Vang, Mai (CI-StPaul)

From:

Neis, Adrian (CI-StPaul)

Sent:

Thursday, November 06, 2014 2:39 PM

To:

Moermond, Marcia (CI-StPaul)

Cc:

Martin, Lisa (CI-StPaul); Vang, Mai (CI-StPaul); Zacho, Karen (CI-StPaul)

Subject:

FW: 1393-1395 Breda Ave

Attachments:

20141104113525596.pdf

I was able to take a site visit of 1393 Breda Ave. today.

It appears the home was originally constructed as a single family dwelling. At some point the upstairs was most likely converted into a mother-in-laws apartment but am unable to prove it had been done legally. If it was legally converted into a mother-in-laws apartment, I would best assume it was shared by a single family since the utilities were never separated and only interior style French doors separate the lower unit from the common areas.

I agree with inspector's Martin's conclusion that this appears to be illegally converted into a duplex, but do not know when. This makes it especially difficult the owner has completed a recent major rehab without pulling any required permits. The work includes major electrical including a new panel and wiring, new plumbing fixtures, sheetrock and framing. If a permit had been pulled and since this was a substantial renovation, additional code requirements would have been required.

AJ

From: Martin, Lisa (CI-StPaul)

Sent: Wednesday, November 05, 2014 2:44 PM

To: Neis, Adrian (CI-StPaul)

Subject: FW: 1393-1395 Breda Ave

FYI

From: Zacho, Karen (CI-StPaul)

Sent: Wednesday, November 05, 2014 9:02 AM

To: Martin, Lisa (CI-StPaul)

Subject: FW: 1393-1395 Breda Ave

Hi Lisa,

Thought I'd send you what I gave to him. I would still classify these as two single family dwellings so he has an illegal use as a duplex.

Karen

From: Zacho, Karen (CI-StPaul)

Sent: Tuesday, November 04, 2014 11:39 AM

To: 'Davis, Nick'

Subject: RE: 1393-1395 Breda Ave

Hi Nick,











