From: <u>Moermond, Marcia (CI-StPaul)</u>

To: <u>Vang, Mai (CI-StPaul)</u>; <u>Zimny, Joanna (CI-StPaul)</u>

Subject: FW: 1629 Hartford - Cat 3 Rehab Case **Date:** Monday, January 25, 2021 10:31:15 AM

From: Moermond, Marcia (CI-StPaul)

Sent: Tuesday, December 29, 2020 3:21 PM

To: Ubl, Stephen (CI-StPaul) <stephen.ubl@ci.stpaul.mn.us> **Cc:** Magner, Steve (CI-StPaul) <steve.magner@ci.stpaul.mn.us>

Subject: 1629 Hartford - Cat 3 Rehab Case

Hi Steve,

This is the property we spoke about. It has been partially rehabbed/reconstructed. According to testimony received in my hearing on December 8, the contractor originally doing the work exceeded the height allowed in the variance granted by the BZA. There is also a setback issue which may need to be addressed.

Right now, the owner is Lima Capital and it appears the former owner lost the property in foreclosure. There will be new plans coming forward to complete the work. I have committed to reviewing the workplan/scope of work/construction statement, its schedule and financing, which I usually do. Obviously, it is outside of my arena to review the building and trade permits to see if the contractor is planning on coming into compliance with the existing variance. I guess related to that would be an on-site measurement to confirm a lack of compliance, which is reported by the neighbors.

The Council hears this 1/13/21 and I'll be asking them to send this back to Legislative Hearing 1/26/21, to review if all the conditions necessary for a grant of time from the Council to fix the property have been met. This review, of course, doesn't include the specifics you'd look at in plan review.

Let me know if this can be flagged and if you need anything else from me.

Thanks, Marcia