

Note: This is the online portion, there is also a hand-signed version of about 243 other signatures

This petition has collected 899 signatures using the online tools at <u>iPetitions.com</u>

http://www.ipetitions.com/petition/fight-high-density-highland

Printed on 2017-06-26

## Fight High-density Development Plans for Ford Site About this petition

We, the citizens and property owners of St. Paul petition the St. Paul Planning & Economic Commission and City Council to zone the Ford development to a low-density standard. (Nothing over four stories tall and at least 25% real green space, not including runoff ponds/ditches)

The residents of Highland Park and surrounding communities are not willing to absorb the increased traffic and loss of property values, community, safety, livability and neighborhood integrity due to excessive buildout on the 135 acre site.

<u>#</u>		<u>Name</u>	<u>Date</u>	Comments
	1	Bruce Hoppe	1/27/2017 21:50	Even though this development site will be built out over the next 5-10+ years, the City
				zoning decisions happen this summer - important for the community to voice now
	_			
		Jean Hoppe	1/29/2017 0:12	
		Laurie Walsh	1/29/2017 0:29	
		Winston Kaehler	1/29/2017 0:34	
	5	Tim Brennan	1/29/2017 0:49	How will the current development plan benefit the local Highland community? I have
				asked this question countless times, but have yet to hear anything but "you fear change"
				and the "21st Century City" BS. The Village is at risk!
		Kim jakway	1/29/2017 2:17	
		Darcy Tinnes	1/29/2017 2:55	
		Matt Pietrzak		Keep high density in downtown areas.
	-	Charles Merck	1/29/2017 3:50	
1	0	Sarah brunner		More green spaces please!
1	1	Jim Berg		Too many people. Quality of life will be ruined.
1	2	Dan Schriver		I support keeping the highland area population density similar to what it is now.
1	3	Brooke Nemo	1/29/2017 5:40	I'm not against development, but in my opinion, this would bring in a disproportionate
				amount of people and not enough services. People live here to be in a village, not a
				suburb.
1	4	Dede Leininger	1/29/2017 6:20	
1	5	kate rios	1/29/2017 12:48	
1	6	Lauren Thavis	1/29/2017 13:04	
1	7	Lauren Voigt	1/29/2017 13:24	
1	8	Mara Schriver	1/29/2017 13:44	
1	9	James Voigt	1/29/2017 13:53	
2	0	Emilie Love	1/29/2017 13:56	
2	1	Michelle Traversie	1/29/2017 13:59	
2	2	Michelle Blaeser	1/29/2017 14:44	I'm against high density building at the Ford plant siteplease reconsider!
2	3	Matt Meed	1/29/2017 15:28	
2	4	Meredith Anderson	1/29/2017 15:36	
2	5	Isla Hejny	1/29/2017 17:16	

26 Dianne Brier	1/29/2017 17:20 Lived in Highland all my life, and in this house since 1968. The McMansions being built here in Highland are ruining the landscape, the "village" that was erected on The Manor site has totally commercialized that area, so a 10-story structure on the Ford site will block our beautiful river front area and take away more of our green space, not to mention more congestion "busyness" in the village! If it must be, 4-stories is high enoughand while I'm at it, leave the little league fields alone! My opinion is voiced, thank you.
27 Tamma Cashman	1/29/2017 17:53 Thanks for organizing this!
28 Cashman Terrence	1/29/2017 18:58
29 Thomas Walsh	1/29/2017 21:12
30 Heidi Gunderson	1/29/2017 21:26
31 Char Mason	1/30/2017 0:33 The plan developed by the city is much too high density. I would support lover buildings
	and less density.
32 Maureen Martin	1/30/2017 1:10
33 Renee Beckman-Schwind	1/30/2017 1:19
34 Deidre Greene	1/30/2017 2:14
35 Susan Crosby	1/30/2017 2:16
36 Renate Amann	1/30/2017 2:21
37 Janine McQuillan	1/30/2017 2:36
38 Rebecca Kanner	1/30/2017 3:31
39 Laura Machtemes	1/30/2017 3:59
40 Petra Brokken	1/30/2017 4:02 Please listen to the residents of this lovely area.
41 Jeffrey Lakatos	1/30/2017 5:08 Let's keep Highland Park a real connected community of families. No need for high rise
•	buildings & densely populated apartments.
42 Christine Walsh	1/30/2017 5:30 Please maximize our green space and limit the height of the buildings.
43 Paul Mason	1/30/2017 13:45 We would prefer to see less dense multi-family housing mixed with more single family
	housingall living could be above ground with parking underneath if concern exists
	around polluted soil and basements
44 Susan neuville	1/30/2017 13:48
45 Kim Thompson	1/30/2017 14:59
46 ann Billeadeau	1/30/2017 15:26
47 William Kenney	1/30/2017 15:27
48 Ira Adelman	1/30/2017 15:35
49 Tanya Adelman	1/30/2017 15:51
50 Kathleen Cota	1/30/2017 16:27 Please don't ruin our neighborhood with high density.
51 Kate Elhardt	1/30/2017 16:32
52 Sonja Harlane	1/30/2017 16:32
53 Ryan Smith	1/30/2017 16:40
54 Karen Woodward	1/30/2017 17:09 Lower density and shorter buildingss means safety and respects the integrity of the
	previous irreplaceable riverfront!
55 jean becker-thierer	1/30/2017 17:18
56 Katy Levin	1/30/2017 17:18
57 Kristin Sullivan	1/30/2017 17:34 Please don't ruin our neighborhood with high density. If 10,000 new residents move to
	HP, we will move in a heartbeat.
58 Thomas Trutna	1/30/2017 17:45
59 Bobbette Axelrod	1/30/2017 17:49
60 Jeanna Boland	1/30/2017 18:01
61 sandra Erickson	1/30/2017 18:02
62 Suzie Olson	1/30/2017 18:11
63 Candee clausen	1/30/2017 18:15
64 Rebecca Neamy	1/30/2017 19:32
65 Carl Jaeschke	1/30/2017 19:43
66 Susan Johnson	1/30/2017 19:45 Please build 4 story units and keep 25% green space.
67 Vern Atwater	1/30/2017 19:51

68 Jason	1/30/2017 19:52
69 rachel csintyan	1/30/2017 20:00
70 Angie	1/30/2017 20:13
71 A Platt	1/30/2017 20:18
72 Camille McCann	1/30/2017 20:20
73 howard j miller	1/30/2017 20:28 No explanations have been offered for the 4000 unit requirement other than fiscal expedience with no examples. The vehicle and human demands this development will put on what is now a pleasant, livable community will turn Highland Village into an urban disaster.
74 Diane Johnston	1/30/2017 20:29 Traffic is already an issue on Ford Pkwy from Fairview to Cretin. To think that this additional density will not make it worse is ridiculous. And to wish and hope that only non-drivers will move into the property is also ridiculous. With the additional traffic comes additional pedestrian issues which have been a problem in the past at Ford and Cleveland. Please do not add to the problem.
75 Harold Turnquist	1/30/2017 20:35
76 Jim Brewer	1/30/2017 20:49
77 Dan Bannister	1/30/2017 20:53
78 Jennie Simning	1/30/2017 20:56
79 Tammy berg	1/30/2017 21:01
80 Steve Bejarano	1/30/2017 21:26
81 Julia Shepherd	1/30/2017 21:29
82 Carla Marchio	1/30/2017 21:31
83 Philip Rampi	1/30/2017 21:48
84 Ryan Henderson	1/30/2017 21:56
85 monnie	1/30/2017 21:56 The residents of Highland Park and surrounding communities are not willing to absorb
	the increased traffic and loss of property values, community, safety, livability and neighborhood integrity due to excessive buildout on the 135 acre site.
86 Jeff Stanko	1/30/2017 21:58
87 Gwen Phillips	1/30/2017 22:11
88 Erin Obert	1/30/2017 22:19 Please, please reconsider this plan. These high rise buildings will ruin the small town feel we all enjoy. We have so little green space already. It seems like a missed opportunity to develop and hold on to park space that would otherwise be gone forever.
89 Denise Ward	1/30/2017 22:29
90 Karen linsk	1/30/2017 22:48
91 Kyle Robertson	1/30/2017 22:49 The area already has high traffic congestion, this would ruin the neighborhood feel and
•	create more traffic congestion. Even 4 stories seems a bit much, but it's better than 10!
92 Deb Newel	1/30/2017 22:51
93 Liz Bejarano	1/31/2017 0:55
94 Jim McQuillan	1/31/2017 0:58
95 Jeanine Sundt	1/31/2017 1:08
96 Sharon Hubler	1/31/2017 1:26
97 John Sundt	1/31/2017 1:34
98 Jeff Klingner	1/31/2017 1:48 I and many other neighbors want to keep Highland Village just what the name implies, a village. The construction of multi-unit housing we feel will destroy this lovely neighborhood and take its charm away. I personally will not want to live in my current residence if Cretin Ave., Cleveland Ave., and the River Road become freeways to Interstate 94. There is insufficient infrastructure in the adjoining neighborhoods to
	and the state of increase in boundary bases have a considered by the state of the s

fell in love with and decided to move our families into.

support this type of increase in housing. Please keep our neighborhood the same one we

99 Dale Johnson	1/31/2017 1:50	We pay outrageous taxes in Highland and now you want us to shoulder high density & unacceptable traffic. The people charged with this project are blowing smoke with their projections of a beautiful walkable complex.
100 Kevin Leehan		This is not New York, or Calcutta, and we do need a 30% increase in population density in the neighborhood.
101 Katherine Robertson	1/31/2017 1:56	
102 Susan Lorenz	1/31/2017 2:01	
103 Steve English		Thanks for taking the lead on this.
104 Kate Hunt	1/31/2017 2:17	
105 Betsy Kelly	1/31/2017 2:18	
106 Lynn Hodulik	1/31/2017 2:42	
107 Addy Murtaugh		As a resident in the St, Paul Highland Park neighborhood, I am concerned about the increased number of housing units in our area on the Fort Site. The numbers exceed the area's ability to accommodate the numbers the developers are proposing.
108 Mary henseler	1/31/2017 4:25	
109 linda gammell		High density will affect our relationship with access to the river, its trails, and walkways,
Ü	, ,	birds, animals, cars. The balance will be disturbed by too many people living in high concentration.
110 Alaina Kelley	1/31/2017 4:45	
111 Riva Rains	1/31/2017 5:06	
112 Kathy Passe	1/31/2017 12:36	
113 Jim hamel	1/31/2017 13:34	
114 Ann Stark	1/31/2017 14:14	I have lived in Highland Park for the majority of my life. I would hate to see what adding over 8,000 residents would do to our neighborhood.
115 Doug ries	1/31/2017 14:25	
116 Nicole Hamel	1/31/2017 14:35	
117 Carlos R Cruz		I'm not opposed to higher density in the area, but I'm concerned about the number of housing / rental units proposed (and the height). Let's make sure this is manageable for the neighborhood, and ensure there's enough green space for the community.
118 Mike McQuillan	1/31/2017 16:31	
119 Terry Hamm	1/31/2017 16:56	This is a prime area for development given midway between the central cities, nearby natural beauty and commercial businesses. Hard to believe developing it requires 10 story buildings that would ruin the neighborhood's charm, I could live with 6 stories as a compromise.
120 Cliffton Kooperman	1/31/2017 17:01	
121 Tara Syverson Wroblewsk	1/31/2017 17:53	
122 Jon Brandon Penhale	1/31/2017 18:27	This is motivated by greed and built by people who won't set foot here after the project
122 Cratchan	1/21/2017 10:20	is done.
123 Gretchen	1/31/2017 18:38	
124 Chase Hippen	1/31/2017 22:11 1/31/2017 23:29	
125 Benjamin Babcock 126 Susan Russell	2/1/2017 0:32	
127 Joel Krech	2/1/2017 0:32	
128 Mary Dunn	2/1/2017 1:10	
129 Bill Busch	2/1/2017 11:06	
130 Gibson Batch		Cut height in half, more parks. More is less.
131 John Robertson	2/1/2017 13:24	
132 kris ohnsorg	2/1/2017 17:09	
133 Brian Holmes	2/1/2017 18:39	
134 Mary Kay Nickelson		This is so important - please listen to those of us living in the area.
135 Michael Dunn	2/1/2017 19:36	
136 Joe dotson	2/1/2017 20:57	

