TOHN M. GEARIN P.A.

Attorney at Law, MBA JOHN M. GEARIN* Paralegal: JOANNE C. VANGUILDER 8657 Eagle Pointe Boulevard, Suite 200 Lake Elmo, Minnesota 55042

651-209-0888 Fax 651-209-0889 gearinlaw.com john@gearinlaw.com *also licensed in Wisconsin

May 11, 2015

Ying Vang Chee Xiong 2153 Beech Street East St. Paul. MN 55119

My Clients: Re:

Thomas and Carole Brace

My File No.: 15-1266

Dear Ying Vang and Chee Xiong:

Please be advised that I represent Thomas and Carole Brace in regards to an ongoing issue with the retaining wall which is located on the north end of your property line on 2153 Beech Street East, St. Paul, Minnesota 55119; such retaining wall is placed completely on your property but has collapsed, causing damages to my clients' property which is located adjacent at 2157 Beech Street East, St. Paul, Minnesota 55119.

My clients are owed a duty of lateral support of their land from any injuries they may have as a result of the alteration of your lot from its natural condition. For your information, your lot was graded by the builder prior to placing your home on the site and the north end of your lot was altered by cutting into the natural bank which existed between my clients' property and yours.

In Minnesota, the right of lateral support of land from the adjacent soil is an absolute right of property, and the right to recover for injuries to the land by reason of removal of such support does not depend on negligence, but upon the violation of the right of property. Schultz v. Bower, 57 Minn. 493 (1894). Therefore, the law in Minnesota is that my clients have a right of property such that when the lateral support of their land is jeopardized by construction or alteration on your lot, it violates their right of property.

I have reviewed photographs from my clients which show the collapse of your wall and they have indicated to me that their driveway is sinking, causing cracks and other damage to their property. I understand that you have had numerous discussions with my clients in effort to resolve this matter and a survey was completed to place the property line.

Unfortunately, these discussions have not led to an agreement to resolve the problem. The Braces have indicated to me that they will force the issue of repair and/or compensation if you choose not to act to rectify the problem which is causing damage to their property. The best

John P. Gerhen P.A.
Accordented Sha John M. Czarin Policy Ioanne C. Vangeliere

8657 Begle Points Engloyers, Surv. 2001 Lolle Elmog Minneson (2002)

651-209-0883 Fax 65 (256 986) genithlaw.cu.a. johneggeschitert.com "also Recared in Wiscous's

May 14, 2015

:95%

Ying Vung Chee Xiong 2153 Beech Sucer East St. Panl. MN 55119

My Clients: Thomas and Carole Brace

My File No.: 15-1266

Dear Ying Vang and Chee Kicog:

Please be advised that I represent Thomas and Carole Breec in regards to an ongoing leade with the remining wall which is Jocated on the north end of your property line on 2153 Beech Smeet East, St. Paul: Minnesota 551 Pt such retaining with is placed completely on your property but has collapsed, causing damages to my clients' property which is located adjacent at 2157 Beach Street East, St. Paul, Minnesota 551 Pt.

My clients are owed a duty of interal support of their land from any injuries they may have as a result of the alteration of your lot from its natural condition. For your information, your tot was graded by the builder prior to placing your home on the site and the north end of your lot was altered by outting into the natural bank which axis ad between my clients' property and yours.

In Minnesota, the right of taund support of hard from the adjacent soil is an absolute right of property, and the right to recover for injuries to the land by reason of removal of such support does not depend on negligence, but upon the violation of the right of property. Schulz a Baner 57 Minn. 493 (1894). Therefore, the law in Minnesota is that my clients have a right of property such that when the larged support of their land is jeopardized by construction or alteration on your too, it violates their right of property.

I have reviewed photographs from my clicans which show the collapse of your wad and the chart have indicated to me that their driveway is sinking, causing erreits and other damage to mele property. Landerstand that you have had numerous discussions with my clients in effect to resolve this matter and a survey was completed to piace the property line.

Unfortunately, these discussions has enot led to an agreement to resolve the problem. The Euceet have indicated to me that they will force the assue of reprir and/or compensation if you choose not to act to rectify the problem which is causing damage to their property. The best

solution, of course, is the repair of the retaining wall and I would hope that you would promptly replace the wall in order to avoid any further problems.

The condition of your retaining wall is also in violation of the City of St. Paul codes and may result on an Order to repair. (Codes enclosed).

I am sure you would like to avoid a lawsuit and I would ask that you or your representative respond to me immediately but in no case more than ten (10) days after receipt of this letter. If I do not hear from you, I will assume that you do not wish to resolve this matter outside of litigation and we will then proceed accordingly.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter.

May I immediately hear from you?

Very thuly yours,

JOHN M. GEARIN, P.A.

John M. Gearin Attorney at Law

JMG/jv

cc:

Thomas and Carole Brace (via email only)