Vang, Mai (CI-StPaul)

From:	Panzer, Tanya (CI-StPaul)
Sent:	Wednesday, October 7, 2020 2:32 PM
То:	Assessments (CI-StPaul)
Subject:	FW: Assessment# 203003 Owner-Submitted Comments (UNCLASSIFIED) - 1122 Magnolia Ave E
See below. Thank You	
Sent: Wednesday, October 7, 202 To: Panzer, Tanya (CI-StPaul) <tan Cc: Rolf, Lynn (CI-StPaul) <lynn.ro <april.vanmassenhove@ci.stpaul.< th=""><td>nya.panzer@ci.stpaul.mn.us> olf@ci.stpaul.mn.us>; VanMassenhove, April (Cl-StPaul)</td></april.vanmassenhove@ci.stpaul.<></lynn.ro </tan 	nya.panzer@ci.stpaul.mn.us> olf@ci.stpaul.mn.us>; VanMassenhove, April (Cl-StPaul)
Hi Tanya,	
I just sent you an email in which t the assessment - thx.	he property owner of 1122 Magnolia Ave E agrees that the city should proceed with
Richard Ekobena	
<april.vanmassenhove@ci.stpaul. Cc: Rolf, Lynn (CI-StPaul) <lynn.ro< th=""><td>nya.panzer@ci.stpaul.mn.us>; VanMassenhove, April (CI-StPaul) nmn.us></td></lynn.ro<></april.vanmassenhove@ci.stpaul. 	nya.panzer@ci.stpaul.mn.us>; VanMassenhove, April (CI-StPaul) nmn.us>
Hi Tanya,	
I talked to both the property own	er and the contractor Roto Rooter.
	he paid a much less amount for the repairs out of his pocket while the contractor by the city through the sewer assessment program.
There is an issue of incorrect nam	nes on the Roto Rooter invoice (see page 5 or last page of the attachment).
I suggested that the call each other	er and discuss the issue.
I will provide the outcome of thei	r discussion in the next half hour.
Thanks.	
Richard	

----Original Message----

From: Ekobena, Richard (CI-StPaul)

Sent: Wednesday, October 7, 2020 1:08 PM

To: Panzer, Tanya (CI-StPaul) <tanya.panzer@ci.stpaul.mn.us>; VanMassenhove, April (CI-StPaul)

<april.vanmassenhove@ci.stpaul.mn.us>

Cc: Rolf, Lynn (CI-StPaul) < Lynn.Rolf@ci.stpaul.mn.us>

Subject: RE: Assessment# 203003 Owner-Submitted Comments (UNCLASSIFIED) - 1122 Magnolia Ave E

I must have missed this one sandwiched between the three other emails I received - I'll take a quick look at it.

----Original Message-----

From: Panzer, Tanya (CI-StPaul) <tanya.panzer@ci.stpaul.mn.us>

Sent: Wednesday, October 7, 2020 1:00 PM

To: Ekobena, Richard (CI-StPaul) < richard.ekobena@ci.stpaul.mn.us>; VanMassenhove, April (CI-StPaul)

<april.vanmassenhove@ci.stpaul.mn.us>

Cc: Rolf, Lynn (CI-StPaul) < Lynn.Rolf@ci.stpaul.mn.us>

Subject: RE: Assessment# 203003 Owner-Submitted Comments (UNCLASSIFIED) - 1122 Magnolia Ave E

Just wondering if you have put together a response. PH is at 330pm.

----Original Message----

From: Panzer, Tanya (CI-StPaul)

Sent: Tuesday, October 6, 2020 4:28 PM

To: Ekobena, Richard (CI-StPaul) < richard.ekobena@ci.stpaul.mn.us>; VanMassenhove, April (CI-StPaul)

<april.vanmassenhove@ci.stpaul.mn.us>

Cc: Rolf, Lynn (CI-StPaul) (Lynn.Rolf@ci.stpaul.mn.us) < Lynn.Rolf@ci.stpaul.mn.us>

Subject: FW: Assessment# 203003 Owner-Submitted Comments (UNCLASSIFIED) - 1122 Magnolia Ave E

This is also up for approval tomorrow.

----Original Message-----

From: Assessments (CI-StPaul) < Assessments@ci.stpaul.mn.us>

Sent: Tuesday, October 6, 2020 4:23 PM

To: Panzer, Tanya (CI-StPaul) <tanya.panzer@ci.stpaul.mn.us>

Subject: FW: Assessment# 203003 Owner-Submitted Comments (UNCLASSIFIED) - 1122 Magnolia Ave E

----Original Message-----

From: Edstrom, Robert K CIV USARMY USACE (USA) <Robert.K.Edstrom@usace.army.mil>

Sent: Thursday, September 24, 2020 6:08 PM

To: Assessments (CI-StPaul) < Assessments@ci.stpaul.mn.us>

Subject: Assessment# 203003 Owner-Submitted Comments (UNCLASSIFIED)

CLASSIFICATION: UNCLASSIFIED

Saint Paul City Council Members,

I received the letter dated 9/16/2020 regarding the ratification of assessment public hearing notice for my property at 1122 Magnolia Avenue East. This is in regards to replacement of the sanitary sewer line and the letter claims this was requested by the property owner in the time period April-June 2020.

Here are my official comments as owner for your consideration:

- 1. I do not support this assessment, and if possible, would like to request that it no longer be considered. I do not believe this repair is needed any longer. The necessary repair in question was performed by Roto Rooter on the property in the timeframe specified in the letter (April-June 2020) and was observed by the current renter and the repair has performed adequately to date according to the renter.
- 2. I moved to Louisiana for family and work obligations a few years ago and began renting the property to my cousin, Mr. Conrad Holscher. He is the current renter and made me aware of the issue when it first occurred. I put in a claim with my insurance company at that time and they confirmed the issue and myself and my renter began seeking professional plumbers to contract for the repair. Mr. Holscher was able to have Roto Rooter come out to the property and repair the issue. He stated to me he has all the paperwork that Roto Rooter left with him to document the repair and the receipts showing the amount paid to the company. Since then, the repair has held and there have been no further issues.

Please let me know if you need additional information from myself or the current renter with regards to the council's decision on this issue. Again, my belief is that this is no longer an issue and does not warrant additional repair or the proposed assessment.

Thank you for the opportunity to submit comment and I look forward to your decision,

Robert Edstrom

--

Robert Edstrom, PMP
Project Manager
United States Army Corps of Engineers, Saint Paul District
180 5th Street East, Suite 700
Saint Paul, Minnesota 55101-1678

Office: 651-290-5026 Cell: 651-368-3973

robert.k.edstrom@usace.army.mil

CLASSIFICATION: UNCLASSIFIED