Vang, Mai (CI-StPaul)

From: Kuebler, David (CI-StPaul)

Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2015 5:26 PM

To: Vang, Mai (CI-StPaul) **Subject:** RE: 529 Stryker

Mai,

Chapter 134 is pretty clear in that an encroachment permit can be granted if certain conditions are met. In this particular case the ROW won't be vacated and the private property is completely covered by building. In discussing the issue with the property owner, ROW was clear to say that the City would consider approving an encroachment permit if the discharge was made through the curb only. As such the application that shows using a "popup" device in the boulevard was denied since the plan did not accurately represent what the owner and I had previously discussed. I think the property owner may be confusing approval of an encroachment permit with approval of plans that sufficiently represent what was agreed to in a lengthy phone conversation on 3/3/15. In that conversation I stated that City may allow discharging of private property stormwater/groundwater in the ROW in <u>very</u> limited cases and if certain conditions are met. For instance, the flow line of the pipe from the property must be an inch or two above the flow line of the gutter.

In my conversation with the owner on 3/3/15, presuming that the owner is Sharon as that is who I talked with, I discussed what is needed so that Public Works could review the proposed installation and, possibly, issue a favorable ruling for an encroachment permit. I also informed Sharon that the City needed an engineered type of plan as opposed to a sketch and that the plan needed to include, but not necessarily be limited to, the following information: existing underground utilities, how the penetration of the curb is going to be completed, the invert of the flow line of the discharge pipe relative to the flow line of the gutter, the piping material used in the boulevard and under the sidewalk, the means and methods of construction and restoration, etc.

I hope the above information provides a little more clarity from Public Works.

If you have any questions please contact me.

Thanks, David

David Kuebler, P.E.
ROW Management Section
Traffic and Lighting Division
St. Paul Public Works Department
1000 City Hall Annex, 25 West 4th street

St. Paul, MN 55102 Office: 651.266.6217

david.kuebler@ci.stpaul.mn.us

From: Vang, Mai (CI-StPaul)

Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2015 3:47 PM

To: Kuebler, David (CI-StPaul) **Subject:** RE: 529 Stryker

David,

So it's not an actual denial of the plan yet? She called me and said her plan was denied by you.

Mai

From: Kuebler, David (CI-StPaul)

Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2015 12:03 PM

To: Vang, Mai (CI-StPaul) Subject: RE: 529 Stryker

Mai,

Please see attached. The scanned document is the original request. The current status of the request is that ROW staff is waiting for an updated plan based on review of the original plan and in accord with Chapter 134.

If you have any questions please contact me.

Thanks, David

David Kuebler, P.E. **ROW Management Section Traffic and Lighting Division** St. Paul Public Works Department 1000 City Hall Annex, 25 West 4th street St. Paul. MN 55102

Office: 651.266.6217

david.kuebler@ci.stpaul.mn.us

From: Vang, Mai (CI-StPaul)

Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2015 2:37 PM

To: Kuebler, David (CI-StPaul)

Subject: 529 Stryker

Hi David,

The owner, Sharon Bruestle, submitted a plan to you for her drainage issue going to the public sidewalk. Can you email me the plan so I can attach to her record. She is planning to contest to the City Council on May 6. Thanks.

Mai Vang

Coordinator for Legislative Hearings City Council Offices 15 W. Kellogg Blvd, Ste. 310 Saint Paul, MN 55102 Direct: 651-266-8563 Appeals Line: 651-266-8585 Fax: 651-266-8574

mai.vang@ci.stpaul.mn.us

facebook You Tube twitter