137 James Winterer	c li a r	Having watched in recent years the kind of development we've seen along the river corridor, from downtown St. Paul to Highland, I predicted a long time ago that we'd have arge apartment buildings on the Ford site. I attended all the neighborhood meetings about the site, and for a while had hopes that the site would be more like the rest of our neighborhood. Sadly, I was wrong. This kind of density is just too much, and a
		disappointment.
138 Karen Brown	2/1/2017 23:11	
139 Mark Bergeron	2/2/2017 3:04	
140 Kimberly	2/2/2017 3:06	
141 Molly O		Please do not build high-density housing in Highland!
142 William Busch	2/2/2017 12:12	
143 Paul Knapp	2/3/2017 0:57	
144 Tom Audette	2/3/2017 1:39	
145 Jean olson	2/3/2017 3:13	
146 Alison Midden		Our neighborhood would be greatly improved with more ample space in the former Ford site.
147 Cathy clyde	2/3/2017 3:33	
148 Gina Cincinelli		High density housing will increase problems from traffic to crime. Need more green space to keep Twin Cities beautiful!!!
149 Bob Graf	2/3/2017 4:23	
150 Cathy Ringer	2/3/2017 4:46 L	Let's keep the Highland Macalester Groveland area in a lower density standard. Crime and violence has been on an increase in the area and a large increase in population is not going to positively impact the neighborhood.
151 Kenton Johnson		More community park land with less dense housing.
152 Audrey Williams	2/3/2017 12:34	The community park land with less dense housing.
153 Sue MacMillan	2/3/2017 12:55 T T	The traffic is already impossible on Ford Parkway, and at the intersection with Cleveland.  There is no way the neighborhood can absorb so much additional traffic. It's been enough when roads are clear and dry, but in bad weather or during road construction, it would be impossible to get around.
154 Karen McCann	2/3/2017 15:24	would be impossible to get around.
155 Nora Fitzpatrick	2/3/2017 15:29	
156 KT	2/3/2017 16:23	
157 janet llerandi	2/3/2017 16:50	
158 Sue Michel	2/3/2017 17:01	
159 Ida Ellis		Ne want the Ford development kept at a low density standard.
160 Tim and Judy Giuliani	2/3/2017 23:12 V a	We are against the planned density for the Ford property redevelopment and all of the attendant traffic congestion that will come with that many of new residents expected.
161 Bill Troxel	2/4/2017 0:39	
162 Julie Talens Paske	2/4/2017 1:36	
163 deb mulcahy		We'd like many one family houses to be built in Ford location, rather than only multiple family dwellings.
164 Jacquelyn Thorson	2/4/2017 17:07	, 5
165 jim mulcahy	2/4/2017 17:07	
166 Sarah Aamodt	2/4/2017 17:39 F f	Please cap the bldg height and add additional green space! AND save the Ford baseball fields!!!!!! Our roads can't handle the influx of that many people and the congestion to that area.
167 S Larson	2/4/2017 18:31	
168 Stephanie Ybarra-benitez	2/4/2017 22:28	
169 James C Megas	2/5/2017 4:28	
170 Cathy Gagliardi	2/5/2017 14:28 1	10 stories high would be a disgrace to our Highland Park, and our roads are already too congested.
171 Tim Sabin	2/5/2017 15:48	
172 Sue McDonough	2/5/2017 17:08	
-		

173 Roy Manuel Llerandi	2/5/2017 17:22	
174 michelle Lenhardt	2/5/2017 19:36	
175 Emily Folstad	2/5/2017 21:21	
176 Courtney Nagle		We need more green space please!
177 John FW Thuente	2/6/2017 16:34	
178 Sara Homstad	2/6/2017 17:41	
179 Margaret Galvin	2/6/2017 18:32	Please pay attention to this petition, we are the people who live here and will most endure the problems associated with the current over-development planned.
180 Laurel Zaepfel	2/6/2017 20:40	
181 Ellen Stephens	2/7/2017 1:38	
182 Natalie Lepkowski	2/7/2017 3:06	
183 Nora Beckjord		I have lived on Ford Pkwy since 1991. This new development will make our traffic
	_,,,	problems more unbearable that they already are, not to mention the impact on our
		environment. Let's keep some open green space for our Birds and wildlife!
		chimoninenti zees keep some open green space for our biras and whatie.
184 F F Peters	2/7/2017 17:58	Keep it green and clean!!! Plan for the next 100 years and beyond. We will be living communally by then.
185 Jill Treacy	2/7/2017 23:02	
186 Andrew Golfis	2/8/2017 0:56	35 years resident at 570 Mt Curve Blvd
187 Allan	2/8/2017 1:08	
188 Michael Gayle	2/8/2017 1:54	
189 Denise Spreng	2/8/2017 1:55	
190 Mary Olander	2/8/2017 3:40	
191 Donna Kufus	2/8/2017 4:01	
192 Molly hotttinger	2/8/2017 4:56	This will destroy our community forcing many families to leave highland park
193 Mary WalkerBuchanan	2/8/2017 14:24	
194 Jack Fistler	2/8/2017 18:04	I urge adoption of a low-density standard for development that reflects and embraces
		the "neighborhood" lifestyle of this area of Highland Park.
195 Gary Martland	2/8/2017 22:34	Great idea. Another is to swap the HGC for the land and develop Ford into. GC and HGC
		into residential
196 Kathy Monahan-Rial	2/8/2017 22:40	
197 Jutta R Crowder	2/9/2017 17:49	
198 angie barker	2/10/2017 17:12	
199 Michael Selon	2/14/2017 12:51	
200 melinda Bonk	2/14/2017 13:21	
201 Jenny Winkelman	2/14/2017 14:09	Why are developers and planners not REALLY listening to the residents - who both live here and pay taxes?
202 Katie thomas	2/14/2017 14:09	nere and pay taxes:
203 Dan Glienke	2/14/2017 14:03	
204 Liz DeLay	2/14/2017 14:23	
205 Sandy Crippen		Have lived in Highland VILLAGE for over 40 years - dpi;d ;eve tp see to remain a village -
203 Sandy Crippen	2/14/2017 13.33	even now it is less than a village than it was - would hate to lose even more of that feel.
		My dream is some cottage style homes that would fit with the village style and definitely
206 Sharon Mueller	2/14/2017 17:06	green space
207 Matthews	2/14/2017 17:30	
208 roger	2/14/2017 17:50 2/14/2017 21:51	
209 Jill Warren	2/14/2017 21:31 2/14/2017 22:03	
210 Sue O'Neill	2/15/2017 22:03	
211 woody lievers	2/15/2017 0:49	
212 Karen kodzik	2/15/2017 0:32	
ZIZ NAICII NUUZIN	2/13/201/ 1.49	

213 Catherine Selin	2/15/2017 17:10 I am concerned about the increase in population and 10 story buildings at the Ford Site I think it will reduce the beauty and neighborhood feeling of the Highland area. The	е.
214 Greg Nayman	traffic congestion will be terrible.  2/15/2017 18:10 Ford took this area away from us and the river. We have an opportunity to create something special in its place. This should look like a park when we finish developmen Let's consider what it will look like from other vantage points.	ıt.
215 Kate Siebert	2/15/2017 20:34	
216 Jeff Siebert	2/15/2017 20:39	
217 Christine Nayman	2/15/2017 22:04 I am ADAMANTLY opposed to anything taller than 5 stories at the former Ford plant sit  - it will be incongruous to the neighborhood and an eyesore. This is NOT a good proposed option for the site	te -
218 Inna Braginsky	2/16/2017 1:48	
219 Pat Kelly	2/16/2017 2:26 Low density!!!	
220 Gail	2/16/2017 8:33	
221 Jennifer Krzmarzick	2/16/2017 11:50	
222 Sarah Dolejs	2/16/2017 13:03 I say no to high density housing on the former Ford Property.	
223 Elaine Stellick White	2/16/2017 14:09	
224 Shannon Dahl	2/16/2017 14:35	
225 Margaret Johnson	2/16/2017 21:39 The appeal of highland village is The small town feel. I'm afraid that is going to be	
_	destroyed.	
226 Carol Larson	2/17/2017 1:54	
227 Renate Sharp	2/17/2017 4:19	
228 Patsy Piazza	2/17/2017 4:29 Keep things no higher than 3 stories and focus on ample green space.	
229 Ben Green	2/17/2017 13:07	
230 Laurie Schaaf	2/17/2017 14:13	
231 Cindy Syme	2/17/2017 16:55	
232 Aaron Paleen	2/17/2017 19:32	
233 Robert Warner	2/17/2017 22:39	
234 Katie Tuma	2/17/2017 23:18 Really look at all needs scrutinize why high density areas would benefit Highland in the	he
	long run.	
235 Laura Rubin	2/18/2017 0:57	
236 Marisa Geisler	2/18/2017 6:10	
237 Ari Finch-Koinuma	2/18/2017 10:22	
238 Scott Norquist	2/18/2017 13:57	
239 Amy coughlan	2/18/2017 18:54	
240 Carole Faricy	2/18/2017 19:05 Thanks for all your work on this project.	
241 Margaret Kilpatrick	2/19/2017 0:36	
242 Susan McMahon	2/19/2017 4:32 Too dense! Local traffic effects & congestion. Get real on traffic. Rethink. More exits & entrances! Effects on adjoining homes & streets. More green space!!	L
243 Matthew Dunn	2/20/2017 10:41	
244 Whitney Murphy	2/20/2017 10:41 2/20/2017 15:07	
245 Paul Murphy	2/20/2017 15:07 2/20/2017 15:18	
246 Christine Bergeron	2/20/2017 13:18  2/20/2017 17:46 As property owners living off Cretin which will serve as a major conduit from I-94 to the	10
· ·	Ford Plant area this is a real concern	ıc
247 Wendi Chen	2/20/2017 19:57 We'd like to keep the population density down.	
248 Hella Lange	2/20/2017 23:15	

