

## ZONING COMMITTEE STAFF REPORT

1. **FILE NAME:** Meridian Industrial Appeal **FILE #** 11-260-156
  2. **APPELLANT:** Union Park District Council (District 13) **HEARING DATE:** August 25, 2011
  3. **TYPE OF APPLICATION:** Appeal of a decision by staff to approve a site plan
  4. **LOCATION:** 650 Pelham Blvd,
  5. **PIN & LEGAL DESCRIPTION:** 322923210029, Geo H Watsons Rearrangement Subj To Blvd And Hwy The W 225 Ft Of Blk B
  6. **PLANNING DISTRICT:** 12 **PRESENT ZONING:** I1
  7. **ZONING CODE REFERENCE:** § 61.402.c and 61.701
  8. **STAFF REPORT DATE:** 8/18/11 **BY:** Tom Beach
  9. **DATE RECEIVED:** August 1, 2011 **60-DAY DEADLINE FOR ACTION:** October 1, 2011
- 

- A. **PURPOSE:** Appeal by the Union Park District Council of a decision by zoning staff to approve the site plan for an office/warehouse development submitted by Meridian Development.
- B. **PARCEL SIZE:** Approximately 5 acres (210,000 sq. ft.)
- C. **EXISTING LAND USE:** Vacant (I1) The previous use was a trucking facility with trailer storage.
- D. **SURROUNDING LAND USE:**  
North: Railroad tracks and industrial (TN4)  
East: Industrial (I2)  
South: Freeway and single-family (R4)  
West: Industrial (I1)
- E. **ZONING CODE CITATION:** Section 61.402. c lists the conditions that a site plan must meet in order to be approved. Section 61.701 sets the rules for filing appeals of administrative decisions by staff.
- F. **PROJECT DESCRIPTION:** The site plan shows a 68,000 square foot one-story office/warehouse building. (See enclosed plans.)
- The building is designed so the amount of office space versus warehouse can change, depending on the needs of the tenants. The owner says he hopes to attract more office tenants than warehouse tenants since office space generates higher rents compared to warehouse space.
  - There would be office space on three sides of the building (Pelham, Wabash and I94) with parking in front. There would be truck docks on the east side (facing Rock Tenn property).
  - The parking lot on Pelham would be setback 25' from the public sidewalk and the setback area would be landscaped with trees, shrubs, grass and an ornamental fence. There are railroad tracks on the south side of Wabash. The space between the parking lot and tracks would be landscaped with trees, shrubs, grass and an ornamental fence.
  - A public sidewalk would be built along Pelham with a grass boulevard, street trees and lantern light fixtures. A public sidewalk is not planned along Wabash because there are railroad tracks on the south side of Wabash. Pedestrian connections between the public sidewalk and the building are shown in three locations.
  - The existing bicycle lane in Pelham Blvd. will remain.
  - Requirements for stormwater management will be handled by a large pond at the southeast corner of the site.

## G. HISTORY:

Aerial photos show that this site and the properties to the north, east and west have had industrial uses since the 1950s. The most recent use for the property was a trucking facility with trailer storage. The site was cleared and soils were cleaned up in 2010 to prepare it for development.

The City has been planning for the Central Corridor in anticipation of Light Rail being built on University Avenue.

- The City adopted a plan for the area near the Raymond Light Rail station in October 2008 to establish a framework for development. This site is immediately south of the Station Area Planning boundary. However, the site is located within the Prestige Employment Future Character Area and that section of the plan contains recommendations for building form and land use for this area.
- The City did a major planning study of the entire Light Rail Corridor – the Central Corridor Development Strategy. This plan called for a study to rezone land near the Light Rail Corridor but did not make specific recommendations about rezonings.
- The City followed up with the Central Corridor / Traditional Neighborhood Zoning Study. The original recommendation in the study was to rezone this site to T4, and the land north to University Avenue to T3 and T4. The standards in the T4 require dense development with buildings built set up to the street. When the Planning Commission considered whether to change the zoning from I1 to T4, the property owner testified and requested that the property remain I1. The property owner showed a drawing (enclosed) and a video to the Planning Commission that is substantially the same as what is shown on the current site plan. The Planning Commission recommended that the site remain I1 and the City Council voted unanimously on 4/6/11 to keep this property I1 while rezoning the properties to the north to T3 and T4.
- Union Park was not aware that the zoning was kept as I1 and assumed it had been rezoned to T4.

The site plan for this project was originally submitted to the City for review in October 2010. At that time the property was still subject to the standards for the Central Corridor Overlay Zoning District. These standards required a two story building and a minimum Floor Area Ratio of 1.0. When the developer became aware of this, he withdrew the application.

The applicant resubmitted the site plan. The property was zoned I1 at that time and since the Central Corridor Overlay Zoning District and its design standards were no longer in effect, the site was only subject to Citywide design standards as they apply to I1 properties.

The District Council requested that a public hearing be held on the site plan. The Zoning Committee declined to hold a hearing and let the staff conduct the site plan review.

Staff approved the site plan on 7/20/11.

Union Park District Council filed their appeal of staff's decision on 8/1/11

**H. DISTRICT COUNCIL RECOMMENDATION:** The appeal was filed by the Union Park District Council which is located immediately south (across I94) from the site. The site is located in the Saint Anthony Park Community Council area. They expressed opposition to the site plan during the site plan review process but they have not submitted a response on the appeal.

**I. FINDINGS:**

1. The appeal filed by Union Park District Council (Exhibit A) begins by listing four main issues as the basis for the appeal:

- "The site plan is not consistent with planning documents for the site", including the Central Corridor Development Strategy, Raymond Station Area Plan, and Saint Paul Comprehensive Plan.
- "The City Code encourages buildings to "hold the corner" on sites at intersections.
- "There was no community design process to effectively resolve community concerns with the project."
- "The City Council did not zone the site TN as recommended in planning documents."

The appeal continues with a long section describing how Union Park believes the plan is not consistent with provisions from a number of City planning documents. (See Exhibit A.)

