

Date	Name	District	Opinion
5/8/2019 15:44	Alisa Lein		Against
5/8/2019 14:14	Ward Nichols		Against
5/6/2019 19:42	John Purdy		Against
4/23/2019 15:53	Colleen Halpine		Against
4/18/2019 19:45	Heather Rowe		Against
4/17/2019 22:14	Meredith Gillies		Against
4/17/2019 18:54	Mary Leonard		Against
4/17/2019 18:03	Bruce Becker		Against
4/17/2019 16:58			Against
4/17/2019 8:17	Timothy Frankland		Against
4/16/2019 22:27	Ken Rowe		Against
4/13/2019 19:56			Against
4/5/2019 17:20			
4/1/2019 5:11	Eric Lein		Against

**Comment**

In short, please listen to the people of St. Paul. Vote No. Unintended consequences are a big deal. If DSI needs more tools in their toolbox, I understand that, but don't have it be a blank check for anything and everything under DSI. If Animal control is causing many issues, look at Animal Control, but don't put full authority over everything without properly

This ordinance came about after the opposition to the trash program, which isn't working. There is a pending court case on that program and a petition is also being circulated opposing Ordinance 19-18 as well. Perhaps the City Council should start listening to their constituents and s\*\*\*\* this new ordinance and allow a vote this fall on the trash program. If the trash program remains, there need to be changes including an opt-out and holding the haulers responsible for missed pickups

Travis, Deputy Director used as an example for the need to institute Administrative Citations: "peeling paint", a "serious, cosmetic offense". Travis said that he has issued literally "hundreds" of these citations when he worked in another city.

This expansion of full power and authority to issue fines sets up new problems for citizens in dealing with the bureaucracy. The Mayor's office is supporting a study on fines so I can't understand why this new expanded penalty power is being pursued so quickly before the study results are public. I can only assume it is so the public won't have a chance to understand and discuss the pros and cons before the City Council votes. Charter changes should be voted on by the citizens

The city council members and Mr. Cervantes aren't being honest with us. They state they don't want to criminalize people who are in violation of ordinances, yet only 100 criminal citations are issued yearly. That doesn't seem to be enough to justify changing the city's charter. They state they want to protect citizens from criminal penalties as it would make it harder to obtain rentals, etc. What they don't say is that if a civil penalty isn't paid, the city would obtain a civil judgement and collection order against the person. This would affect the person's credit rating and renting ability much more than a

Previously, I paid \$33/quarter, taxes included, for trash. I now pay almost \$90/quarter for service of a lesser quality. That's a difference of over \$60 per quarter, and over \$100 a year! If I had that much extra money in my budget, I wouldn't live in Frogtown. My home has already been invaded once, on the anniversary of my father's death, and they took everything I had of value and trashed the interior of my house. Years later, I haven't recovered emotionally or financially. I already work

To further penalize individuals beyond late fees is an abuse of power. The majority of the people that are not paying are senior citizens who cannot afford the new and more expensive service. This is very bad PR for the city. It is time that our city and society show more kindness to their fellow human beings. Someday everyone on the council will know the affects

Saint Paul!

attempt to save face and milk the residents of St. Paul out of more money.

This ordinance to expand the powers of the City Council leaves much to be desired. It states (Section 1, Subdivision 2) that the new proposed process for imposing civil penalties must provide for an opportunity to be heard by a neutral party, but the ordinance completely fails to elaborate beyond that. It fails to explain the proposed process for appeal or argument before a neutral party, it fails to explain how the neutral party should be selected, and it fails to state the time frame for

The city building official has been caught by the State licensing authority trying to enforce fire codes. His licensing authority has warned him regarding his violation of the MN Statutes, now the city council wants to have the authority to allow their building official to issue fines. St. Paul DSI currently holds more power than the police department yet have limited training

abuse the citizens of St. Paul.

Yet again Amy Brendmoen moves forward with an ordinance making it harder and more expensive for St. Paul residents to stay in their homes. Instead of admitting that the entire trash program has been a failure, she and her council cronies instead push forward with forcing residents to pay more. This ordinance shows just how out of touch Amy Brendmoen is

A PETITION REQUESTING A REFERENDUM IS CIRCULATING ----- Ordinance 19-18 amends the City Charter so that "the Council shall have full power and authority to establish, enforce, alter, amend or repeal a procedure by ordinance to