

Date	Name	District Opinion	Comment	Action
8/6/2018 6:18 PM	Nora Fitzpatrick	Against	I am concerned and frustrated over the new requirement that each household within a 2-4 family building have a separate garbage can. We are a duplex and our family lives in both units, no tenants. Due to efforts to compost and recycle, we never fill our bin. We DO NOT NEED two bins. I was truly excited by the prospect of centralized garbage pickup and supported it . I never heard about this specific requirement and object to the lack of transparency on this very important point. Our costs of garbage pickup will increase significantly. The trucks will double their work and with two recycling bins and two garbage bins, there is the very real potential of alley obstructions because of the increased clutter. Please consider the negative impact this will have on the neighborhood and vote NO.	+1
8/6/2018 3:55 PM	Drew Pederson	Against	The City Wide Trash Collection effort is garbage -- pun intended. If this is to be so much more efficient by having less trucks, less overlapping routes, etc -- then how come my bill is doubling? I can tell you why -- the requirement to have each dwelling unit have their own bin is absurd. It encourages more waste, both physical garbage and money being ransacked from residents. Get rid of the bin per dwelling requirement at minimum. Ideally, get rid of the whole organized collection and go back to private collection.	+2
8/2/2018 10:33 PM	Linda Barnett	Against	I am against the citywide trash program that will not let homeowners share trash collection. My neighbors and I recycle and organics recycle and we have very little trash each week, sometimes nothing in a week. No one should be forced to have trash collection, the cost is also outrageous and you need to change this plan.	+1
8/1/2018 7:37 PM	Kate Leisses	Against	I am concerned and frustrated over the new requirement that each household within a 2-4 family building have a separate garbage can. We are a triplex and have been served by a single 95 gallon can for the past 20 years. I	+1

Date	Name	District Opinion	Comment	Action
7/30/2018 8:12 PM	Mary Erjavec	Against	<p>was truly excited by the prospect of centralized garbage pickup and supported it publicly in the neighborhood. At no time did I hear about this specific requirement and worry about the lack of transparency on this very important decision. Our costs of garbage pickup with nearly triple – from \$30 per month to \$75 per month. Unfortunately, we can't absorb this monthly increase and will have to p*** it on to our tenants. That's a \$25 per month / \$300 per year increase for each tenant. The trucks will triple their work and the duration at the garbage station and there is the very real potential of alley obstructions because of the increased clutter. Please reconsider the negative financial impact this will have on the neighborhood residents.</p> <p>Dear City Councilperson, I am opposed to the contract that you have made with the trash haulers for the new city trash hauling plan. I am close to being zero-waste, currently average one grocery-size bag of trash monthly(8 gallons)—usually under 10 lbs/month. I reduce, reuse, recycle, donate and compost. All of the things we've been encouraged to do. Your plan, for every-other week will have a net cost of \$22.33. : 70 gallons @ \$.32/ gallon. (my cost about \$2.78 per gallon for what I discard in trash) I currently take my trash to the transfer station every several months and pay \$4.00. Additionally, the costs are appallingly regressive. EOW 35 gallon \$.32/ gallon/mo (NB, truck needs 2 fewer stops each month) Weekly 35 gallon \$.18/ gallon/mo Weekly 65 gallon \$.13/ gallon/mo Weekly 95 gallons \$.095/ gallon/mo I have heard the argument about much of the cost being for the stop. Obviously that argument is based on false reasoning—the greatest costs to the hauler are Please vote NO on this ordinance. Not allowing multifamily units to share a cart(s) is unreasonable. No allowing residents who actively work to lower waste pay more per gallon to dispose of their waste is</p>	+3
7/27/2018 10:54 PM	Alisa Lein	Against		+5

Date	Name	District Opinion	Comment	Action
7/27/2018 1:44 PM	Daniel Brown	Against	<p>unreasonable. No allowing zero waste residents to opt out of a monthly trash bill is unreasonable. Many fine details were missed in the 5 year contract between the City and the Haulers. Please vote no on this ordinance and re-negotiate a better contract for all stakeholders. Have the organized trash program be a successful and positive rollout for St. Paul, not a "let's roll it out and fix all of the problems later". The huge increases to many landlords for the same level of trash service today WILL result in rent raises to tenants and the goal of the city to provide more affordable housing gets ****her and ****her away from being able to happen. Do not punish tenants with this unnecessary rent raise. Allow multifamily to SHARE trash carts!</p> <p>This City of Saint Paul Ordinance will dramatically increase garbage collection costs for multi-family dwellings (rentals and condominiums). I have lived in my duplex for 25 years and have managed very well with one large garbage can that I share with my tenants, an arrangement that has worked very well. This ordinance will now require me to have two cans, effectively doubling the cost of garbage collection. This ordinance will also litter our alleyways with unneeded garbage cans, drive up rental rates across the city and force thousands of St. Paul residents to pay for garbage cans they do not need or want. This new cost, plus the per-can property tax ****essment, come on top of several years of significant property tax increases. This ordinance is a big mistake.</p>	+3
7/12/2018 10:09 PM	Eric Lein	Against	<p>REGARDING "Skyrocketing Rents" --- Please note that St. Paul's new "NO SHARING" trash contract (negotiated with almost zero public transparency and little or no opportunity for public input) will raise rents by \$15 to \$20 PER MONTH per apartment for more than a few tenants in 2-, 3-, and 4-unit buildings. This time, it is NOT the</p>	+6

Date	Name	District Opinion	Comment	Action
			landlords' fault. Local politicians, bureaucrats and little-guy trash haulers are 100-percent responsible for mandating this unreasonable increase. PLEASE DO NOT ADOPT THIS ORDINANCE AS CURRENTLY WRITTEN. PLEASE ALLOW "SHARING" OF CARTS AND GIVE SIGNIFICANT CREDIT FOR "ZERO WASTERS" WHO GENERATE LITTLE OR NO TRASH.	