249 Sarah Kusa	2/21/2017 0:32	New development? Yes! But with proportions of green space that fit with our already walkable, bikeable neighborhood. We choose to live here because of the density that allows us to walk and bike to businesses and schools this is not a new concept to those of us who have chosen high property taxes for the benefits of city life. Please, don't act as if homeowners in Highland are car-dependent opponents to these plans. We are champions of walking and biking and using transit. But we are also champions of parks, green space and the shared river vistas that make this neighborhood so appealing. Develop wiselv!
250 Beverly Hoppe	2/21/2017 1:28	
251 William Hart	2/21/2017 2:11	We are concerned about the high density development because we cherish this lovely neighborhood.
252 Jim Nessa	2/21/2017 4:40	
253 Birgit John	2/21/2017 4:59	
254 jimm Crowder	2/22/2017 19:27	The proposed changes are outrageous. How can the City that I love and trust so much jeopardize safety, reduction of home values, increased traffic on residential streets? This is a sad statement.
255 Michele McQuillan	2/23/2017 1:17	
256 Deborah Jopp	2/24/2017 16:44	
257 Beth Friend	2/24/2017 16:46	Thank you for this!
258 Daniel Matschina	2/24/2017 17:27	
259 Andrea K Snow	2/24/2017 19:32	
260 Charlie Snow	2/24/2017 20:48	As a Highland resident for 33 years, I support this petition.
261 Nancy A Schneider	2/24/2017 20:51	
262 Laura Zelle	2/24/2017 21:55	I am opposed to the maximum density plan proposed and would like to see a revised lower density plan for the Ford site.
263 Saed Ikakish	2/24/2017 23:18	
264 solfrid ladstein	2/25/2017 4:02	
265 Beverly Moore		Keep Highland Park great so that we don't have to add "again" in the future.
266 Lou Glad	2/25/2017 17:21	
267 Judy Vermeland-Wendt	2/25/2017 20:56	
268 Kurt Klussendorf		10 stories is too high. Could go 6. Believe 10 is excessive density. Need to preserve the viewshed. Thanks!
269 Rachel Glad	2/25/2017 21:54	
270 Wendy mullaney	2/26/2017 20:27	
271 Mark Gerlach	2/28/2017 18:28	
272 Brigid Chase Curtiss	3/2/2017 1:19	
273 John Curtiss	3/2/2017 1:22	
274 Walter Grant	3/2/2017 16:25	
275 Michelle Doyle	3/2/2017 17:30	
276 Diane Michelfelder	3/2/2017 22:37	
277 Colleen Traxler	3/3/2017 1:48	
278 Andrew Traxler	3/3/2017 3:21	
279 Tom Traxler		We don't need light rail either.
280 ralph moore	3/3/2017 15:33	
281 Claire Taylor-Sherman	3/4/2017 16:08	
282 Ruth Butros	3/4/2017 16:21	This is just too much! The area around it will decrease in value and class the thet are the
283 Ginni Hughes	3/4/201/ 22:31	This is just too much! The area around it will decrease in value and clog streets that can't support this values of traffic
284 Karen A Osen	3/4/2017 22:45	support this volume of traffic.  With all the great potential the Ford site has to offer, I can't believe this is the best plan that could be visualized. Go back to the drawing board, please!!!
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

285 Michelle Berg		Please take the time needed to carefully consider the perils of density over-reach for this planned community. The very essence of St. Paul is that it is a residential city whose larger community has deep roots and a lot of personal (generational) loyalty to the area. Build a community that cultivates the idea that people are not anonymous hordes living cheek to jowl. Make it feel like the rest of the city: a big small town.
286 Laurie Koltes 287 Raymond Berg		I view this as another example of the aggressive overreach of the C. Coleman administration, alongside his many developer-friendly projects such as the Palace Theater, the coming MLS soccer stadium and his desire to rezone the major cross streets up and down Snelling Ave. to allow commercial and residential structures not in keeping with the neighborhood's traditional housing and apartment stock.
288 Svetlana Kartak	3/5/2017 21:57	
289 Nalini Dube	3/6/2017 0:52	
290 Wendy Merrell	3/6/2017 3:24	Hi! I do not agree with anything over 4 stories being built on the Ford Plant site. And we have worked hard to keep our area nice. You should be planning on at least 25% green space if not more. We can not support in area and money the plan that is being supported.
291 Michael Griffin	3/6/2017 14:35	
292 John Mills		To think that adding 4,000 new living units at the Ford Motor site is going to have little impact on traffic flow up and down the already busy Cretin Avenue is absurd and planning needs to widen its scope .
293 Ali Sousa	3/7/2017 5:09	
294 Lynn	3/7/2017 12:31	I am against this plan.
295 Nancy A Nelson	3/8/2017 2:31	
296 Russ Nelson	3/8/2017 2:32	
297 Katie	3/8/2017 15:50	
298 Patricia Ward		I'm opposed to adding another light rail for this area (e.g. on 46th St.). This community has enough traffic, air quality and noise with it's current density (Blue line, highway 55 traffic, and airport).
299 Kirsi Halonen	3/9/2017 0:03	
300 Chris Susag	3/9/2017 0:05	
301 Hannah Foldes	3/9/2017 2:56	
302 Steven Foster	3/9/2017 3:12	
303 Ellen Stekert	3/9/2017 4:43	
304 Beth Upton	3/9/2017 12:19	
305 Danny S	3/9/2017 14:28	
306 Dolores Stoesz		We need to make the site user friendly and protect the present housing in the village
		and not destroy our valuable image.
307 Heidi Schaeffer	3/9/2017 16:12	
308 Patricia Kelly		We do not need highrises. We need single family homes, duplexes, 2-story high apartments and MUCH more green space. 4,000 max more people.
309 Christopher Jensen		Stop the radical change of the landscape from residential to massive condos
310 Alisa Barnes		Is this really necessary? Keep saint Paul quaint and livable. Keep its charm, that's why people want to live here!
311 Jason Keillor	3/9/2017 19:25	
312 Nancy Hovland	3/9/2017 19:53	

313 Ann Goering		The proposal made by the City is entirely unrealistic. It fails to have sufficient parking and too many people for the adjoining streets to support. It is based upon an unrealistic, utopian view of how people should use vehicles rather than the reality that this is Minnesota where people with kids, older people, and just most people in general are not going to bike in the winter, or carry their groceries several blocks with little kids in tow from public transportation. People need cars to get their kids to soccer practice, and a place to park those cars. They need cars to get to the doctor and take the dog to the vet. The failure to recognize these facts will turn our neighborhood into a backlogged traffic nightmare.
314 Jennifer Fleming	3/9/2017 20:04	
315 Tara H	3/9/2017 20:28	
316 Cathy Iffert	3/9/2017 20:51	
317 Matthew Bjelland	3/9/2017 20:51	
318 Kate Diamond	3/9/2017 21:02	
319 Susan Bullard		I encourage the planners to reduce the density of the Ford Plant project, including
		reducing the height of the buildings and increasing the green space.
320 Joan Hummel	3/9/2017 21:27	Don't need mare needle and care in area
321 Sheryl Sloane 322 Etta Lambright	3/9/2017 21:56	Don't need more people and cars in area.
323 Graham Gilson	3/9/2017 22:28	
324 Owen Ekman		lower density, more green space and no rail of any kind is what I'm hearing from
324 OWEN EKINGI		everybody
325 Lucie Ochs	3/9/2017 22:49	
326 J Cohen Press	3/9/2017 23:58	The former Ford site is not designed for high-density housing. High-density housing (or high-rise commercial buildings) will destroy the liviability of Highland Park.
327 Sondra and Dwaine Glase	3/10/2017 0:26	
328 Barbara Bjelland	3/10/2017 3:29	This is very inappropriate for the Highland neighborhood, to say nothing about the increased traffic because of the excessive density. Edina Realty can build in suburban office park if that is what they want!
329 John Crosby	3/10/2017 3:45	,
330 Julie OBrien	3/10/2017 3:49	
331 Emily Danberry	3/10/2017 6:27	
332 Kathleen Deming		I personally think the entire site should be allowed to revert to a wild park, such as Crosby park with trails through it. The sad fact is that once a site has been built upon, it never reverts to a natural space for wildlife. And it would soak up a lot more rain drain off than all the piddling rain gardens! Let's go wild!
333 Judy Brink	3/10/2017 13:04	
334 John Elsner		I am for development on this site. I believe, as many others do, that the proposed density is too high. Please make sure the buildings are made from brick and stone, not that sham stucco, or concrete panels painted in colors.
335 Marsha Bell	3/10/2017 18:51	·
336 marijo wunderlich	3/10/2017 20:48	
337 jerry farrell	3/10/2017 22:39	
338 Judith Scoville	3/11/2017 0:34	
339 Marta Ljungkull	3/11/2017 20:00	
340 Gisela Peters		The Ford plant site offers a unique opportunity for St. Paul to create a livable community with abundant green space - from which the entire city will benefit.
341 Nick Shannon	3/14/2017 14:05	
342 Padraic S McGuire	3/14/2017 21:48	
343 Brian Stevens	3/17/2017 14:00	
344 Andy Pilney	3/18/2017 17:50	I support the preservation of St. Paul.

345 Betsy Judkins	3/18/2017 18:07	I wish the Ford site was JUST trees and trails, a quiet place. Mr. Tolbert only cares about tax money.
346 Troy Alexander	3/18/2017 20:18	tax money.
347 Jennie Weber	3/18/2017 21:18	
348 Paula Webster	3/19/2017 3:19	
349 Ed Michels	3/19/2017 4:15	
350 Steve DuMond		We don't want a mini downtown St. Paul.
351 John Faison	3/19/2017 14:44	
352 Barb Thukral	3/19/2017 13:31 3/19/2017 18:21	
353 Jeff Wright	3/19/2017 18:21	
354 Kevin Cunningham	3/20/2017 22:19	
_	3/20/2017 5:00	
355 Amy Fink		
356 Theresa griep	3/21/2017 14:31	
357 Laura Senger	3/22/2017 12:44	
358 Nancy Huspek	3/24/2017 22:07	
359 Michael Pickett	3/27/2017 2:51	
360 Brian N Holmes	3/29/2017 23:48	
361 Alissa Lawler	4/4/2017 13:44	
362 David Bird	4/4/201/ 23:38	This plan is terrible. The council members that support it should be exposed as the frauds that they are. People are taking bribes to push this through. This is criminal.
363 Jan Whitman	4/5/2017 0:50	
364 Peggy Dunham	4/8/2017 1:57	
365 Joanne Routzahn	4/11/2017 23:46	
366 Kathy Stack	4/19/2017 23:16	
367 Linda Moeller	4/20/2017 2::05	
368 Mark Seuntjens	4/20/2017 13:39	
369 Emily Saunders		I feel very strongly about this.
370 Patricia Golfis		This plan will adversely affect Highland Village as planned. Let's make this a positive for
570 Tatricia doms	4/20/2017 14:40	the village by creating more green space and less housing and traffic.
371 Melissa Mack	4/29/2017 20:38	
372 Charles Beckjord		isnt Highland, and st. paul, dense enough? Obviously, something will go where ford
,	, ., .	plant is, but it has to fit our infrastructure.
373 Suzanne Quinn-McDonalc	4/29/2017 22:20	I'm excited about the Ford site development, but would like to see the lowest density
graduit Quilli illa citat	., ,	plan possible. I am concerned about the health of our waterways.
374 Caitlin Weixel	4/29/2017 23:03	plan possible rain concerned about the health of our materials.
375 Leigh Homstad	4/30/2017 0:20	
376 Gail Gislason	4/30/2017 0:44	
377 Burcu Yordem	4/30/2017 3:16	
378 Joseph Rush		Please do not increase traffic on the surrounding streets.
379 Wendy Harter	4/30/2017 18:45	Trease do not morease traine on the surrounding streets.
380 Tara Wroblewski	4/30/2017 18:46	
381 Craig Farmer		The character of this entire area is at risk of losing the charm & neighborhood feel which
sor craig ranner	1,30,201, 13.1,	drew most of us to buy homes here. The 4 story building on Cleveland Ave. South is a
		good example of bad design. A 6-story building at Snelling & St. Clair was recently
		rejected. I don't oppose development of the Ford site, it just needs to be a coherent
		addition to the existing neighborhood
382 Dr William Brendel	4/30/2017 23:03	
383 Kelly deRosier	5/1/2017 0:27	
384 Agnes Mulvihill	5/1/2017 1:07	
385 Joe MacDonald	5/1/2017 1:43	
386 Marina	5/1/2017 3:43	
387 Renee Genereux	5/1/2017 9:42	

