FERDINAND F. PETERS, ESQ. LAW FIRM

Lakes & Plains Office Building 842 Raymond Avenue, Suite 200 St. Paul, Minnesota 55114 Phone: (651) 647-6250 Fax: (651) 251-1183 ferdpeters@ferdlaw.com

October 5, 2016

St. Paul City Council
Ms. Shari Moore, City Clerk
15 Kellogg Blvd. West
310 City Hall
St. Paul, MN 55102
Fax: 651-266-8574

Shari.moore@ci.stpaul.mn.us

VIA Personal Delivery to Presiding Officer and VIA Email

965 Grand Ave.

Subject: Objection to 2016 ROW Assessment by Multiple Property Owners

Dear Sir or Madam:

Cherokee State Bank

I represent all of the following property owners with real estate at all of the Saint Paul addresses listed, and all property owners are objecting to the 2016 Right of Way assessment imposed by the City of Saint Paul:

Property Owners All Addresses are in Saint Paul, Minnesota Property Owners Objecting to The 2016 Proposed Right of Way Assessment

| Cherokee State Bank Cherokee Agency Inc Cherokee State Bank Cherokee State Bank | 985 Grand Ave. 675 Randolph Ave. 594 Smith Ave. S 607 Smith Ave. S |
|---|---|
| John & David Rudolph | 1036 Grand Ave. |
| Brimhall Como Investments LLC | 241 Brimhall St. |
| Brimhall Como Investments LLC | 2130 Como Ave. |
| Jrcs Investments LLC | 622 Grand Ave. |
| Giovanni Investments LLC | 194 Summit Ave. |
| Michael & Marlene Killa | 740 Grand Ave. |
| Michael & Marlene Killa | 745 Lincoln Ave. |

St. Paul City Council October 5, 2016 Page 2

Grand Ham LLC
Grand Ham LLC
1342 Grand Ave.
1355 Grand Ave.
0 Grand Ave.
Paul Dzubnar
1530 Edgcumbe Rd.

William & Maureen Dunnigan 365 Smith Ave. N Triple Nine Investments LLC 682 Selby Ave. Triple Nine Investments LLC 168 Griggs St. N 724 Hague Ave. Real Estate Investors 753 Hague Ave. Real Estate Investors 754 Hague Ave. Real Estate Investors Real Estate Investors 758 Hague Ave. 814 Laurel Ave. Real Estate Investors 483 Marshall Ave. Real Estate Investors 754 Blair Ave. Real Estate Investors 1611 Stanford Ave. Real Estate Investors Real Estate Investors 649 Holly Ave. 668 Lafond Ave. Real Estate Investors Real Estate Investors 480 Iglehart Ave. 195 Lexington Pkwy. N Parkway Investments LLC 194 Lexington Pkwy. N Parkway Investments LLC 655 Holly Ave. Parkway Investments LLC Parkway Investments LLC 999 Grand Ave.

The list of objections:

William Dunnigan Trust

William Dunnigan Trustee

1. The Assessment includes costs that are not authorized under law.

The ROW assessment includes charges for "ordinance enforcement" and "snow emergency service". These are general government functions that should be funded out of general tax revenues. Neither Section 14.01.2 of the City Charter, nor Chapter 62 of the St. Paul Administrative Code authorizes that these types of charges be assessed as part of a street maintenance assessment.

555 Frontenac PL

2005 Ford Pkwy

2. The Assessment is not authorized under law.

The ROW Assessment are for annual operating costs of the city that are part of a general operating budget, and are not properly imposed as assessments. The assessment violates the recent Minnesota Supreme Court case of **First Baptist Church of St. Paul, et al., vs. City of St. Paul (A15-0015),** and it also violates the powers of the Saint Paul pursuant to its own charter. Furthermore the assessment violates the Minnesota Constitution, the U.S. Constitution, and Minnesota Statute section 429.051 and other related statutes.

3. The amount of the Assessment exceeds the benefit to any property, since routine street maintenance provides no special benefit for any individual property.

St. Paul City Council October 5, 2016 Page 3

Both State law and the City Charter specify that a special assessment cannot exceed the benefits to the property. The limited street maintenance services provided to properties by this tax, camouflaged as an assessment, does not result in any increase in property values. The proposed ROW assessment amount exceeds any possible benefit conferred, and it is also objected to since absolutely no benefit is conferred. Sweeping the streets and plowing the snow is analogous to mowing the grass or taking out the trash. Failure to do such regular chores may reduce the value of any property and create a nuisance. However, every time a property owner performs regular chores, it only maintains the current value of that owner's property; it doesn't increase it. If that wasn't the case, and the value of a property increased each time the lawn was mowed, a property owner could do this twice a day for the entire summer, and cash out at the end of the year at a significant profit.

4. The Assessment is not imposed uniformly or proportionately.

Objection is also made based on the assessment structure, which imposes the assessment "tax" in a manner that is not proportional or uniform. The current assessment rules result in large disparities in the ROW assessments for similar properties depending on whether or not they are located on corners or in which neighborhoods the property is located.

5. An Assessment for commercial lots on corners (at least two sides abut a street) is imposed improperly.

For each of the above-referenced properties which are corner lots in Saint Paul, additional objection is made that the properties do not receive a greater benefit from the ROW Assessment because two or more sides of each property are assessed versus one front side.

Ferdinand F. Peters

Respectfully submitted,

Attorney at Law