From:

Darlene Blossom < dblossom49@hotmail.com>

Sent:

Monday, December 02, 2013 1:56 PM

To:

OBrien, Kim (CI-StPaul); *CI-StPaul_LH-Licensing

Subject:

Attachments:

20131202131302132.pdf

Regarding the license application for Reemo Corp. d/b/a Minnoco, license number 20130005314:

Does this mean they are no longer selling groceries? Or having a Deli?

It was the food service I most object to, as that is what created trash, and obnoxious odors.

I am pleased with the additional license conditions.

Thank you,

Darlene Blossom 1189 Park Street St. Paul, MN 55117 Home: 651-488-5943

From:

OBrien, Kim (CI-StPaul)

Sent:

Wednesday, December 04, 2013 5:19 PM

To:

Darlene Blossom; *CI-StPaul_LH-Licensing

Cc:

#CI-StPaul_Ward5

Subject:

RE: FW:

Hi Darlene,

I believe the Gas Station does intend to continue to offer food service. Since the state took over food/restaurant licensing, its no longer in the city's wheelhouse. That is why the license for the city is only related to the gas and tobacco.

At least the City's proposed conditions include litter clean-up, fencing, security cameras and property maintenance. I know District 6 is holding a meeting on the subject as well on Dec. 17.

Thanks, Kim

Kim O'Brien Legislative Aide to Councilmember Amy Brendmoen Ward 5 Phone: 651-266-8651 kim.obrien@ci.stpaul.mn.us

Subscribe to our e-newsletter Ward 5 Update and get updates on our Facebook page Ward 5 Wire.



From: Darlene Blossom [mailto:dblossom49@hotmail.com]

Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 1:56 PM

To: OBrien, Kim (CI-StPaul); *CI-StPaul_LH-Licensing

Subject: FW:

Regarding the license application for Reemo Corp. d/b/a Minnoco, license number 20130005314:

Does this mean they are no longer selling groceries? Or having a Deli?

It was the food service I most object to, as that is what created trash, and obnoxious odors.

I am pleased with the additional license conditions.

Thank you,

Darlene Blossom 1189 Park Street

From:

Gregory M. Sheehan <gmsheehan@comcast.net>

Sent:

Tuesday, December 03, 2013 11:36 AM

To:

*CI-StPaul_LH-Licensing

Cc:

Brendmoen, Amy (CI-StPaul); 'Kirsten Libby'; 'Kerry Antrim'

Subject:

LAN #20130005314 at 1200 Rice Street.

Sirs:

I have read the proposed LAN for 1200 Rice Street. My comments are as follows:

Condition #4. I am not familiar with these requirements but they seem substantial.

Condition #5. Again, I am not familiar with current statute regarding gas station/convenience store requirements. I am concerned that the proprietor is required to monitor, record and present copies on demand by police without warrant or probable cause. How does this requirement impact unreasonable search?

Condition #7. I have made comments with your office in the past LAN process for a variety of proprietors in the North End. These comments have focused on my frustration with pedestrian customers to the various merchants in my immediate area. Although I have no wish to ban sales of single serving food and beverage items, it is the packaging for these items that clearly originate from the liquor store, Asian market and convenience store that end up on 1215 Rice Street, my property. Much less volume from the bar restaurant. Many pee on the side of my building during the day light hours so a light at night is not an answer. My situation is unique and increasing given the increase in rental housing North of Maryland and West of my building. In fact, my property is a short cut home for pedestrian shoppers that litter. Given that LAN conditions similar to #7 have been largely ineffective at this and other establishments, I request that I be paid \$100 by the licensee per annum to clean-up the trash deposited in my lot by pedestrian customers at 1200 Rice Street. This payment is to be made yearly in this amount while the license is in effect.

I should have made this comment for every LAP that I have received since 1979.

Thank you for your consideration and I do wish the greatest success for the LAN applicant!

Dr. Gregory M. Sheehan 1215 Rice Street Saint Paul, Minnesota 55117 651-488-7251 gmsheehan@comcast.net

From:

Kirsten Libby <kirsten@libbylawoffice.com>

Sent:

Tuesday, December 03, 2013 5:38 PM

To:

Gregory M. Sheehan; *CI-StPaul_LH-Licensing

Cc:

Brendmoen, Amy (CI-StPaul); 'Kerry Antrim'

Subject:

RE: LAN #20130005314 at 1200 Rice Street.

My comments/questions:

1. Is 1200 Rice Street licensing conditions the same or substantially similar to other like businesses in St. Paul?

- 2. I am concerned that there is over-reaching going on with condition 5 I am not aware of other businesses that are under this type of conditions. What is the purpose of this condition? Most businesses who put in surveillance do so because they want to protect their property, premises, and clients/customers, or at a gas station to be able to record drive-offs. This condition makes it such that the gas station is a research arm of the SPPD, and that is not the gas station's job.
- 3. Conditions 6, 8, 9: is it normal to put a repeat of particular city code as a condition in a license. I would think that all city code would apply in any event, so is this redundant and unnecessary?

Kirsten J. Libby Libby Law Office 855 Rice Street St. Paul, MN 55117

Phone: 651-487-1208 ext 1

Fax: 651-487-0662

This is a transmission from Libby Law Office, P.A. and may contain information which is privileged, confidential, and protected by the attorney-client or attorney work product privileges. If you are not the addressee, note that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this message is prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please destroy it and notify us immediately at our telephone number (651) 487-1208. The name and biographical data provided above are for informational purposes only and are not intended to be a signature or other indication of an intent by the sender to authenticate the contents of this electronic message.

From:

Kerry Antrim <district6ed@dist6pc.org>

Sent:

Wednesday, December 04, 2013 8:35 AM

To:

'Kirsten Libby'; 'Gregory M. Sheehan'; *CI-StPaul_LH-Licensing

Cc:

Brendmoen, Amy (CI-StPaul)

Subject:

RE: LAN #20130005314 at 1200 Rice Street.

1. Yes

2. This was agreed to by the owner a long while ago when we were working on site plans etc for the rebuild. SPPD suggested this. And the answer is yes other businesses have this condition, mostly bars it is my understanding others have the condition if they are open late could be wrong

3. yes

Kerry Antrim
District 6 Planning Council
Executive Director
171 Front Avenue
Saint Paul, MN 55117
651-488-4485 fax: 651-488-0343

cell: 651-402-8143

http://district6stpaul.org/



From: Kirsten Libby [mailto:kirsten@libbylawoffice.com]

Sent: Tuesday, December 03, 2013 5:38 PM

To: Gregory M. Sheehan; <u>LH-Licensing@ci.stpaul.mn.us</u>

Cc: 'Amy Brendmoen'; 'Kerry Antrim'

Subject: RE: LAN #20130005314 at 1200 Rice Street.

My comments/questions:

- 1. Is 1200 Rice Street licensing conditions the same or substantially similar to other like businesses in St. Paul?
- 2. I am concerned that there is over-reaching going on with condition 5 I am not aware of other businesses that are under this type of conditions. What is the purpose of this condition? Most businesses who put in surveillance do so because they want to protect their property, premises, and clients/customers, or at a gas station to be able to record drive-offs. This condition makes it such that the gas station is a research arm of the SPPD, and that is not the gas station's job.
- 3. Conditions 6, 8, 9: is it normal to put a repeat of particular city code as a condition in a license. I would think that all city code would apply in any event, so is this redundant and unnecessary?

Kirsten J. Libby Libby Law Office 855 Rice Street St. Paul, MN 55117

Phone: 651-487-1208 ext 1