388 Anne Lynch	5/1/2017 10:52	
389 Jaqueline Huber	5/1/2017 11:54 NO	builds over 4-stories
390 Lee Ericksen	5/1/2017 20:50	
391 Craig Davidson	5/1/2017 21:20	
392 Vic Desotelle	5/2/2017 13:45 Des	sign for human value first.
393 Yvonne Leick		ep our community to the size it can manage.
394 Jennifer Stewart	5/3/2017 16:50 As a the do i	a Highland Park Resident I am concerned about the high density growth proposals on table. I get that there is pressure to maximize the tax base as much as possible, but I not believe it is worth compromising the quality of life that makes the area a sirable place to live.
395 Valerie Green	5/3/2017 17:13	
396 Jeffrey Marks	5/3/2017 17:34 Higl	h density development is crazy. It would cause too many problems such as traffic yham and it will destroy the neighborhood's character
397 Tess Kavanagh	5/4/2017 2:22	
398 Carson Vaillancourt	5/4/2017 2:29	
399 Christine Page	5/4/2017 4:00	
400 Patricia Mathews	5/4/2017 4:46	
401 Joseph Grossbaum	5/4/2017 7:11	
402 Celine Vachon	hav	kids walk home from school crossing both Cretin and Cleveland at the lights. They we had several near misses with the already extreme congestion and I worry what such density housing will mean for our livable and walkable neighborhood.
403 Kathryn McGuire	5/4/2017 14:47	
404 Katie Grohs		are a residential area and we should stay that way.
405 Deb Dornfeld		re green space! Less big monstrosities!
406 Ben Thomas	5/4/2017 17:05	
407 Thomas McNamara	5/4/2017 19:38	
408 Tisha Burke	5/4/2017 20:24	
409 Michael McGuire	5/4/2017 20:31 Stop	p the madness
410 John Dery	5/4/2017 20:38	
411 Joe Rubbelke	5/4/2017 23:42	
412 Liz White	5/5/2017 0:45 As a	a first-time home buyer, I am REFUSING to look at homes in STP that are anywhere se to Highland BECAUSE of this proposal. This will absolutely destroy the ghborhood.
413 Elizabeth brady	5/5/2017 1:23	
414 Robert B Winsor	5/5/2017 3:22	
415 Thomas cerrito	5/5/2017 3:43 Rea	ally? Let's make Highland into uptown? No thanks
416 Steve Busse	5/5/2017 3:49	
417 Tom Goldstein	con	need a moratorium on this project until we get consensus among the many stituencies affected by the draft plan and eliminate the substantial tax-increment
418 Fred Johnson	5/5/2017 19:15 I wi	ancing planned for the site.  Ill sign this, but the City is not listening We will use the power of our vote to drain  St. Paul swamp
419 Beth Hoppe-Stidham	5/5/2017 22:27 St P liva new ong	Paul has a proud tradition of maintaining green space and prioritizing adequate bility standards when doing their city planning. Development can't just be an exciting we tax base. It needs to consider long term quality of the communityto ensure an going strong tax base. Don't let this beautiful productive neighborhood decline bough overdevelopment.
420 VINCENT SCHIK	5/7/2017 20:20	ount over development.
421 Peter Schik	5/7/2017 20:22	
422 Brian Lindell	5/8/2017 21:51	
423 Tom Kreuzer	5/8/2017 21:52	
424 E Peterson	5/8/2017 22:49	
405 0	5/0/2047 22 F7	

5/8/2017 23:57

425 Dave Vernon

426 Trevor Gunderson	5/9/2017 0:38
427 Amy Weier	5/9/2017 0:51
428 Matthew Reinartz	5/9/2017 2:15
429 Heather Guggemos	5/9/2017 11:12
430 Ben Mingo	5/9/2017 11:35
431 Roger Norris	5/9/2017 15:47
432 Lynn Carroll	5/9/2017 17:05
433 Jean Hallermann	5/9/2017 17:27
434 Robert Wicker	5/9/2017 18:54
435 Tom Dietsche	5/10/2017 0:31 Traffic is the big issue, the city has not been realistic in studying how bad traffic would become on Cretin and Mount Curve (to get to 94), with a high density living plan. No, they aren't all going to become bikers and busers. Use current car usage rates and trends over the last 10 years to project, not some dreamy-eyed illusion.
436 Mary	5/10/2017 3:03
437 Lauren Siever	5/10/2017 3:35
438 Meg Arnosti	5/10/2017 12:31 We do not want to lose the charm of our special neighborhood by adding such a large amount of density.
439 Mindy Kurzer	5/10/2017 13:33
440 Elizabeth Wroblewski	5/10/2017 13:58 Please keep the Ford Site within the character of Highland Park so that it melds better with its surroundings. That will attract people to the Ford Site too!
441 Lori Brostrom	5/10/2017 15:12
442 Brian Bartholomay	5/10/2017 15:22
443 Pamela Zagaria	5/10/2017 16:21
444 Mal Mingo	5/10/2017 16:52
445 Pamela Schmid	5/10/2017 16:56
446 William Maloney	5/10/2017 18:33and don't reduce the low income offerings just to please the developers and tax base.
	Do the just and right thing. Thank you.
447 Laura Murphy	5/10/2017 20:41
448 Barbara Cox	5/10/2017 22:56
449 Laura L Machtemes	5/10/2017 23:00
450 Amy L Williams	5/10/2017 23:18
451 Deborah Mulcahy	5/10/2017 23:41 I'd like some single housing units and limit of 4 story buildings.
452 Philip Erickson	5/11/2017 0:08 The proposed density is outrageous!
453 Al Tarara	5/11/2017 0:27
454 Kate Hebel	5/11/2017 0:37
455 Gina Tarara	5/11/2017 1:02
456 Pat McDonough	5/11/2017 1:41
457 robert mason	5/11/2017 1:58
458 Martin Kappenman	5/11/2017 2:01
459 Kurt Kipfmueller	5/11/2017 2:08
460 William Kobett	5/11/2017 2:34
461 Robert Jastram	5/11/2017 2:46
462 Therese Pautz	5/11/2017 3:13 I oppose a high density plan for the Ford site.
463 Mark Thompson	5/11/2017 3:32
464 Penny Norquist	5/11/2017 3:46
465 Elizabeth Kappenman	5/11/2017 3:52
466 Brenda Erickson	5/11/2017 8:04 Have the guts to admit this WILL permanently change Highland Park.
467 Bruce Dressen	5/11/2017 12:31 There is no need for this high of density in this location. There is not sufficient infrastructure to effectively support it. This appears to be a tax grab to finance the
	development. I do not believe that the proposed amendments in this petition are
468 Christine R Aerts	unreasonable. 5/11/2017 13:34
468 Christine R Aerts 469 Jane Lagerquist	5/11/2017 13:34 5/11/2017 13:38

