

| Date                 | Name          | District Opinion | Comment  | Action |
|----------------------|---------------|------------------|--|--------|
| 5/22/2019<br>3:24 PM | David<br>Thom | Against          | <p>I believe the council should dismiss all assessments related to the city wide garbage. The council admits there are sufficient signature to put the police to referendum. Future more there is a question if a contract has even been legally executed between the city and the garbage consortium as I stated to each and every council member in my email dated May 18, 2019 to witch not one of the council members has even bother to acknowledge receipt. Again, I urge you to dismiss all garbage bill assessments.</p>   |        |
| 5/22/2019<br>1:06 PM |               |                  | <p>Hold off tax assessments and recognize those that are participating as neighbor sharers and be reasonable instead of sticking your heels into the ground like a vengeful politician. This is supposed to be about public safety - removing trash that exists, not fining people for not using a trash bin they never ordered or used! How can the City suddenly enforce trash participation in this one way, when people have not had trash service at these addresses for many years due to using less bins, or sharing with a neighbor, and being our most responsible citizens that are generating less waste? Please stop participating in this mania and speak out that this does not feel right and should stop! Thank you.</p>   | +4     |
| 5/21/2019<br>9:37 AM | Eric<br>Lein  | Against          | <p>• ORD 18-39 (Chapter 220) was “suspended in its operation” (per City Charter Sec. 8.05) when the City Council adopted Resolution 18-1922 on November 14, 2018, “Finding the Petition for a referendum of ORD 18-39 is legally sufficient but that the subject matter is not appropriate to submit to the electorate.” No trash bills are owed at this time in light of the properly-submitted and legally sufficient Petition. The city must legislate in good faith and carry out its obligations under the Charter. The ordinance must be suspended and submitted to a referendum, or repealed by the Council. Petitioners filed a lawsuit in district court on February 7, 2019. All collection activities and pending assessments must be put on hold and/or laid over until: • • The lawsuit, Clark vs. City of St. Paul, is decided; and • • Ord 18-39 is approved by voters in a referendum.</p> | +4     |