The appeal ends with a request that the Planning Commission require that the site plan be designed to conform to the following standards that address Union Parks concerns:

- 1) Buildings should hold the corners at Pelham and Wabash; there should not be more than 15 feet between the sidewalk and any building
  - 2) Sidewalks should be installed on both Pelham and Wabash.
  - 3) Buildings should have façade articulation consistent with the historic district at Pelham and University
  - 4) Any parking spaces should be behind the building or underground to reduce pervious pavement and encourage the use of public transportation, especially the Central Corridor Light Rail Transit.
  - 5) There should be at least two occupied stories on any building at the site
  - 6) Windows adjacent to the streets should be prominent and perpetuate a storefront
  - 7) Doorways should be located close to street and emphasized architecturally
  - 8) Incorporate artistic elements reflective of the Creative Enterprise artists
  - 9) Increased building density
  - 10) Mixed commercial and industrial use at the site
  - 11) Design any building at the site to facilitate and encourage the growth and expansion of our local industry and buildings. First opportunity for tenants at the site should be local businesses looking to expand. Confirm tenants for the building prior to construction.
2. Staff has prepared a response (Exhibit B.) to the each of the issues raised by Union Park in their appeal and concludes that the site plan is consistent with plans for the area and addresses the issues raised in the appeal.

3. The site plan complies with zoning standards and all other applicable ordinances of the City.

Office/warehouse is a permitted use in I1. One-story buildings are permitted in I1. The building and site conform to Citywide design standards. The site plan meets the standards for stormwater set by the City and the Capitol Region Watershed. The developer submitted a Traffic Impact Study for the project which was reviewed and approved by Saint Paul Public Works. The site plan meets zoning standards for landscaping.

- J. **STAFF RECOMMENDATION:** Based on the above findings, staff recommends denial of the appeal by the Union Park District Council of the decision by zoning staff to approve the site plan for an office/warehouse development at 650 Pelham.

#### **Attachments**

Appeal filed by Union Park

Response prepare by staff

Site plan approval letter, approved site plan and building elevations

Aerial rendering of proposed building and site

Plans referred to in the staff report can be read on line at <http://www.stpaul.gov/index.aspx?NID=504>

Union Park District Council  
1570 Concordia Ave, Suite LL100  
St Paul, MN 55104

Appeal filed  
by Union Park

August 1, 2011

**Planning Commission of the City of St Paul**  
**15 W Kellogg Blvd**  
**St Paul, MN 55102**

**Re: Site Plan 10-906716**

**Appeal of Site Plan for Meridian Industrial Center at 650 Pelham Boulevard**

The Union Park District Council (UPDC) appeals the staff approval to allow for construction of a 68,000 sq. ft. building at 650 Pelham Boulevard. Tom Beach, Zoning Specialist of the City of St Paul, approved this site plan on July 20, 2011.

The Union Park District Council has thoroughly reviewed the site plans as set forth in the staff-approved documents, explained by the Port Authority at a public meeting held by Union Park on June 27th, 2011, in relevant City zoning codes, and in subsequent meetings with City staff, Port Authority staff, and community representatives.

Based on this review, it is the position of the UPDC that the approval of this site plan is not soundly based in conjunction with city-approved planning documents for the site, nor in the best interest of the City of St. Paul or its residents. Because of this, the UPDC must conclude that the site plan will allow a single developer to gain economic advantage in developing this parcel while spreading adverse effects over the immediate neighborhood, the city, and its residents.

The Union Park District Council urges the Saint Paul Planning Commission to reverse the decision to approve the requested Site Plan #10-906716 for a development at Pelham and Wabash.

The following details our rationale for this appeal:

1. The site plan is not consistent with planning documents for the site.
2. The City Code encourages buildings to 'hold the corner' on sites at intersections.
3. There was no community design process to effectively resolve community concerns with the project.
4. The City Council did not zone the site TN as recommended in planning documents.

**1. The Meridian Industrial Project Site Plan is not consistent with content in the following planning documents:**

-St. Anthony Park Community District Plan

Union Park District Council  
1570 Concordia Ave, Suite LL100  
St Paul, MN 55104

- Merriam Park Master Plan
- Central Corridor Development Strategy
- Raymond Station Area Plan
- St Paul Comprehensive Plan
- Mississippi River Corridor Plan
- West Midway Task Force

**A. The Site Plan does not conform to standards incorporated in the St Anthony Park Community Council District Plan**

*Land Use 4. Study potential locations to rezone around commercial and industrial areas to establish a gradual transition between land uses and building forms to assure compatibility of adjacent land uses and densities. Goal is to buffer single-family uses with more appropriate uses (multi-family) near commercial and industrial areas.*

The Meridian Industrial Center is not an appropriate buffer between the single-family homes to the south and the transit-oriented development (TOD) to the north.

*Design Standards. Institute commercial mixed-use design standards that reinforce human-scale buildings, promote sustainable design, promote quality in exterior materials and construction, reinforce a pedestrian oriented streetscape, promote green design, manage storm water retention, reduce impervious surfaces and visually screen surface parking.*

The building's setback does not facilitate a pedestrian-oriented streetscape; neither does fencing. The building's entrance should be immediately off the curb and the façade should incorporate the historic nature of both the Desnoyer Park neighborhood and the Raymond/University historic zone.

*9. Conduct zoning study as part of the Raymond area station planning to evaluate rezoning the University-Raymond TOD district to TN districts to achieve standards and design that respect the area's historic quality, enhance its pedestrian accessibility, and encourage increased transit use.*

The area was part of the Central Corridor overlay district, but 650 Pelham remained I1. This zoning allows the site, although it is in both the Area of Change for the Raymond Station and specified as a major site for redevelopment corridor-wide, with few restrictions.

*Streetscape Enhancement Plan. Develop and implement a long-term streetscape plan to achieve the economic development, livability, identity and transportation goals of the community.*

The Meridian Industrial Center, as is, sets a minimal standard of development for the area. This project will facilitate other developments along Pelham and in the corridor to build to the developer's needs and not to the potential of the corridor. This project is underutilizing the site and scoffing hundreds of people's time and work visioning the future of the corridor.

The Wabash Commons, open space proposed for just north of the site, requires a better transitional building between the Desnoyer neighborhood and the open space. Pedestrians travel along Pelham for work, play, shopping, and transportation. Pelham is a corridor that connects CCLRT to Union Park's westernmost neighborhood, and being the only north-south connection between the Mississippi River and Cretin Ave, Pelham Blvd is essentially, and naturally, both a transportation and pedestrian connection that needs to be enhanced.