470 Kari Chase	5/11/2017 14:41	Thank you for pulling this together.
471 Janice Martland	5/11/2017 15:26	
472 Virginia Ryan	5/11/2017 15:47	
473 Katie Martin	5/11/2017 15:49	
474 Phillip Yetter	5/11/2017 15:49	Keep St Paul and Highland Park "livable" for all our residents: we need more green space,
		less density, less traffic in the new development.
475 Lai Ying	5/11/2017 15:57	The infrastructure around that area does not support that amount of growth in
		population.
476 physicus77 Yetter	5/11/2017 15:57	
477 Kaleigh McDonnell	5/11/2017 16:09	
478 Petra Fager		Highland park resident on Pinehurst
479 Todd Grover	5/11/2017 16:20	
480 Abby Grantham	5/11/2017 16:25	
481 Kimberly Dumitrica	5/11/2017 16:26	
482 Emily Kleiber	5/11/2017 16:32	
483 Peter Kleiber	5/11/2017 16:36	
484 Matt McGuire		Not opposed to developing the ford site and welcoming new neighbors but am against
		increasing the population by 30% in a manner that does not fit with the neighborhood.
485 George Hoppe	5/11/2017 18:28	
486 Ashleyegeorge	5/11/2017 18:28	
487 Maria Brendel	5/11/2017 19:59	
488 Lindsey Machtemes	5/11/2017 15:32	
489 patricia smith welles	5/11/2017 20:41	
490 Mary Stupka	5/11/2017 23:40	
491 Samantha Mason		I would really like the city to investigate traffic conditions in and out of this area before
.52 00		
		going further on development plans! The traffic in the area is bad already.
		going further on development plans! The traffic in the area is bad already.
492 Craig Dock	5/12/2017 0:04	going further on development plans! The traffic in the area is bad already.
492 Craig Dock 493 William Tamlyn		going further on development plans! The traffic in the area is bad already.
	5/12/2017 0:04 5/12/2017 0:05	going further on development plans! The traffic in the area is bad already.  10 story buildings are out a scale in residential neighborhoods.
493 William Tamlyn	5/12/2017 0:04 5/12/2017 0:05	
493 William Tamlyn 494 Thomas Romens	5/12/2017 0:04 5/12/2017 0:05 5/12/2017 0:47	
493 William Tamlyn 494 Thomas Romens 495 Gregg Angell	5/12/2017 0:04 5/12/2017 0:05 5/12/2017 0:47 5/12/2017 0:54	
493 William Tamlyn 494 Thomas Romens 495 Gregg Angell 496 Dan Fritze	5/12/2017 0:04 5/12/2017 0:05 5/12/2017 0:47 5/12/2017 0:54 5/12/2017 0:59	
<ul><li>493 William Tamlyn</li><li>494 Thomas Romens</li><li>495 Gregg Angell</li><li>496 Dan Fritze</li><li>497 Gail peterso</li></ul>	5/12/2017 0:04 5/12/2017 0:05 5/12/2017 0:47 5/12/2017 0:54 5/12/2017 0:59 5/12/2017 1:09	
<ul><li>493 William Tamlyn</li><li>494 Thomas Romens</li><li>495 Gregg Angell</li><li>496 Dan Fritze</li><li>497 Gail peterso</li><li>498 Laura Angell</li></ul>	5/12/2017 0:04 5/12/2017 0:05 5/12/2017 0:47 5/12/2017 0:54 5/12/2017 0:59 5/12/2017 1:09 5/12/2017 1:35 5/12/2017 1:46	
493 William Tamlyn 494 Thomas Romens 495 Gregg Angell 496 Dan Fritze 497 Gail peterso 498 Laura Angell 499 Frances Wilke 500 Virginia Johnson 501 Nancy Foote	5/12/2017 0:04 5/12/2017 0:05 5/12/2017 0:54 5/12/2017 0:59 5/12/2017 1:09 5/12/2017 1:35 5/12/2017 1:46 5/12/2017 2:07 5/12/2017 2:12	10 story buildings are out a scale in residential neighborhoods.
493 William Tamlyn 494 Thomas Romens 495 Gregg Angell 496 Dan Fritze 497 Gail peterso 498 Laura Angell 499 Frances Wilke 500 Virginia Johnson 501 Nancy Foote 502 Alexandra McDowell	5/12/2017 0:04 5/12/2017 0:05 5/12/2017 0:47 5/12/2017 0:54 5/12/2017 0:59 5/12/2017 1:09 5/12/2017 1:35 5/12/2017 1:46 5/12/2017 2:07 5/12/2017 2:12 5/12/2017 2:17	10 story buildings are out a scale in residential neighborhoods.  We strongly agree with this petition.
493 William Tamlyn 494 Thomas Romens 495 Gregg Angell 496 Dan Fritze 497 Gail peterso 498 Laura Angell 499 Frances Wilke 500 Virginia Johnson 501 Nancy Foote	5/12/2017 0:04 5/12/2017 0:05 5/12/2017 0:47 5/12/2017 0:54 5/12/2017 1:09 5/12/2017 1:35 5/12/2017 1:46 5/12/2017 2:07 5/12/2017 2:12 5/12/2017 2:17 5/12/2017 2:31	10 story buildings are out a scale in residential neighborhoods.  We strongly agree with this petition.  I have been to a number of community meetings and am not satisfied with the
493 William Tamlyn 494 Thomas Romens 495 Gregg Angell 496 Dan Fritze 497 Gail peterso 498 Laura Angell 499 Frances Wilke 500 Virginia Johnson 501 Nancy Foote 502 Alexandra McDowell	5/12/2017 0:04 5/12/2017 0:05 5/12/2017 0:47 5/12/2017 0:54 5/12/2017 1:09 5/12/2017 1:35 5/12/2017 1:46 5/12/2017 2:07 5/12/2017 2:12 5/12/2017 2:17 5/12/2017 2:31	10 story buildings are out a scale in residential neighborhoods.  We strongly agree with this petition.  I have been to a number of community meetings and am not satisfied with the remediation options offered to address the very real concerns we have raised. Lower
493 William Tamlyn 494 Thomas Romens 495 Gregg Angell 496 Dan Fritze 497 Gail peterso 498 Laura Angell 499 Frances Wilke 500 Virginia Johnson 501 Nancy Foote 502 Alexandra McDowell	5/12/2017 0:04 5/12/2017 0:05 5/12/2017 0:47 5/12/2017 0:54 5/12/2017 0:59 5/12/2017 1:09 5/12/2017 1:35 5/12/2017 1:46 5/12/2017 2:07 5/12/2017 2:12 5/12/2017 2:17 5/12/2017 2:31	10 story buildings are out a scale in residential neighborhoods.  We strongly agree with this petition.  I have been to a number of community meetings and am not satisfied with the remediation options offered to address the very real concerns we have raised. Lower density appears to be the only viable solution. We cannot absorb this much traffic or
493 William Tamlyn 494 Thomas Romens 495 Gregg Angell 496 Dan Fritze 497 Gail peterso 498 Laura Angell 499 Frances Wilke 500 Virginia Johnson 501 Nancy Foote 502 Alexandra McDowell 503 Michael Foldes	5/12/2017 0:04 5/12/2017 0:05 5/12/2017 0:47 5/12/2017 0:59 5/12/2017 1:09 5/12/2017 1:35 5/12/2017 1:46 5/12/2017 2:07 5/12/2017 2:12 5/12/2017 2:17 5/12/2017 2:31	10 story buildings are out a scale in residential neighborhoods.  We strongly agree with this petition.  I have been to a number of community meetings and am not satisfied with the remediation options offered to address the very real concerns we have raised. Lower density appears to be the only viable solution. We cannot absorb this much traffic or people.
493 William Tamlyn 494 Thomas Romens 495 Gregg Angell 496 Dan Fritze 497 Gail peterso 498 Laura Angell 499 Frances Wilke 500 Virginia Johnson 501 Nancy Foote 502 Alexandra McDowell	5/12/2017 0:04 5/12/2017 0:05 5/12/2017 0:47 5/12/2017 0:59 5/12/2017 1:09 5/12/2017 1:35 5/12/2017 1:46 5/12/2017 2:07 5/12/2017 2:12 5/12/2017 2:13 5/12/2017 2:31	10 story buildings are out a scale in residential neighborhoods.  We strongly agree with this petition.  I have been to a number of community meetings and am not satisfied with the remediation options offered to address the very real concerns we have raised. Lower density appears to be the only viable solution. We cannot absorb this much traffic or people.  Way too many residents & not enough green space. This plan does not support the
493 William Tamlyn 494 Thomas Romens 495 Gregg Angell 496 Dan Fritze 497 Gail peterso 498 Laura Angell 499 Frances Wilke 500 Virginia Johnson 501 Nancy Foote 502 Alexandra McDowell 503 Michael Foldes	5/12/2017 0:04 5/12/2017 0:05 5/12/2017 0:47 5/12/2017 0:54 5/12/2017 1:09 5/12/2017 1:35 5/12/2017 1:46 5/12/2017 2:07 5/12/2017 2:12 5/12/2017 2:13 5/12/2017 2:31	10 story buildings are out a scale in residential neighborhoods.  We strongly agree with this petition.  I have been to a number of community meetings and am not satisfied with the remediation options offered to address the very real concerns we have raised. Lower density appears to be the only viable solution. We cannot absorb this much traffic or people.  Way too many residents & not enough green space. This plan does not support the character of the neighborhood.
493 William Tamlyn 494 Thomas Romens 495 Gregg Angell 496 Dan Fritze 497 Gail peterso 498 Laura Angell 499 Frances Wilke 500 Virginia Johnson 501 Nancy Foote 502 Alexandra McDowell 503 Michael Foldes	5/12/2017 0:04 5/12/2017 0:05 5/12/2017 0:47 5/12/2017 0:54 5/12/2017 0:59 5/12/2017 1:09 5/12/2017 1:35 5/12/2017 1:46 5/12/2017 2:07 5/12/2017 2:12 5/12/2017 2:31 5/12/2017 3:18 5/12/2017 4:19	10 story buildings are out a scale in residential neighborhoods.  We strongly agree with this petition.  I have been to a number of community meetings and am not satisfied with the remediation options offered to address the very real concerns we have raised. Lower density appears to be the only viable solution. We cannot absorb this much traffic or people.  Way too many residents & not enough green space. This plan does not support the character of the neighborhood.  the planning commission needs to take into account that rental units can legally have 2
493 William Tamlyn 494 Thomas Romens 495 Gregg Angell 496 Dan Fritze 497 Gail peterso 498 Laura Angell 499 Frances Wilke 500 Virginia Johnson 501 Nancy Foote 502 Alexandra McDowell 503 Michael Foldes	5/12/2017 0:04 5/12/2017 0:05 5/12/2017 0:47 5/12/2017 0:54 5/12/2017 1:09 5/12/2017 1:35 5/12/2017 1:46 5/12/2017 2:07 5/12/2017 2:12 5/12/2017 2:17 5/12/2017 2:31 5/12/2017 3:18 5/12/2017 4:19	10 story buildings are out a scale in residential neighborhoods.  We strongly agree with this petition.  I have been to a number of community meetings and am not satisfied with the remediation options offered to address the very real concerns we have raised. Lower density appears to be the only viable solution. We cannot absorb this much traffic or people.  Way too many residents & not enough green space. This plan does not support the character of the neighborhood.  the planning commission needs to take into account that rental units can legally have 2 people per bedroom. they should have to plan on the maximum number of possible
493 William Tamlyn 494 Thomas Romens 495 Gregg Angell 496 Dan Fritze 497 Gail peterso 498 Laura Angell 499 Frances Wilke 500 Virginia Johnson 501 Nancy Foote 502 Alexandra McDowell 503 Michael Foldes	5/12/2017 0:04 5/12/2017 0:05 5/12/2017 0:47 5/12/2017 0:59 5/12/2017 1:09 5/12/2017 1:35 5/12/2017 1:46 5/12/2017 2:07 5/12/2017 2:12 5/12/2017 2:13 5/12/2017 2:31 5/12/2017 3:18 5/12/2017 4:19	10 story buildings are out a scale in residential neighborhoods.  We strongly agree with this petition.  I have been to a number of community meetings and am not satisfied with the remediation options offered to address the very real concerns we have raised. Lower density appears to be the only viable solution. We cannot absorb this much traffic or people.  Way too many residents & not enough green space. This plan does not support the character of the neighborhood.  the planning commission needs to take into account that rental units can legally have 2 people per bedroom. they should have to plan on the maximum number of possible residents when doing impact plans on people, vehicles etc. For instance a 2 bedroom unit
493 William Tamlyn 494 Thomas Romens 495 Gregg Angell 496 Dan Fritze 497 Gail peterso 498 Laura Angell 499 Frances Wilke 500 Virginia Johnson 501 Nancy Foote 502 Alexandra McDowell 503 Michael Foldes  504 Rae Cornelius 505 Anne McQuillan	5/12/2017 0:04 5/12/2017 0:05 5/12/2017 0:47 5/12/2017 0:59 5/12/2017 1:09 5/12/2017 1:35 5/12/2017 1:46 5/12/2017 2:07 5/12/2017 2:12 5/12/2017 2:13 5/12/2017 2:31 5/12/2017 3:18 5/12/2017 4:19	10 story buildings are out a scale in residential neighborhoods.  We strongly agree with this petition.  I have been to a number of community meetings and am not satisfied with the remediation options offered to address the very real concerns we have raised. Lower density appears to be the only viable solution. We cannot absorb this much traffic or people.  Way too many residents & not enough green space. This plan does not support the character of the neighborhood. the planning commission needs to take into account that rental units can legally have 2 people per bedroom. they should have to plan on the maximum number of possible residents when doing impact plans on people, vehicles etc. For instance a 2 bedroom unit could have 4 residents and 4 cars.
493 William Tamlyn 494 Thomas Romens 495 Gregg Angell 496 Dan Fritze 497 Gail peterso 498 Laura Angell 499 Frances Wilke 500 Virginia Johnson 501 Nancy Foote 502 Alexandra McDowell 503 Michael Foldes  504 Rae Cornelius 505 Anne McQuillan	5/12/2017 0:04 5/12/2017 0:05 5/12/2017 0:47 5/12/2017 0:54 5/12/2017 1:09 5/12/2017 1:35 5/12/2017 1:46 5/12/2017 2:07 5/12/2017 2:12 5/12/2017 2:13 5/12/2017 2:31 5/12/2017 3:18 5/12/2017 4:19	10 story buildings are out a scale in residential neighborhoods.  We strongly agree with this petition.  I have been to a number of community meetings and am not satisfied with the remediation options offered to address the very real concerns we have raised. Lower density appears to be the only viable solution. We cannot absorb this much traffic or people.  Way too many residents & not enough green space. This plan does not support the character of the neighborhood.  the planning commission needs to take into account that rental units can legally have 2 people per bedroom. they should have to plan on the maximum number of possible residents when doing impact plans on people, vehicles etc. For instance a 2 bedroom unit could have 4 residents and 4 cars. Please consider quality of life over a larger tax base or you will lose in the end.
493 William Tamlyn 494 Thomas Romens 495 Gregg Angell 496 Dan Fritze 497 Gail peterso 498 Laura Angell 499 Frances Wilke 500 Virginia Johnson 501 Nancy Foote 502 Alexandra McDowell 503 Michael Foldes  504 Rae Cornelius 505 Anne McQuillan	5/12/2017 0:04 5/12/2017 0:05 5/12/2017 0:47 5/12/2017 0:54 5/12/2017 0:59 5/12/2017 1:09 5/12/2017 1:35 5/12/2017 2:07 5/12/2017 2:12 5/12/2017 2:12 5/12/2017 2:31 5/12/2017 3:18 5/12/2017 4:19 5/12/2017 4:29 5/12/2017 4:32	10 story buildings are out a scale in residential neighborhoods.  We strongly agree with this petition.  I have been to a number of community meetings and am not satisfied with the remediation options offered to address the very real concerns we have raised. Lower density appears to be the only viable solution. We cannot absorb this much traffic or people.  Way too many residents & not enough green space. This plan does not support the character of the neighborhood. the planning commission needs to take into account that rental units can legally have 2 people per bedroom. they should have to plan on the maximum number of possible residents when doing impact plans on people, vehicles etc. For instance a 2 bedroom unit could have 4 residents and 4 cars.

