

Vang, Mai (CI-StPaul)

From: M Singh <shoker23@ymail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 11, 2019 9:12 AM
To: *CI-StPaul_LegislativeHearings
Subject: Request to reopen

Dear Ms. Moermond:

As you know we the liquor store can operate open without water on temporary basic. I just heard that city council denied the request. I respectfully request that at what basis decision they are closing the Liquor store. The liquor store does not need the water and Mr. Agarwal is saying someone going to start this week.

When some office complex remodel they use sanitary fashion and still operate. So why can we do the same things while the Plummer is working and we can operate.

Ms. Moermond can you please review my proposal again and request to reopen. So I can pay taxes, bill, invoices. Please while the Plummer is working can we reopen immediately.

I see Mr. *Patrick D. Boyle* mention

"Finally, my clients inform me that Shamrock Liquors has been reopened. I respectfully request the basis on which this decision was made and any documents provided by the owner of Shamrock Liquors that led to this decision. My clients maintain that (1) re-opening the liquor store continues to represent a health and safety hazard and (2) re-opening **any** store merely encourages Mr. Agrawal to fix **nothing**. If any business at McKnight Road South is allowed to open, Mr. Agrawal has that much less incentive to perform any repairs or address any issues. Please keep this in mind moving forward."

That does make any sense cause their is a lot of office business remodel and they use temporary sanitary fashion for their employees, cause I know some. I think By opening one business does not impact anything cause no matter what Mr. Agarwal have to finish the job for all other business.

I respect that city let me re open my business, but I am with other business, Mr. has to finish the job Soon on matter what.

Thanks,
Makhan shamrock plaza liquor