Pelham also serves as the route for the Midtown Greenway and is a current bicycle route for many traveling by the alternate means of transportation. The Meridian Industrial Center needs to better emphasize its location on the bike route and encourage more bike travel to and along Pelham.

*11. Create gateways of architectural and landscape significance to announce entry into the neighborhood.*

The St. Anthony Park Community Council's top priority for a new parkway is Raymond Avenue. This would include a realigned Raymond Avenue south of University to connect with Pelham Boulevard just north of Interstate 94.

The St Anthony Park community plans to realign a better connection between Pelham and Raymond, a development that will significantly improve Pelham Avenue and require better streetscape and transition into the station. The Meridian Industrial Center is proposed at a unique location, with a prominent neighborhood immediately south and a vibrant urban village just blocks north. The development should transition between the two, facilitating a sense of space and encourage pedestrian travel and an urban, not suburban design.

*26. All new commercial and industrial developments requiring site plan approval should generally be designed to have at least the main entrance facade oriented to the street with access for pedestrians and bicyclists taking priority over vehicular access.*

As communicated clearly to the developers, the communities surrounding the site want to have development. It has also been clearly communicated that any development needs to hold the corner at Pelham and Wabash.

**B. The Meridian Industrial Center does not conform to standards in the Merriam Park Master Plan (adopted by the City Council as an Addendum to the City of St. Paul's Comprehensive Plan 4 Feb 2004)**

The intent of the Merriam Park Master plan was to continue to develop the Merriam Park neighborhood into an urban village. Strong single-family homes and engaged neighbors helped to create a plan that would better reflect and guide the future of the area.

*2. Major new development should be mixed-use and located along transit routes or on underused or inappropriately developed sites*

The Meridian Industrial Center does not follow these guidelines, set forth to better facilitate the transition close to residential neighborhoods.

*15. Merriam Park should be a friendly, encouraging place for small to medium-sized businesses to grow. Expansion of existing businesses will be favored over the establishment of new businesses, particularly those that would have a negative impact on the community because of congestion, character, or use.*

The expansion of local business to the site should have priority.

*21. This plan supports the St. Paul Land Use Plan's definition of green spaces, parks, and the river as urban amenities. Green spaces and corridors should be encouraged in the community wherever possible, such as along railroad tracks and roads.*

The Merriam Park Master Plan encourages green space along rail tracks, and this site has excellent potential with its location situated between a current neighborhood and proposed green space at Wabash Commons.

*24. The community supports initiatives that promote biking, biking, and other means of transportation as alternatives to single-occupant vehicles. Bike lanes, and bikes racks in commercial areas and on buses should be added. Connecting St. Paul to the Midtown Greenway in Minneapolis is supported when feasible.*

The developer needs to acknowledge and incorporate the future Midtown Greenway and the intrinsic connection this site has to that project.

**The Site Plan does not conform to expectations of the Desnoyer neighborhood.**

The Desnoyer Park Improvement Association believes that the project design is not keeping with its urban neighborhood, and may sit empty without tenants after construction. It is not a long-term development, but merely a hasty development that is not reflective of the neighborhood's needs. The land is immediately across a walking bridge from homes and the fact that it is so close to a main artery of the Central Corridor requires more thought and community input. A UPDC community meeting residents spoke to their concerns for the project, which were not addressed and remain.

**C. The Site Plan does not conform to standards incorporated in the Central Corridor Development Strategy (adopted by City Council in 2007)**

*Outlining how the Central Corridor should be developed, the CC Development Strategy describes the vision and a set of strategies for how the Central Corridor should grow and change in response to the investment in LRT. The six principles in the strategy, guiding the preparation of plans for each of the transit station areas, are:*

- *Reposition Saint Paul in the region.*
- *Benefit and strengthen the diverse community along the Central Corridor.*
- *Link and foster economic activity.*



- *Improve people's mobility throughout their community.*
- *Improve the image and quality of life along the Central Corridor.*
- *Collaborate from design to operation.*

The Meridian Industrial project does not incorporate and place-making features that will strengthen the community along CCLRT, nor

*3.2 Site has been identified as a Major Opportunity for Development within the area of change. There is heightened potential for the future development at the site.*

*3.3 Towards a Transit-Supportive Corridor Making Development "Fit"*

- *Design new development to provide a transition in scale and ensure it fits into its surroundings, improves existing street conditions, and integrates well with existing neighborhoods and communities.*

- *Achieve minimum ground level floor-to-ceiling heights of 13' along major streets such as the Avenue and at important intersections.*

- *Fill gaps in the street with new buildings or by grouping buildings to create walkable clusters of activity that will animate the public realm.*

The Meridian project does not fit with the surrounding buildings; Pelham has a variety of uses, and many building are built up to the curb. Also, none of the surrounding neighborhoods approve this development as one that will better the community, but rather set a sub-par standard of development for the area.

#### *Transit-Supportive Land Uses & Densities*

- *Promote a mix of transit-supportive uses, such as medium-to-high density residential, small-format retail, restaurants and institutions.*
- *Provide a range of housing types and sizes that will cater to both new and existing members of the community.*
- *Animate the street by positioning entrances to individual units at grade and by locating active uses such as restaurants and retail on the ground floor.*

There needs to be an active connection to Pelham, and attractive first floor tenants.

#### *Transit-Supportive Access, Circulation & Parking*

- *Locate parking so that it does not detract from the image of the area by placing it internal to the block, within parking ramps, inside buildings or below ground.*
- *Seek opportunities to consolidate parking, access and servicing.*
- *Seek to develop balanced and coordinated networks of movement that allow for a mix of pedestrian, bicycle, LRT, bus, and vehicular circulation.*

The parking for the current project detract from the design of the building and draws attention away from the street. There is no consolidation of parking and a lack of focus on CCLRT transportation, biking and walking.

#### *A Green, Attractive & Connected Pedestrian Environment*

- *Design open spaces as a focus for new development and work towards improving pedestrian amenities along the Corridor, especially adjacent to stations.*
- *Extend existing streets, open spaces and open space linkages to strengthen connections with the surrounding community*

There needs to be planned connectivity to the future Wabash Commons.