5/12/2017 4:34

508 Paul Ferfon

509 Tammy Reno	5/12/2017 11:17
510 Jeri Lu Mattson	5/12/2017 13:24
511 karen james	5/12/2017 13:44
512 Tyler Penniman	5/12/2017 14:18 Please do not allow the density of housing that is being proposed. There is no way the
	neighborhood can absorb that level of population increase.
513 John Wetschka	5/12/2017 16:17
514 Clarence Chapliin	5/12/2017 16:44 I believe 3400 housing units and a population increase of 5000-7000 would result in significant increase in congestion. I ask the city to take another look at this and reduce the planned density
515 Susan Olshanski	5/12/2017 17:43 This will change what makes living in St. Paul/Minneapolis so great.
516 Bill Long	5/12/2017 17:59
517 Barbara Mingo	5/13/2017 0:40
518 Kim Ditter	5/13/2017 3:01 Love Mac Groveland and the small town feel! Cretin Ave and Cleveland Ave are already
	too busy. Don't ruin it!!
519 Angela Terry	5/13/2017 3:34
520 Ashley Kirr	5/13/2017 3:54
521 Mary and Bob Warner	5/13/2017 12:47 Time to listen to the neighborhood. We love and support highland. Tone it down and
,	remember less is more.
522 Margie Makredes	5/13/2017 13:24 It us extremely important to maintain a comfortable lifestyle in St. Paul and particularly Highland Park. High density housing is prone to many problems and can turn into a slum environment. St. Paul has a once in a life rime chance to get the development right.
FOO File-bash Dita Caibl	F /42 /2047 4 4 27
523 Elizabeth Rita Goihl	5/13/2017 14:37
524 Kristie Estes	5/13/2017 14:55 5/13/2017 14:57
525 Frank Delaney 526 Kate Lefebvre	5/13/2017 14:57 5/13/2017 15:06 Highrises would totally ruin not only Highland Village but surrounding neighborhoods as
320 Rate Lefebvie	well. This is so shortsighted to allow this.
527 Justin Young	5/13/2017 16:15
528 Trisha Kes	5/13/2017 16:32
529 Anne Yuska	5/13/2017 16:48 Anything over 4 stories is too tall and anything over a short block long is too long! We
	can still have higher density without confusing high with height!
530 Sara Weil	5/13/2017 18:38
531 Jmes Neece	5/13/2017 18:40
532 Alec Syme	5/13/2017 18:55
533 Stacey Von Waldc	5/13/2017 21:39
534 Terri Yetter	5/13/2017 21:44 Please reduce the density and add more green space to make the neighborhood livable for all. This development doesn't need to be another Calhoun Square.
535 Tom Mountain	5/13/2017 21:49
536 Jill Gebhardt	5/13/2017 22:06
537 Kate Knapp	5/13/2017 22:16
538 Patrick Beedle	5/13/2017 22:39
539 Emma Broadnax	5/13/2017 23:12
540 carolyn brandt	5/14/2017 0:57 There needs to be a special commission to look at traffic. Along with this, a space analysis. Third, how will this plan affect the river. Forth, our neighborhood has character and its design is essential to stay with its history. Building apartment buildings, along with duplexes and single homes is consistent with what we have now. Building 10 story buildings is not. More studies and neighborhood meetings is essential. We all moved to this area for it charm and small city feel, very different than our cosmopolitan twin.
541 Ben Rains	5/14/2017 1:41
542 Laura K	5/14/2017 4:02
543 Robert Sutherland	5/14/2017 5:07
544 Shari Lauren	5/14/2017 5:22
EAE Joo and Lori McCaho	E/14/2017 10:E2

5/14/2017 10:52

545 Joe and Lori McCabe

546 Jim and Linda Sund	5/14/2017 11:14	
547 Becky Amidon	5/14/2017 11:36	
548 John Kingrey	5/14/2017 13:38	I support appropriate/reasonable use of the Ford site. The current plan is too much high-
		density development.
549 Gene Sonnen	5/14/2017 14:23	
550 Jessica Glendenning	5/14/2017 15:39	
551 Aaron Klein	5/14/2017 17:03	
552 Steve Bloomquist		Need a better plan.
553 Judy Neece	5/14/2017 18:42	
554 Soren Mahowald	5/14/201/ 18:52	there is no reason for this development to try and jam that many people and cars in
FFF Dan LaManna	F /1 4 /2017 10.42	Highland
555 Dan LaManna		Keep St. Paul boring. :)
556 Erin LaManna	5/14/2017 19:46 5/14/2017 21:24	
557 Carol Engel 558 Laura Benton	5/15/2017 21:24	
559 Kristen Fellows	5/15/2017 0:10	
560 Beau Beck	5/15/2017 2:48	
561 Peg Corneille	5/15/2017 2:48	
562 Noreen Bird		The current plan will ruin the neighborhood. It is based on assumptions and false
302 Noteen Bird	3/13/2017 3.13	statements, it opposes logic. More people =more traffic =less family friendly. It is that
		simple.
563 Sue ONeill	5/15/2017 4:47	·
564 Ray Fini	5/15/2017 11:07	
565 Katy Fini	5/15/2017 11:14	
566 Eileen Mueller	5/15/2017 11:21	
567 Liz Longval	5/15/2017 11:46	
568 Bj rains		The traffic is already terrible, adding that many new people to the area would be a
,	-, -, -	disaster.
569 Paul Nicholas	5/15/2017 13:15	While I no longer live in the area, I was a long time resident and continue to be a
		frequent visitor because of a number of friends. I've found myself going to the area less
		in recent years because the increase in traffic/people is paralyzing. I love the area and it
		makes me sad to see it losing it's charm to the high-density approach currently so
		popular in urban planning.
570 Joel Smolarek	5/15/2017 13:47	
571 Jesse Onkka	5/15/2017 14:43	I live on Cretin Ave, 7,000 more people coming and going every day would make it
		impossible for me to get out of my own driveway. While not all of them would come
		down my street it's the fastest way to 94 and the main route people take to and from
		both downtown's each day as they heat to work.
572 Curtis Chandler	5/15/2017 15:16	
573 Colleen Galloway		I want a tiny house community on this site. Sustainable and lots of green space.
574 Connie Lindblade Fergusc	5/15/2017 16:49	
575 Leslie Bonk	5/15/2017 17:20	
576 Danny Stark	5/15/2017 17:44	
577 Suzanne Anderson	5/15/2017 17:57	
578 Mary Bess Michaletz	5/15/2017 19:16	
579 Joseph Milner	5/15/2017 20:18	
580 Melissa Varanasi	5/15/2017 23:33	
581 Dianne Ploetz	5/16/2017 4:30	
582 Linda and Dan Burns	5/16/2017 7:19	
583 Mark B	3/10/201/ 12:43	Please keep highland park livable. Traffic has already become a nightmare and the roads
		are literally falling apart. More businesses, homes and traffic needs to be addressed in a logical common sense way that keeps our neighborhood functioning and livable
		Tobical confinion sense way that keeps out heighborhood fullcholling and livable

5/16/2017 17:49

584 Tim

585 Leah Larson	5/16/2017 18:24	
586 Lynn Marceau	5/16/2017 19:55	Please don't ruin what could be an amazing addition to St. Paul. High density living is not
		the answer.
587 Casey Stephens	5/17/2017 0:47	
588 Will Hoppe	5/17/2017 1:45	
589 Enoch Peterson	5/17/2017 2:24	
590 Karen Osen	5/17/2017 2:48	25% green space is minimal, and infrastructure won't support 8600 new residents. Get real! Be reasonable! Everything in moderation!
591 Thomas Dvorak	5/17/2017 12:14	Elected officials work for us, the citizens (newsflash). Listen to what we, the citizens,
		support for our neighborhood!
592 Richard Rosenberg	5/17/2017 13:23	
593 Ronald Bennett	5/17/2017 14:26	
594 David Wallinga	5/18/2017 13:07	
595 Elizabeth Hayes	5/18/2017 13:08	
596 Stephanie Ross	5/18/2017 13:35	
597 Laura Walter	5/19/2017 12:59	
598 Joe Walter	5/19/2017 13:02	
599 Ryan Lins		Highland Park/village homeowner
600 Karen Kirkhoff	5/19/2017 19:51	
601 Dianne Kocourek Ploetz	5/20/2017 4:09	
602 D swanson	5/20/2017 4:57	
603 Camille mondry	5/20/2017 9:26	
604 Natalie lovejoy		Keep more green space, make the buildings fit the landscape and consider the traffic
		which is already always backed up. This isn't a great opportunity to build some incredible community space. It's 2017, let's design this space thinking forward for our children. Follow the shapes of the river. This grid is not forward thinking. Think, Centennial lakes in Edina. Fountains, a performance space, integrated recycling and compost educational areas. Solar power, and curved streets. These are modern times. Let's stay ahead of that.
605 Mary Saul	5/20/2017 12:47	
606 Kate Mura		Green space is important. The increased number of automobiles and trucks in Highland Village is not a pleasant sight. Developing the vacated Ford Plant space is important but needs to retain the character that has made it attractive as it is. I have toured the new 4-story building on Cleveland Avenue & Highland Parkway and am not at all pleased at the prospect of more buildings of that size in our area.
607 Heidi Tamlyn	5/20/2017 13:29	
608 Heather Phillips	5/20/2017 19:25	
609 Mauri Lyn Evans	5/20/2017 19:30	
610 S hamill		More starter homes. There is demand from young families and lower incomestop the
		high density high rises.
611 Mark Wingerd	5/20/2017 21:51	The delisity mentions
612 Ann Tinnes	5/20/2017 22:28	
613 Malena	5/21/2017 2:28	
614 Bill warner		We need T2 zoning. The traffic will be a nightmare otherwise. The pkwy will be overrun
or biii warner		with cars.
615 Elijah neumann	5/21/2017 14:42	
616 Roseann Rogers	5/21/2017 15:39	
617 Michael larson	5/21/2017 17:16	
618 Jason Kaufman	5/21/2017 18:27	
619 Jonie Hansen	5/21/2017 19:12	
620 Kevin	5/21/2017 23:30	
621 Katherine Montague	5/22/2017 1:54	
2 2 2	-, ,	

622 Amy G	5/22/2017 4:04	Please do NOT WRECK what could be a beautiful and thoughtfully, tastefully planned
622 1 51 1	F /22 /2047 42 26	new space for Highland Park!
623 Jean Eich	5/22/2017 12:26	
624 Elizabeth Drumm	5/22/2017 23:00	
625 Bill Rogers 626 Bonita Benson	5/23/2017 12:38	I agree totally with the general thought which states that the plan as it is means over-
020 BOIIIta Belisoli	3/23/2017 21.10	development for the area.
627 Lori Lins	5/24/2017 0:04	·
628 Michael J Jendro	5/24/2017 0:04	
629 Mariah Wold	5/24/2017 1:56	
630 Jim McGuire	5/24/2017 3:28	
631 Megan Brown	5/24/2017 3:53	
632 Brett Charpentier	5/24/2017 5:26	
633 Shawn Maguire	5/24/2017 8:27	
634 Allison McLaughlin	5/24/2017 10:49	
635 Ian Davy	5/24/2017 11:01	
636 Sheila Torres	5/24/2017 11:19	
637 Lorelei Weidman	5/24/2017 11:31	
638 Nicole Bettenburg	5/24/2017 12:21	
639 Jenna	5/24/2017 12:53	
640 Charle	5/24/2017 13:06	
641 Court Winjum	5/24/2017 13:29	I'm in
642 Jeff Quinn	5/24/2017 15:39	
643 James B Voigt	5/24/2017 15:53	
644 Lauren E Voigt	5/24/2017 15:53	
645 Natalie M	5/25/2017 1:15	
646 Gabe Stejskal	5/25/2017 4:29	
647 Paula Jungbauer	5/25/2017 14:05	
648 Sam Tsai	5/25/2017 14:20	
649 Ellen Muschenheim	5/25/2017 15:37	
650 Kathleen Helgeson	5/25/2017 19:12	
651 Debra Burns	5/25/2017 22:09	
652 Mary Joy Breton	5/26/2017 0:37	Thank heaven, Highland Park citizens are now organizing to resist the excessive buildout proposals being put forward. More power to everyone who is joining this critical effort.
653 Carol Broadnax	5/26/2017 2:00	
654 ML Wilm	5/26/2017 2:32	I'm in favor of less development particularly in sensitive areas such as the Mississippi
		River Gorge, a National Park.
655 Denise Breton	5/26/2017 13:14	The plans being pushed through are all for the developers and politicians and not for the residents. We need lower density housing, more green spaces, and not one taxpayer
		dollar spent on bankrolling developers, the returns of which we would not see for 25
		years! Thank you to Neighbors for a Livable Ford Village for fighting this plan, which is so
		far beyond what the neighborhood can bear. Once a bad plan goes through and the
		developers take their money and leave, we live with the outcomes forever after.
656 JJ Stanwyck	5/26/2017 16:32	
657 James Schroedermeier	5/27/2017 11:07	
658 George Keller	5/2//201/ 23:12	Please don't create a worse traffic and pollution issue for this area. We need more green
CEO Jassia Kina	F /20 /2017 1.F0	spaces and we have enough condos!
659 Jessie King	5/28/201/ 1:59	Please keep this re-development realistic so that it works in the community, keep our
		green space and make it a livavble area. Please don't forget that we all live here and
660 Sandy Audette	5/28/2017 12:33	need to make it work for all of us.
661 Maleiha Russell	5/29/2017 12:33	
OUT MIGIEING MUSSEN	3/23/201/ 3.41	

