

From: [Elaine Weber Nelson](#)
To: [*CI-StPaul>Contact-Council](#)
Subject: new household definition
Date: Thursday, February 18, 2021 6:23:51 PM

As a homeowner in this area, I strongly oppose this measure. UST has used this neighborhood for decades to their own ends – to avoid building housing for students and avoiding the policing they require. They have shoved that onto this neighborhood -and to what end? St. Mark's couldn't stay open, families who would look to rent here have been outpriced since UST students are willing to pay far more than a middle-class household can afford in rent. Why does St. Paul continue to acquiesce to UST to the detriment of tax-paying citizen who make these neighborhoods stable and attractive? I understand the idea of expanding the concept of a household – but then exempt students from utilizing it. And, while you're at it, those developers on Marshall who swear they are building for 'families'? How about requiring them to NOT rent to students and then see how many of them still are interested in developments? At least be honest – all housing decisions in the West Summit neighborhoods benefit UST – and no one else.

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10