In addition, the outlined Market Potential of the Station Area outlines that by 2030 there will be no industrial in the Raymond station area. This proposed project would far outlast that projection if built, deviating from the desired use at the station area. (Image attached)

#### **D. The Site Plan does not conform to standards incorporated in the Raymond Station Area Plan**

*The Raymond area is historically St. Paul's largest industrial district. The properties are typically one to seven-story brick and stone structures that originally housed factories, warehouses, offices, and commercial spaces.*

#### **2.3 Defining the Study Area**

*The Raymond Station Area has potential to evolve as a place with more employment, a greater range of businesses, more vibrant neighborhoods, and new and enhanced beautiful public spaces. The station area plan process used four mapping layers to investigate and understand the Raymond Station Area. The station area boundary extends east of the ¼ mile zone to capture larger potential development parcels located along Vandalia, and farther west and south to include a number of underutilized, sites with excellent access and frontage relative to Highway 280 and Interstate 94. This boundary is the primary focus for all recommendations contained within this document.*

*Within the boundary, a refined Area of Change has been delineated through the station area planning process. The Area of Change denotes the parcels where change is welcome and should be encouraged, whether through gradual infill, intensification or comprehensive redevelopment.*

#### **2.4 Looking Ahead – the Raymond Station Area in 2030**

*Looking Ahead describes a community-crafted vision for the future of the Raymond Station Area. This narrative generally describes the sum of desired characteristics for this community, and its future role within the broader Central Corridor. The Raymond Station Area will successfully accommodate new forms of residential and commercial infill, while preserving and strengthening both the historic character of the area and the integrity of its employment uses. The potential for a new employment district is identified adjacent to the confluence of Highway 280 and Interstate 94, where excellent access and visibility create a strong economic development opportunity. New development within the Station Area will reflect the architectural scale and character of existing historic buildings, to strengthen this emerging urban village.*

**Raymond Station Area Vision:**

*A model mixed-use urban village that successfully combines new and old: buildings, streets, land uses, and modes of transportation. This Station Area will evolve with an authenticity and sense of place that distinguishes it within the Corridor, and becomes a must-see district for visitors seeking to discover the places that make Saint Paul unique.*

*FIGURE 3.3 - Wabash Commons is a focal point for the larger bicycle and pedestrian movement network and the new redevelopment parcels surrounding it.*

*Wabash Commons: A significant public space is proposed along the north side of Wabash to create a focal point for a future employment hub south of the Avenue. Similar to its proposed sister 'Commons' in the Westgate Station Area, the Wabash Commons is intended to be a high-quality space, enhancing the place making and real estate value for adjacent office and employment uses. Its size will support both active and passive uses.*

- *Wabash Commons, Myrtle Hinge, Top of the Village Park and Charles Street park network offer opportunities to explore and illuminate the history and promise of the Raymond Area through interactive installations and interpretive walkways.*
- *Pelham University Gateway: a left over space, offers opportunity to mark and celebrate the entrance to the Raymond Station Area. While public art opportunities are broadly available to national and even international artists, special efforts should be made to engage local artists.*

*In this burgeoning arts district, there are opportunities for private property owners to engage artists as they envision their overall developments and holdings and to commission public art, particularly where private property intersects the public right-of-way.*

*Future investment in Raymond's Station Area must build on and strengthen the qualities of the University-Raymond Commercial Historic District. Preserving the integrity and character of the area's defining architectural history will be vital to the continued success of land use and development in the Raymond Station Area. Nothing in this plan should be deemed to contradict the University-Raymond Commercial Historic District Design Review Guidelines, but should instead reinforce, and be read in conjunction with, this important legislative document. Also, important to the long term economic and social health of the area is the preservation of viable employment uses with a long term economic future, while continuing to promote new and diverse residential and employment uses that are transit-supportive. The Raymond Station Area requires a flexible and permissive land use strategy that emphasizes connectivity, design performance and transit-supportive qualities, including a broad mix of uses, flexibility of regulation over time, active first level uses, and shared parking solutions. Together, these approaches will assist to strengthen and repair the area's "Main Street" quality, and reinforce the fabric of the area as a complete community with housing, employment and movement options for all.*

*While this overall direction will help guide change over the entire Raymond Station Area, this section describes four distinct Character Areas that will require specific policy direction to achieve their built form and land use potential over time. The following Character Areas descriptions and policy directions provide clear guidance to the forms of development and investment that will support the future of the broader station area.*

#### *4.1 Raymond Historic Village*

*The Raymond Historic Village will be a model of a vibrant commercial corridor that supports people living and working in a revitalized historic district. The University-Raymond Commercial Historic District, designated by the Saint Paul City Council in 2005, developed in the early 20th century (1891-1941) as the city's largest industrial area and a national transportation center. Nearly all of the properties in the district are related to some aspect of the activities of the Minnesota Transfer Railway, or the early years of the trucking industry. The district's 22 contributing buildings, most of them with high exterior integrity, reflect the evolution of the area from the railroad era to the interstate highway system era. A set of design review guidelines was adopted by the City Council when the district was designated. They are used by the Saint Paul Heritage Preservation Commission (HPC) to review permits for rehabilitation, restoration, new construction and demolition. The guidelines address masonry and walls, windows and doors, signs and accessories, new construction, non-contributing and contemporary buildings, and demolition. Any proposed development within the historic district boundaries will need to comply with the HPC's guidelines, as well as the key moves and policy directions in the Raymond Station Area Plan. The adaptive re-use of existing historic and employment buildings, and the sensitive construction of infill uses, will combine a range of residential, commercial, employment and cultural uses while maintaining and strengthening the scale and character of the existing village. Pedestrian-scaled streets will connect the main spine of the Avenue north and south to a wealth of employment anchors and stable residential communities. The LRT platform will effectively extend the village qualities of the Avenue east to Carleton. Though the Raymond village is primarily focused along University Avenue, larger full-block development parcels will exhibit a relationship and secondary orientation to inner blocks where employment uses are located.*

