From: thepippi04@aol.com [mailto:thepippi04@aol.com]

Sent: Wednesday, January 3, 2018 3:19 PM

To: McMonigal-St Dennis, Barb (CI-StPaul) < barb.mcmonigal-st.dennis@ci.stpaul.mn.us>

Subject: Red Bull

Please be advised that as a resident of the area most severely impacted, restrictions as to my vehicular and personal access pose irreparable harm as my vehicle may be necessary for me to perform my employment obligations at unpredictable times. Quite frankly, last year was supposed to be the last year for this activity, and we suffered through it with the understanding that it was to be the last. Imposing this again s is very disingenuous of the city administration and certainly makes the mayor and his city administration appear to be very opaque and untrustworthy.

Furthermore, the "offers" of allowing residents who are denied vehicular access to their parking areas and garages during this activity the "opportunity" to park a vehicle – on a space available basis- at a backlot at the St. Paul College does not work for the following reasons: 1) people should not be forced to park their vehicles that far removed from their residence when there is a garage/parking space available at the residence. 2) Security of the vehicle at the St Paul College lot is tenuous at best and forces people to subject their property to vandalism and loss 3) Given that some persons may have mobility issues and walking to their car and/or weather issues can put them in physical harm. These restrictions also prohibit people from entertaining or allowing guests/relatives/caregivers/repairs. What if someone has an emergency and needs an ambulance? Or needs immediate repairs to a unit? Why should someone's use of their home be impacted so that private enterprise may benefit?

Furthermore, the security of buildings and property is always at issue; what is to be done to insure that the building and property subject to this activity will be provided security? This activity is an attractive nuisance for persons looking to vandalize and break into property.

The noise of the generators is very disturbing and it is known that levels of electrical activity such as is created by the generators have immediate impacts on sleep and stress levels and may have long term health impacts upon people subject to this. It is not right for the city to subject residents to negative impacts on their physical health. It is wrong.

The serious imposition on residents and lack of consideration by the city administration for the benefit of a private enterprise is very disturbing and evidences a lack of respect for the taxpayers and residents of the impacted area who are the stability of the community.

In anticipation of the claim that this activity is good for the economics of the city, show the numbers. Pull out your spread sheets and show the numbers how this activity is lowering the real estate tax base for those parcels impacted. I believe that property tax statements over the years will show that there has been no benefit. Because if the claim is economic benefit, the property tax numbers are the bottom line. If your claim is that this generates revenue for the hospitality businesses in the area again: one-time limited revenue generation, for the benefit

of a private business, should not be at the health and well-being expense, potential property damage and restrictions on personal residence use (4th amendment?) of a limited number of residents. It is not fair to require these residents to shoulder this burden for the benefit of private enterprise without reparations.