662 Ryan Bluhm	5/29/2017 14:51 I support this petition
663 John Neuville	5/29/2017 23:25
664 Miriam Holmes	5/30/2017 1:13
665 Christina Boyd-Smith	5/30/2017 20:51 I would like to see more single family housing and green space as part of the plan.
666 Florence Bittner	5/30/2017 21:45 Cretin Ave is now a busy street and can not handle more traffic
667 David Anderson	5/31/2017 1:28
668 Maria taft	5/31/2017 18:40
669 Michele Byfield Angell	6/1/2017 1:16
670 Paula Long	6/1/2017 1:58
671 Mary Dienhart	6/1/2017 2:24
672 Nancy Flinn	6/1/2017 10:41
673 Jean Rowe	6/1/2017 13:18 Please rethink this!
674 Dan Olson	6/1/2017 13:31
675 Theresa Glomb Miner	6/1/2017 14:01
676 Molly Sarakaitis	6/1/2017 14:05
677 Linda Abbott	6/1/2017 14:27
678 Shani Norberg	6/1/2017 15:48 Adding 7,200 more residents on the site represents a 30% increase to all of Highland in
	one very small plot of land and would make the density of the Ford site greater per
	square mile than New York City. Very concerned about the high density of residents and
	lack of green space proposed.
679 Michelle Stark	6/1/2017 15:52
680 Kevin Berg	6/1/2017 16:23 I have lived in Highland all my life. This much density just doesn't make sense to me.
681 Stuart Fagrelius	6/1/2017 17:24
682 Rosemary Fagrelius	6/1/2017 17:26
683 Sheila Czech	6/1/2017 18:01
684 Stacey Boehm	6/1/2017 18:04
685 Maureen Czech	6/1/2017 18:07
686 Dennis Czech	6/1/2017 18:31
687 Kevin Henseler	6/1/2017 18:43
688 Janet McClelland	6/1/2017 21:05
689 Patrick Midden	6/1/2017 21:43
690 Jay Johnston	6/1/2017 22:33
691 Susan Duffy	6/1/2017 22:35
692 James R McQuillan	6/1/2017 23:29
693 Suzie Lannan O'Hara	6/1/2017 23:47 I say no to the high-density housing plan for the Ford Plant Site in Highland Park! The
	input of those who live and own homes in this area Is paramount in the decision-making
	process.
694 Anthony Czech	6/2/2017 1:33
695 Cristina Arellano	6/2/2017 13:22
696 Ed Harley	6/2/2017 17:38
697 Paul Molitor	6/3/2017 6:15
698 Diane Stupka	6/3/2017 11:15
699 Shawn Wood	6/3/2017 12:28
700 Jane Tracy	6/3/2017 12:47
701 Martha	6/3/2017 13:04
702 Kathryn McRae	6/3/2017 13:22
703 Bob Stupka	6/3/2017 13:54
704      6	C /2 /2 04 T 4 4 2 2 2

704 Lolly Salmen

6/3/2017 14:33

705 Susan Martland	6/3/2017 14:35 As a resident of Highland Park, living on Montreal, it is apparent that the various count members and organizations are looking solely at \$22 million in tax revenues. Imput from citizens means nothing while tax dollars means everything. Why not build out downtown StP / the East side / other neighborhoods that are easier to gentrify? What we need is not high density but starter homes! What makes Highland stable is propert value stability, local schools, and family values / low crime /. Let's keep it that way!
706 Gretchen McGuire	6/3/2017 15:40 Opposed to high density proposal for Ford Plant site development
707 Molly Hardy	6/3/2017 16:02
708 Barb Donohue	6/3/2017 16:42
709 Jason Wei	6/3/2017 16:48 I support T2 zoning.
710 Patrick Murphy	6/3/2017 17:16
711 Alex Lawler	6/3/2017 18:44
712 Matt michel	6/3/2017 19:38
713 Kristen Hutchinson	6/3/2017 19:46 We must have standards to keep Highland the gem that it is!
714 Jane Wei	6/3/2017 20:46
715 Maggie lanasa	6/3/2017 21:53
716 Dan LaNasa	6/3/2017 22:48
717 Virginia Hickey	6/3/2017 22:59
718 Theresa Ayers	6/3/2017 23:02 My Dad and Grandpa both worked for Ford. I live in Highland Park and frequent the
	Village. Huge, eye sore buildings at the old Ford plant site is a horrible idea. #staygreer
	#staysmall
719 Michael Matheny	6/3/2017 23:20
720 Deborah Patterson	6/3/2017 23:21
721 MaryAnne C Peterson	6/3/2017 23:56
722 Jane Delaney	6/4/2017 2:19
723 Shannon Norton	6/4/2017 2:49
724 Trisha Burt	6/4/2017 12:19
725 Jane H Maguire	6/4/2017 14:59
726 Nora Nell Hamburge	6/4/2017 15:03
727 Anna Plasch	6/4/2017 22:51
728 Peggy Rolfes	6/4/2017 22:55
729 Alex Horgen	6/5/2017 0:11 I'm concerned that the plans will give a population explosion to the area without the requisite infrastructure.
730 Mike Jendro	6/5/2017 1:52
731 Molly hottinger	6/5/2017 2:05
732 Jim giefer	6/5/2017 3:00
733 William J Hickey	6/5/2017 13:03
734 Paul Peterson	6/5/2017 14:36 The current proposal will cause too much congestion in an area that is already congested in regard to traffic and parking. We also need more green space. This is our chance to do it right.
735 Michele Rose McQuillan	6/5/2017 15:22
736 Jane Foley	6/5/2017 16:05
737 Kristi Haselman	6/5/2017 19:58
738 Christopher Peterson	6/5/2017 19:59
739 Ann Pampusch-Love	6/5/2017 20:44
740 Allie Haselman	6/5/2017 21:06
741 Tom Donohue	6/6/2017 1:39
742 Nancy Grace	6/6/2017 2:36 Do not build this level of density in a fairly land locked Ford plant site! This will
	permanently change the neighborhood to an ultra dense population. Roads will be overcrowded. Rather than a relaxed neighborhood setting, this area becomes a stresso on all people and systems in the wider area.
743 Dennis McGuire	6/6/2017 2:43

744 Anne Rodenberg	6/6/2017 3:28 Highland is already overbuilt. Please do not destroy the last remaining green space and cause more crowding with a high-density plan!
745 David Stein	6/6/2017 3:51 The current plan will result in a dramatic and negative change in the amount of traffic.  In the best case scenario (according to the traffic study) there will be over 17,000 additional vehicle trips per day. Standard traffic engineering analysis says the number will be more than twice that. What will that do to the livability of Highland Park?
746 Glen Carpenter	6/6/2017 15:09
747 Madeline stein	6/7/2017 5:56
748 Bob Carruth	6/7/2017 11:51
749 Emily S	6/7/2017 13:47 I do not support increased traffic and huge housing developments. Everything in
	moderation would be better. The residents of highland village matter.
750 Tricia runyon	6/8/2017 2:35
751 Anna Carlson	6/8/2017 3:37
752 Thomas Witkowski	6/8/2017 23:15
753 Susan Tegt	6/9/2017 12:29
754 Tom Bates	6/9/2017 17:43 No to high density and TIF. Yes to greenspace and a business park.
755 Joseph Dunford	6/9/2017 21:13
756 Cookie Coleman	6/12/2017 20:14
757 Rebecca Scheig	6/12/2017 20:49 Please don't do this!! The area could be developed in a way that is more viable for the
	community.
758 Rachel Csintyan	6/13/2017 13:39
759 Roy Llerandi	6/13/2017 13:51 I have lived in highland park 60 years. And it is so overcrowded now .The city doesn't care or know what they are doing. I am afraid soon after they ruin the Ford plant site
	only option is to move out of highland.
760 Thomas Schwind	6/13/2017 14:21
761 Louis	6/13/2017 15:03
762 Rachel Hartman	6/13/2017 15:03
763 Rebecca A Stewart	6/13/2017 15:12
764 Nick Haugen	6/13/2017 15:56
765 Joseph McQuillan	6/13/2017 16:09 This is ridiculous.
766 Brian McSherry	6/13/2017 16:16 6/13/2017 16:16
767 Maddie Mingo	6/13/2017 16:49 quality not quantity
768 Margaret Endres	6/13/2017 16:52
769 DOROTHY C STEWART	6/13/2017 16:58
770 Matt Sullivan	6/13/2017 17:05
771 Megan Berg	6/13/2017 17:07
772 Tim Laughlin	6/13/2017 17:24
773 Ryan McQuillan	6/13/2017 18:17 This is the worst thing that could happen to the highland park area. I know many people
	who will leave and never come back if this plan goes through. It will destroy the area and
	ruin what so many people love about St. Paul, MN.
774 Cathy Brennan	6/13/2017 18:27
775 Amy McquiLlan	6/13/2017 18:36

776 tom stark

777 Tucker D Haffner

778 Anna Wetschka

6/13/2017 19:16

6/13/2017 19:22

6/13/2017 19:06 I am all for development of the Ford Plant within limitations. I am very concerned about the excessive development planned for the following reasons: Common day to day/weekly errands to and from various businesses in the area will become a project. i.e. rocery Shopping, School Drop Off, Drug Store, Fitness Center, Dry Cleaner, Butcher Shop, Dining out, etc. Increased traffic will cre ataccelerated wear and tear on our streets creating additional future assessments to home owners . The look of crumbling asphalt does not really appeal to me. Increased pedestrian and bicycle traffic will further paralyze mobility around the area and create safety issues - Dime to get to and from work most certainly will not decline • incidence of Crime will raise in the neighborhood with the increase in population. Does the current infrastructure able to handle the influx? i.e schools, health care, etc. Residential and Commercial Property Values in Highland will decline. Highland valuations are all about supply and demand. Outlying neighborhoods will feel the impact as well. Why would you live off W 7th when you can live by the river? What happens to those condo apartment building owners. The city of St Paul tax revenues as those property values decline? @rocus Hill, Mac Groveland, Merriam Park, and Minneapolis (across the Ford Bridge will all be affected by increases in traffic as residents on the outer limits of Highland look for additional places to shop - The city has already begun discussions on bringing in light rail to handle the increase in populationup Highland Parkway and down Cretin to connect to University really? These are just a few of my concerns. Again, we are in great need of additional housing in the area (Condos, PUDs, Single Family, and Apartment. But not in the mass@number of units that are planned.