##### *4.1.1 Built Form-New development should fit with its surroundings.*

*a) New development along University Avenue should be 2 to 6 residential stories in height with transitions that respect the existing scale and character of the historic buildings along the street. The northeast corner exhibits potential for taller building heights, and could reach 6-8 stories if setback from the intersection on a 3-4 story podium-type building.*

*b) New development should ensure that buildings have strong street presence along University, Charles and Myrtle. Buildings along Myrtle and Charles may step down to two stories.*

- c) New development should be sited to ensure an adequate public realm and pedestrian promenade.*
- d) New buildings on corner sites should front both streets and utilize their corner positioning as a distinctive feature in their design similar to the Specialty Building.*
- e) Building design should incorporate materials and an architectural style that is distinguishable as contemporary, yet compatible with adjacent historic buildings through scale, rythm, color and materials, while meeting the University-Raymond Commercial Historic District Design Guidelines. All new development should promote transparency and activity at street level.*
- f) First floor commercial or retail uses should help to animate the street by incorporating large glass frontages that allow the activity within to be seen from the street and have at least one entrance that is oriented towards Raymond or University Avenue, access points to the station platforms, and/or key gathering places.*
- g) Commercial or retail uses should be located in the first floor of all buildings within the Priority Active Frontage zones identified on page 25.*

#### *4.1.2 Land Use & Development Pattern*

*Urban Infill along the Avenue should have many uses.*

- a) A broad mix of uses should be concentrated along the edges of the Avenue where they provide an easy connection to public transit, and benefit from the visibility and profile of being located on a major transportation corridor.*
- b) Live-work units may be permitted on the first floor of all buildings beyond the Priority Active Frontage areas. All new private development must contribute to adjacent streetscape improvements.*
- g) As development occurs, seek opportunities to increase north/south mid block connections such as the one created at Carleton Lofts.*
- h) All streets should provide sidewalks, adequate lighting and streetscape amenity.*

*The University-Raymond Commercial Historic District outlined above is comprised of numerous contributing buildings, many of which are 1-2 stories in height. New development should respect the scale and character of the existing buildings while filling in the gaps to intensify the district...*

#### *4.2 A Prestige Employment District*

*The accessibility and centrality ... [and] highly visible and accessible frontage would be ideal for a linear corporate campus for large corporate office buildings or a series of multi-tenanted research park, laboratory and office buildings. These attributes may also make the area attractive for a new hotel and conference center catering to downtown Minneapolis and Saint Paul, the University of Minnesota, and/or the general Twin Cities Region market...*

#### *4.2.1 Built Form*

*c) Further east and toward the center of the Station Area, buildings should step down to a maximum height of 6-8 stories around the proposed Wabash Commons.*

*d) Full-block, large floorplate commercial developments should be permitted.*

*e) New development should exhibit a strong relationship to both the Cromwell frontage and Wabash Commons to ensure there are no backlotting conditions on either of these important spaces. Commercial uses should be principally oriented towards Cromwell Avenue. Buildings adjacent to the Commons should have direct access to it.*

*Building density and size at the site should reflect the potential of the corridor and the possibility of higher job density.*

*4.5 Managed Parking Strategies... To properly assess and manage Park & Ride and Hide & Ride, comprehensive strategies must be implemented so that remaining on- and off-street parking can best serve residents and businesses in the corridor, and support walkable, transit-oriented neighborhoods. Clearly, the reliance on surface parking at current development standards is a large contributor to the underutilization of land within the Station Area. A transformation from surface parking to structured and underground parking will need to happen over time and in conjunction with new development. The following policies provide the direction to facilitate this transformation that will be critical to the creation of active and vibrant streets within the Raymond Station Area. There is a huge possibility that there will be park&hide activity with the too-large and under utilized parking lot planned for the Meridian Industrial Center.*

*a) The establishment of new single-use surface parking lots on University Avenue, and the expansion of existing lots within the station areas, should be discouraged.*

*b) Major redevelopment sites should be explored for opportunities to create shared, structured or below grade parking.*

*c) Where surface parking occurs along University Avenue this should occur to the side or behind buildings and be limited to a maximum of 60-feet in width (for the provision of 2 parking aisles and one drive aisle) and should utilize landscape buffers to minimize the impact on the pedestrian environment.*

*d) Parking requirements should be reduced or eliminated to reduce development costs, support transit ridership and open new possibilities for flexible live-work spaces on smaller sites where on-site parking is not available.*

*There should be the least amount possible of new parking created along the CCLRT, especially in the station areas. 200 spaces of parking for the proposed building is superfluous.*

### 5.1 Connections

*The goal of this section is to identify improved movement options for pedestrians, transit riders and cyclists in reaching the Raymond Station Area from adjacent neighborhoods and the broader Corridor. The Connections diagram (Figure 5.1) identifies key routes to and within the Raymond Station Area, and illustrates recommendations for improving the connectivity, safety, efficiency and quality of these routes for pedestrians and cyclists, including...Midtown Greenway Connection- The long-term feasibility of reconciling grade difference between Pelham Boulevard and the future Midtown Greenway bike route should be explored.*

Both Pelham and Wabash are identified in the plan as bike routes. This classification requires the explicit attention to the Greenway development and reflective development along the routes.

### **E. The Site Plan does not conform to standards incorporated in the City of St Paul Comprehensive Plan**

#### Implementation

*[The plan aims to] capitalize on the potential of the Central Corridor. The construction of light rail transit in the Central Corridor provides opportunities for the city to realize its potential as a complex, sophisticated urban center with denser housing and employment centers.... Cultural and historic resources enhance the lives of Saint Paul residents and visitors by defining the character of the city and creating a strong link to its rich past. Protecting these resources through the use of historic preservation tools will minimize such threats as lack of maintenance, development pressures, and challenges to finding appropriate uses for contemporary times.*

#### Land Use

*[This is a] Mixed-Use Corridor. This land use consists primary of thoroughfares through the city that are served by public transit (or could be in the future). Mixed-Use Corridors include areas where two or more of the following uses are or could be located: residential, commercial, retail, office, small scale industry, institutional, and open space uses. The uses in these corridors may be within a building or in buildings that are in close proximity to each other. Mixed-Use Corridors includes Neighborhood Centers, which are compact, mixed-use areas of higher density housing, as well as shopping, community amenities, and employment centers. The Central Corridor, one specific Mixed-Use Corridor, is the major transit corridor connecting Saint Paul with the University of Minnesota and Downtown Minneapolis..*

