE STREET.	prrection
		<u>Sponsors:</u> Helgen	
		No hearing necessary. Grant a 4-inch variance on the openable heig windows in all three bedrooms. (Kelly Booker)	ht of the egress
		Referred to the City Council due back on 10/19/2011	
86	RLH WP 11-72	Appeal of Renewal by Andersen, on behalf of William Carroll, t Window Non-Compliance Determination at 1889 PRINCETON	-
		<u>Sponsors:</u> Harris	
		No hearing necessary. Grant a 4-inch variance on the openable heig replacement egress bedroom window measuring 20 inches high by 2 and grant a 5.125-inch variance on the openable height of one double	3 inches wide

replacement egress bedroom window measuring 18.875 inches high by 26 inches wide.

# Referred to the City Council due back on 10/19/2011

89RLH FOW<br/>11-158Appeal of Tony Swanson, Public Housing Agency, to a Fire Certificate of<br/>Occupancy Correction Notice at 1081 SIMS AVENUE.

Sponsors: Bostrom

No hearing necessary. Grant a 5-inch variance on the openable height of the egress window in the upstairs bedroom. (Kelly Booker)

#### Referred to the City Council due back on 10/19/2011

90RLH FOW<br/>11-153Appeal of Andy Hybben to an Egress Window Non-Compliance<br/>Determination at 1056 THIRD STREET.

# Sponsors: Lantry

No hearing necessary. Grant variances on the openable height of the egress windows in Unit 1 – west, north and east bedrooms. (Wayne Spiering)

# Referred to the City Council due back on 10/19/2011

92RLH FOW<br/>11-160Appeal of Trang Vo to an Egress Window Non-Compliance Determination at<br/>1217 WOODBRIDGE STREET.

#### Sponsors: Helgen

Waiting for measurements from Inspector Seeger. Houskeeping on October 4 LH.

Referred to the 10/19/11 City Council

# HOUSEKEEPING ITEMS

94RLH FCO<br/>11-259Appeal of Dao D Phan to a Correction Order at 151 PAGE STREET WEST.<br/>(Public hearing continued from September 7)

Sponsors: Thune

Referred to 10/5/11 City Council public hearing