,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	0/10/101/ 10:11
779 Morgan michaelson	6/13/2017 19:28
780 Kailyn	6/13/2017 19:36
781 Jennifer berg	6/13/2017 19:43
782 Kevin McQuillan	6/13/2017 19:59
783 Meg Grove	6/13/2017 21:02
784 andrew rose	6/13/2017 21:15 too radical a change for this area!
785 Rachel barth	6/13/2017 21:22
786 Ashley Woodward	6/13/2017 21:38
787 TLBurke	6/13/2017 22:42
788 Karley smith	6/13/2017 22:53
789 Nora McGuire	6/13/2017 23:19
790 Irene Dombeck	6/14/2017 0:19 We want green space - lots of it. And keep the buildings at reasonable height for a
	residential neighborhood - 4 stories max.
791 Linda Dufort	6/14/2017 1:11
792 susan farnham	6/14/2017 1:19
793 Patti Cullen	6/14/2017 1:35
794 Bob Buckley	6/14/2017 2:16
795 Jeanette Flynn	6/14/2017 2:23
796 Kate McGough	6/14/2017 2:24 I absolutely support the low density standard Ford site. The building heights and density being pushed are unacceptable.
797 Tina Montague	6/14/2017 2:52
797 Tilla Montague 798 Marisa S Geisler	6/14/2017 2:55 We need much more green space and much less density; this area absolutely CANNOT
790 IVIdi ISd 3 Geisiei	accommodate the proposed increase in residents.
799 Andrew Broadnax	6/14/2017 3:11
800 Anna Hoppe	6/14/2017 3:40
801 Kelly Cooper	6/14/2017 3:44
802 Melissa Vitek	6/14/2017 3:51

803	Kevin block	6/14/2017 4:55
804	Lindsay Carter-Howard	6/14/2017 11:43
805	Elizabeth Madson Ankeny	6/14/2017 12:30
806	Wendy Lutter	6/14/2017 14:30

806 Wendy Lutter 6/14/2017 14:30 We need to keep Highland Park uncrowded and not polluted.

 807 Brian Murphy
 6/14/2017 15:38

 808 Mary Sutherland
 6/14/2017 16:35

 809 Michaela Stein
 6/14/2017 17:28

810 KATHLEEN SHIELDS 6/14/2017 18:38

6/14/2017 18:38 We are probably one of the highest property taxed areas/neighborhoods in the entire city of St. Paul, I think we deserve a voice in what you are deciding to place in our Highland Park neighborhood. Many of us were thinking that it might be a nice transition when we retire or have retired to live in the newly constructed Ford Plant revitalization.. I hope there will be consideration for Senior Citizens as well. Tall high-rises do not denote where most people would want to live. Young families need a place to enjoy our seasons, meet other families, and get outside to play. I believe it needs to focus on being family friendly. Less is more in the final analysis, and I am referring to less construction and more green space. We Highlanders deserve the respect to help decide what goes into our area. We don't want a New York City scenario of people living on top of each other in 10 story apartments. Our tax dollars are contributing to whatever is built and I hope you are paying attention to what we have to say. I believe it would be advantageous to scale down your plans to make this property be desirable rather than become a red herring, overcrowded traffic jam neighborhood. I hope you don't begin construction, until we all have our say and agree to making some changes in the original plans. Afterall, it's our community and the Ford plant has been a good neighbor to us and would want you to be as well. Thank you for hearing me out and considering the input of all of the "Highlanders," regarding the forthcoming addition to OUR community.

811 Kathleen Z Czech	6/14/2017 19:00
812 Jerrold Czech	6/14/2017 21:44
813 Ben	6/14/2017 21:54
814 Joanne Schultz	6/14/2017 22:19
815 Nancy Scanlan	6/14/2017 23:50
816 Shirley Kramer	6/14/2017 23:54
817 Jane Christensen	6/15/2017 0:27
040 1 111/11	6/4=/004=4.00

818 Jodi Kelly 6/15/2017 1:32 Too many people in a small neighborhood†is not the way St Paul works. The

infrastructure isn't there and shouldn't be there!!

819 Kelly Hogquist 6/15/2017 2:31 More green space!

 820 Nick Countryman
 6/15/2017 3:31

 821 Steve Jameson
 6/15/2017 3:34

 822 Joyell Johnson
 6/15/2017 13:48

823 Sam 6/15/2017 15:54 Traffic and taxes are bad enough already. Lets be reasonable and think of existing home

owners in this tight-knit St.Paul community.

824 Teri McCloughan 6/15/2017 16:11 825 Kate Sevenich 6/15/2017 17:05

826 Anthony Tokarczyk 6/15/2017 17:56 Very concerned with the plan for this site. If it goes forward with the high density plan

we will be moving out of HP after living here for 30+ years. I suspect many others will follow. Eventually property values will start to drop and HP will no longer be a highly desired location to live. The city will see a drop in property tax collections……

 827 David Farnham
 6/15/2017 18:22

 828 Christy Van House
 6/15/2017 18:54

 829 Niles Deneen
 6/15/2017 19:00

 830 Katherine McCloughan
 6/15/2017 19:13

 831 Marie Speltz
 6/15/2017 19:30

832 Siri Doely	6/15/2017 19:44	
833 Daniel D Maurer	6/15/2017 19:49	I support low-density zoning.
834 rebecca schirmacher	6/15/2017 20:37	
835 Michael Kanner	6/15/2017 21:09	I have lived at 2005 Norfolk for 30 yrs. The idea that w/nearly 10,000 more residences
		$traffic\ will\ be\ ok\ is\ laughable.\ If\ this\ goes\ thru,\ I\ will\ vote\ for\ the\ opposition\ next\ election.$
836 Theresa Dahl	6/15/2017 21:10	
837 Asa Wendell Hoyt		More parks and green connections to river, some taller buildings seem ok
838 Maria Smith	6/15/2017 21:53	
839 Emily Balamut	6/15/2017 22:30	
840 Roger Bruggemeyer	6/15/2017 22:39	I live near Cretin and Ford Pkwy, I know how bad this is going to get under the current
	- 4 - 4	proposal
841 Nick Dyer	6/15/2017 23:34	
842 Beth Sevenich		Housing density is too high. Current roads cannot support that volume.
843 Barbara Salzman	6/16/2017 3:10	We are horrified by this plan. We have been here in our beautiful neighborhood for 27
	C   4 C   2 C   7 . 4 . 4 C	years. We will move if this goes through.
844 Peter Deneen	6/16/201/ 11:19	We need more green space and low density development. Highland Park is already too
OAE dahayah yayais	C /1 C /2017 10.20	crowded.
845 deborah percic	6/16/2017 18:20	I consider this plan to be an incredibly stupid idea - for all the reasons listed in this
946 Agran Janea	6/16/2017 19:24	petition. Enough is enough.
846 Aaron Janse 847 Phil Gerlach	6/16/2017 18:34 6/16/2017 19:01	
	6/16/2017 19:04	
848 Robyn Brower 849 tonja honsey	6/16/2017 19:04	
850 Kevin Welk	6/16/2017 19:18	
851 Bryce Beverlin	6/16/2017 19:48	
852 Lisa Martinez	6/16/2017 19:48	
853 Brian Glad	6/16/2017 22:39	
854 Jane Anthone	6/17/2017 0:24	
855 Tom Laughlin	6/17/2017 0:24	
856 Mary Zink	6/17/2017 2:08	
857 susie leek	6/17/2017 3:16	
858 Amy Goldberg	6/17/2017 3:18	
859 Mark Glad	6/17/2017 3:24	
860 Verna Corgan	6/17/2017 4:01	
861 Susan Rotilie	6/17/2017 11:03	
862 Katie B	6/17/2017 13:42	
863 Michele Slifer	6/17/2017 14:12	
864 Sara Erickson	6/17/2017 19:16	
865 Joleen Sterner		I agree, this area was not developed as a high density area. There must be balance. It's
		impossible to change one area to be different, but ignore the entire infrastructure of the
		city. I can help if need be.
866 Thomas Weber	6/17/2017 22:50	, .
867 Samantha Sherrill	6/18/2017 1:28	
868 young-nam kim	6/18/2017 3:11	
869 Ellen and Young-Nam Kim	6/18/2017 3:13	
870 Mo	6/18/2017 19:54	
871 Mary Lilly	6/19/2017 1:37	St. Paul has only one chance to do this right. Please think long term and consider the
		quality of life we want along the river; don't just think money, money, money.
872 Carol Pederson-Moore	6/19/2017 13:30	
873 Joan sutliff	6/19/2017 13:52	
874 Ted F	6/19/2017 15:23	
975 Datricia Dialemann	6/10/2017 15:20	

6/19/2017 15:38

875 Patricia Dickmann

876 Barbara 6/19/2017 17:18

877 Beth Brombach 6/19/2017 18:56 This is way to much!!!!! The neighbors need to be listened to and our opinions should be

factored in. This should not be about greed.

878 Mary Beaton Ek 6/19/2017 19:55 879 Jackie Diamond 6/19/2017 19:57

880 Sheila O'Hara 6/20/2017 2:30 Please lower density and increase green, park availibity to such a beautiful space along

the Mississippi River Gorge! We are blessed with this in our neighborhood, let's not over

develop it....

 881 Theresa Lauber
 6/20/2017 3:17

 882 Paula Dickmann
 6/20/2017 3:38

 883 Maureen Sackmaster Carı
 6/20/2017 16:14

 884 rick countryman
 6/20/2017 18:29

 885 Kate McGowan
 6/20/2017 18:54

 886 Tim Schmidt
 6/20/2017 23:07

887 Wilamette Brennaman 6/22/2017 2:45 Please use some common sense.!!!! Our "quality of life" is more important than money,

money, money! Why ruin the Highland Park neighborhood with over crowding?????

888 Richard 6/22/2017 13:10

889 Pat Willenbring 6/22/2017 21:50 Please develop a plan that is more supportive to the St.Paul COMMUNITY - not just

greedy property developers.

890 Colleen Bauer 6/23/2017 0:44

891 Ron Gustafson 6/23/2017 1:08 Ford site planning commission needs to revise plan to a lower level of population density

and more green space.

892 Charles Hathaway 6/23/2017 4:48 893 Gary Goulet 6/24/2017 21:14 894 Rausch Robert 6/25/2017 2:08

895 Susan Olsson 6/25/2017 14:53 I live on Montreal Avenue and am very upset about traffic increase.

896 Michele Hutson 6/26/2017 15:02 897 Brad Evans 6/26/2017 15:49

898 Amy Geiger 6/26/2017 18:15

6/26/2017 18:15 Dear Commissioners: I am writing to express my opposition to the zoning change at the Ford site AND the Public Realm Master Plan Draft (5-4-2017). I strongly urge you to vote against it or send it back to the city planners for significant revisions when it comes before you for consideration. Reasons: ï,· Radically high density: The density being proposed is comparable to New York City in a quiet residential neighborhood where there is no mass transit or freeway access. Lack of parkland/greenspace: At only 9% of the plan, there is not enough space for humans, let alone plants, trees and wildlife. Further, the maps shown in the plan are highly deceptive as they show a large area as green space, that is actually zoned for commercial (CP Rail land). Unlivable traffic congestion on surrounding streets: The citys own study shows three times (3X) the traffic on some surrounding streets where traffic is already terrible and dangerous. Peoples lives are at risk. Increases in traffic are known to cause risks to pedestrian safety, more traffic noise, increased air pollution, wasted time and decreased property values. Building heights far too high: Ten story buildings will fundamentally alter the feel of the neighborhood and will cause harm to the quality of life in the neighborhood because of blocked sightlines to the river and a forever altered community landscape. i, Added pollution: The health of the Ford site and adjacent community is at risk due to increased air pollution. A NASA study confirmed that higher urban populations and increased levels of air pollution are directly related and the resulting air pollution can cause respiratory and health problems. The city Plan also directly contradicts the stated goals of the of the Saint Paul Zoning Code including: o Prevent the overcrowding of land and undue congestion of population o Encourage a compatible mix of land uses, at densities that support transit, that reflect the scale, character, and urban design of Saint Pauls existing traditional neighborhoods. o Conserve and improve property values o Promote and protect the public health, safety, morals, aesthetics, economic viability and general welfare of the community. Experts ignored: The Ford Site Task Force that worked on this plan for 10 years has made recommendations for substantive changes to the Plan that have been disregarded. Thank you for your consideration and support for a better plan. Sincerely, AMY GEIGER

899 Angela Junker 6/26/2017 18:58