*Provide Land for Jobs - For Saint Paul to have a strong economy and to thrive, it must have businesses with living wage jobs. The strategy focuses on providing land for employment centers that capitalize on Saint Paul's historic strengths and emerging labor markets...*

*Promote Aesthetics and Development Standards - As Saint Paul continues to revitalize itself and to grow, it must be an attractive place to live, work, and visit. This strategy provides a framework*

*for design and aesthetics that will engage people and help integrate the built environment into the community.*

*2.1 Ensure the availability of sufficient quantities of land suitable for existing and new employment centers; prepare an inventory of properties zoned for industrial and commercial uses that have the potential for redevelopment as employment centers.*

*An inventory of vacant and underutilized buildings and land currently zoned for industrial and commercial use will provide the City and its development partners with baseline information necessary to pursue a program to develop employment centers.*

*2.2 Promote the redevelopment of outmoded and non-productive sites and buildings so they can sustain existing industries and attract emerging*

***Land Use section of the City of St Paul Comprehensive Plan***

*2.3 Attract industries that use best management practices regarding environmental issues (e.g., air and water quality, soil contamination, solid waste, sustainable construction practices, etc.) in their site*

*We would like to see state of that art development at the site, especially due to its identified potential for redevelopment.*

*2.15 Redevelop underutilized or vacant land in railroad corridors. There has been significant redevelopment in the Phalen Corridor and the Great Northern Corridor in the last two decades. Ample acreage is available for light industry, commercial office development, and capitalization on the growth of freight rail.*

*2.16 Prepare a study of the West Midway industrial area outside the line of change as identified in the **Central Corridor Development Strategy** to determine how the industrial area may be best used to strengthen Saint Paul's industrial sector and employment base (see Figure LU-G).*

*The West Midway, one of Saint Paul's historic railroad corridors, is strategically located, with much of its current business activity closely tied to the railroad lines that run through it. Many existing businesses, though successful, do not employ large numbers of people. The study, in part, will focus on how the West Midway can evolve to capture "knowledge-based" business activity and to take advantage of the potential for a jobs/housing match because of its proximity to the Central Corridor.*

*Land Assembly 2.23 Establish site plan review standards for the I1, I2, and I3 districts for the purposes of providing for the efficient use of land and enhancing the aesthetic quality of the district. TN development standards incorporated into the IR district enhance the appearance and functionality of an industrial development so the district is compatible with nearby commercial*



*and residential areas. Efficient use of a parcel will yield greater numbers of jobs for each acre of development than inefficient use.*

More than 68 jobs should be required for the site, especially due to its proximity to residential areas.

*3.2 Prepare design standards that provide a transition between single-family houses and nearby taller buildings. Issues that the design standards should address include, but are not limited to, height, mass, scale, and architectural context. Taller buildings might be located in Neighborhood Centers or Mixed-Use Corridors, at the edges where they abut single-family neighborhoods.*

This passage clearly identifies what the UPDC is seeking for this project.

*3.6 Encourage improvement of safety through design, as outlined in Design for Public Safety. Design for Public Safety incorporates the principles of crime prevention through environmental design, to focus on strategies and techniques for the design of the physical environment, both of sites and buildings, which help reduce opportunities for crime (see Policy PR-1.7).*

Transit-Oriented Development and Pedestrian-Oriented development can be synonymous.

*3.15 Support the development of guidelines to incorporate public art in City financed capital projects and larger redevelopment efforts to imbue these projects with a distinct sense of place; provide for their maintenance. Projects include infrastructure, buildings, and landscape and exclude projects that are below ground. The City should involve artists early in the planning and design of capital projects.*

The Port Authority's involvement in this project should encourage the incorporation of public art or artistic elements.

*3.16 Facilitate collaboration between artists and the community to identify opportunities for public art and to discuss civic issues that may inform the artist's work.*

St Anthony has been identified as a Neighborhood Center by the City of St Paul.

*1.11 Neighborhood Centers evolved as Saint Paul grew through the years. They all have an existing development pattern conducive to supporting a denser, mixed-use, pedestrian environment where commerce, employment, and amenities can be efficiently and effectively provided. Existing Neighborhood Centers are not all developed at the same level of intensity. Moreover, some existing Neighborhood Centers, such as Saint Anthony, are expected to have modest growth...*

*1.12 Balance the following objectives for Neighborhood Centers through the density and scale of development: accommodating growth, supporting transit use and walking, providing a range of housing types, providing housing at densities that support transit, and providing open space and recreational opportunities. There can be gradations of density within individual Neighborhood Centers, with denser developments at the core and less dense developments at the periphery.*

*1.13 Establish boundaries for Neighborhood Centers that reflect existing development patterns and functional characteristics of the area. The City should use these boundaries to guide development activity, monitor growth and other development conditions, and evaluate performance toward meeting objectives for services and community amenities.*

*1.14 Plan for growth in Neighborhood Centers. The City should recognize community circumstances and preferences as stated in City adopted summaries of small area plans and district plans, while still providing additional housing opportunities at densities that support transit and walking.*

As outlined above, the City should acknowledge the planning documents for the area and ensure that the intent of those plans be enacted.

*1.15 Promote Neighborhood Centers as compact, mixed-use communities that provide services and employment close to residences. Mixed-use development combines three or more uses (e.g., residential, retail, office, recreation, etc.) into an integrated, pedestrian oriented real estate project that is served by transit. Whether the components of the project are within a building or in buildings that are in close proximity to each other, they are functionally integrated so that use of space is maximized. Some of the benefits of mixed-use are activating urban areas during more hours of the day, increasing housing options, reducing auto dependence, and creating a sense of place.*

*1.18 Provide connections for bicycles and pedestrians to community facilities (e.g., parks, recreation centers, libraries, etc.) and to activities that support the residential population; and to adjacent areas of the city.*

Pelham Blvd is a direct route to Desnoyer Park and the Town and Country Club.

*1.27 Provide connections by bicycle and pedestrian facilities to adjacent areas.*

## **Historic Preservation section of the St Paul Comprehensive Plan**

### *Neighborhood Character*

*6.1. Determine the character-defining features of each neighborhood that should be preserved; incorporate these features into area plans and master plans for new development.*

New development at 650 Pelham should be based on the historic Desnoyer Park neighborhood and the Raymond/University historic district.

*6.5. Encourage City-funded projects to protect and enhance those neighborhood physical features that define an area's visual character and urban form.*

Pelham Blvd has the potential to be an unprecedented development that enhances the aesthetics of all surrounding neighborhoods. By facilitating a development that transitions between the

industrial nature of the area into a mixed-use corridor and residential border, the site can maximize it's full potential.

#### *Transportation*

*2.2 Support transit-oriented design through zoning and design guidelines. Compact, street-oriented design should be emphasized to promote walkability and transit use, especially in commercial corridors. Standards for building placement and design based primarily on the needs of the pedestrian should be enforced and expanded.*

As referenced above, the design and placement of any building at 650 Pelham should be pedestrian focused and promote transit use.

*2.6 c. Create better north-south connections to the Central Corridor and realign or extend existing routes where appropriate.*

Pelham is the main north-south connection to the CCLR for Desnoyer Park residents.

*2.12 Simplify and reduce off-street parking requirements and use definitions. To promote investment in existing and historic commercial buildings, the use of a baseline exemption should be explored, where buildings with smaller footprints are not required to provide parking. New off-street parking should be further reduced and restricted in close proximity to transit lines and in Downtown to support transit rider-ship.*

#### **F. The Site Plan does not conform to standards incorporated in the Mississippi River Corridor Plan**

Objective 6.3 in the Mississippi River Corridor Plan identified the priority to provide safe pedestrian and bike connections from surrounding neighborhoods to the corridor and to support the development and access to greater regional systems, such as the Greenway.

Pelham is a direct connection to the Mississippi River Blvd and the Mississippi River Corridor between St Anthony Park and Desnoyer Park. Development along Pelham should reflect the requirements set forth to enhance and promote the River Corridor.

#### **G. The Site Plan does not conform to standards incorporated in the West Midway Study recommendations.**

- Surface parking shall not be located within 30 feet of the corner
- Buildings shall be located to emphasize and 'anchor' the corner
- Permit 25 spaces of required parking
- Maximum set back of 15 feet from lot line
- One shade tree per five parking spaces
- Buildings shall hold the corner and shall be oriented to the corner of both public streets.

The above West Midway Task Force standards clearly identify fundamental requirements for the site that are clearly not met in the current site plan.

**2. The City of St Paul Municipal Code 63 outlines standards for building.**

In the City of St Paul Municipal Code 63.110, requires buildings to hold the corners, or be built up to encourage access along both side of the property when at an intersection. The site plan as approved does not hold the corner, but is set by and surrounded by parking.

**3. There was no Community Design Process to resolve concerns with the project.**

UPDC staff recognized the issues community members and the Land Use Committee were having with the project as proposed and at a public meeting, request that there be a Community Design Process for the site to ensure the site plan to facilitate needed input from the community. With the extreme objections as evidenced in letters, public meetings, and communication with the developers from the communities, the site plan should not be approved based on the simple truth that the surrounding neighborhoods, using evidence from their community and city plans, do not believe that this project is the best development for the site. As a result of the approval of the site plan, all of the work that residents, city staff, consultants, and elected officials have undergone through the adoption of planning documents would be disregarded.

The site is one of unique interest for all parties. Located within a quarter-mile and 5-minute walk to the Raymond Station, and a billion dollar historic development of CCLRT, the community is using its only option, our planning documents, to guide a better development for the site. UPDC only wants to ensure that TOD and community standards are adhered to so that this will be a positive first development in this historic time.

**4. Zoning is not TN at the site**

The I-1 zoning that is currently in effect is not in the best interest of the site, community, or central corridor. It may be considered spot zoning (or even "reverse spot zoning") that the site was not rezoned to TN during the Central Corridor Overlay Zoning District process and planned to be improved into a mixed-use development through the City's Comprehensive Plan. The site plan, if developed as is, would embody a minimal building that mirrors suburban style-development, instead of the urban village precedent the communities surrounding it are trying to establish.

Given that immediately north of the site has been zoned TN and that the neighborhood immediately south single-family home, and as it is on a north-south corridor of access for the Raymond Station and the CCLRT line, industrial zoning does not fit with the intent of all planning documents currently in effect for the site.

In fact, the project is yet speculative, as no tenants have been identified by the developer. UPDC considers the approval of site plan for this project to be completely inappropriate, in that it would

effectively create a sub-standard precedent for development in the area, allow city-adopted planning documents to be disregarded and uninformed development to proceed, and facilitate a precedent for developer-oriented spot zoning, which is extremely disconcerting for a site that has been identified by the City of St. Paul as a major site for redevelopment in accordance to the CCLRT project.

### **Conclusions**

Failure of the City of St. Paul to designate the site as a Traditional Neighborhood zone was disappointing for the surrounding neighborhoods and an inadequate epitome for the future development of the area. However, absent the TN designation, this site plan falls far short of the spirit of the plans for the area and the basic needs of the neighborhood.

In light of this, we respectfully, but strongly request that the project and attendant site plan include the following conditions in conformance with the spirit and intent of any development of the site as envisioned in the St. Anthony Park Community Plan, Merriam Park Master Plan, Raymond Station Area Plan, Mississippi River Corridor Plan, Central Corridor Development Strategy, and City of St. Paul Comprehensive Plan:

- 1) Buildings should hold the corners at Pelham and Wabash; there should not be more than 15 feet between the sidewalk and any building**
- 2) Sidewalks should be installed on both Pelham and Wabash**
- 3) Buildings should have façade articulation consistent with the historic district at Pelham and University**
- 4) Any parking spaces should be behind the building or underground to reduce pervious pavement and encourage the use of public transportation, especially the CCLRT**
- 5) There should be at least two occupied stories on any building at the site**
- 6) Windows adjacent to the streets should be prominent and perpetuate a storefront**
- 7) Doorways should be located close to street and emphasized architecturally**
- 8) Incorporate artistic elements reflective of the Creative Enterprise artists**
- 9) Increased building density**
- 10) Mixed commercial and industrial use at the site**
- 11) Design any building at the site to facilitate and encourage the growth and expansion of our local industry and buildings. First opportunity for tenants at the site should be local businesses looking to expand. Confirm tenants for the building prior to construction.**

For all the reasons cited above, the Union Park District Council requests the Planning Commission of the City of Saint Paul to reverse the approval of Site Plan 10-906716 for the project at 650 Pelham Boulevard and mandate inclusion of the above stated conditions for the development of this site.

Union Park District Council  
1570 Concordia Ave, Suite LL100  
St Paul, MN 55104

Respectfully submitted August 1, 2011

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Glen R. McCluskey', written over a horizontal line.

Glen R. McCluskey  
President  
Union Park District Council

CC: Russ Stark, Ward 4  
John Allen, Industrial Equities  
Jon Commers, St. Paul Planning Commission  
Donna Drummond, Director of Planning  
Amy Sparks, St. Anthony Park Community Council  
Louis Jambois, St. Paul Port Authority

## Defining Areas of Change and Stability


LRT has the potential to result in many positive changes along the Corridor. Identifying the potential for positive change and ways to manage this change is the primary aim of the Development Strategy.

### Areas Likely To Change as a Result of LRT

The Areas of Change and Stability diagram (right) outlines the area that will most likely be the focus of change as a result of the LRT (shown in white) and areas where the existing characteristics - whether low-rise residential or employment - are intended to be protected from change (shown in yellow).

In areas where the existing character should be maintained, very little change is proposed; emphasis should be on preserving and enhancing existing characteristics. This is the case even though some of these neighborhoods fall within a ¼-mile radius of a station traditionally considered for new transit-oriented development. Restoring and preserving these low-rise neighborhoods in their current form will help ensure that a stable population resides in the vicinity of LRT.


While most areas will experience gradual change over time, areas in pink highlight sites that may be entirely redeveloped over the next 20 years. The characteristics of these development sites vary significantly as will the form and scale of redevelopment. Over the following pages, six development typologies are presented to illustrate how development should respond to the range of existing conditions. For each type, a series of key principles is presented, along with an illustration of what development might look like.








# MARKET POTENTIAL OF THE STATION AREAS




1: Westgate

|               |                   |
|---------------|-------------------|
| OFFICE        | 700,000 sf        |
| RETAIL        | 60,000 sf         |
| INDUSTRIAL    | none              |
| HOTEL         | 150 rooms         |
| RESID. (RENT) | 1,500-2,000 units |
| RESID. (OWN)  | 300-500 units     |




2: Raymond

|               |               |
|---------------|---------------|
| OFFICE        | 950,000 sf    |
| RETAIL        | 60,000 sf     |
| INDUSTRIAL    | none          |
| HOTEL         | none          |
| RESID. (RENT) | 450-600 units |
| RESID. (OWN)  | 250-500 units |




3: Fairview

|               |               |
|---------------|---------------|
| OFFICE        | 300,000 sf    |
| RETAIL        | 40,000 sf     |
| INDUSTRIAL    | none          |
| HOTEL         | none          |
| RESID. (RENT) | 400-500 units |
| RESID. (OWN)  | 200-300 units |




4: Snelling

|               |               |
|---------------|---------------|
| OFFICE        | 300,000 sf    |
| RETAIL        | 450,000 sf    |
| INDUSTRIAL    | none          |
| HOTEL         | 150 rooms     |
| RESID. (RENT) | 500-600 units |
| RESID. (OWN)  | 300-400 units |




5: Lexington

|               |               |
|---------------|---------------|
| OFFICE        | 250,000 sf    |
| RETAIL        | 90,000 sf     |
| INDUSTRIAL    | none          |
| HOTEL         | none          |
| RESID. (RENT) | 200-250 units |
| RESID. (OWN)  | 75-150 units  |



6: Dale

|               |               |
|---------------|---------------|
| OFFICE        | 50,000 sf     |
| RETAIL        | 50,000 sf     |
| INDUSTRIAL    | none          |
| HOTEL         | none          |
| RESID. (RENT) | 400-600 units |
| RESID. (OWN)  | 50-100 units  |



7: Rice

|               |            |
|---------------|------------|
| OFFICE        | 800,000 sf |
| RETAIL        | 100,000 sf |
| INDUSTRIAL    | none       |
| HOTEL         | 200 rooms  |
| RESID. (RENT) | 500 units  |
| RESID. (OWN)  | 500 units  |



## STAFF RESONSE

A response from zoning staff to the issues raised in the appeal by Union Park District Council was part of the staff report packet that went to the Zoning Committee. The staff response was incorporated as part of the Planning Commission resolution and a copy of it can be found with the resolution in this packet. So to save paper, I have not included a second copy here, even though it was in the original staff report.

Tom Beach  
9/22/11



CITY OF SAINT PAUL  
Christopher B. Coleman, Mayor

375 Jackson Street, Suite 220  
St Paul, Minnesota 55101-1806

Telephone: 651-266-8989  
Facsimile: 651-266-9124  
Web: [www.stpaul.gov/dsi](http://www.stpaul.gov/dsi)

July 20, 2011

Tom Bydalek  
Industrial Equities LLP  
321 1st Avenue North  
Minneapolis MN 55401

**RE: Approval of Site Plan 10-906716  
Meridian Industrial Center at 650 Pelham Blvd**

Dear Mr. Bydalek:

**The site plan referenced above is approved subject to the following conditions:**

1. **Site improvements** The proposed building and all associated site improvements must be installed as shown on the approved site plan, subject to Public Works giving final approval for the location of any new street lights on Wabash and the material used for storm sewer pipe. Improvements covered by the site plan include all paving, grading, sidewalks, driveways, utilities, storm water management facilities, landscaping, lighting, fences and walls.
2. **Erosion and Sediment Control** All erosion and sediment control devices must be installed per the approved site plan and shall be inspected by the building inspector prior to excavation. Control devices must be maintained until final approval of the project.
3. **Permits and Fees** The permits and fees listed below are required for the work shown on the approved site plan.
  - **Building permit** A Building Permit from the Department of Safety and Inspections (651-266-9007) is required.
  - **Sewer permit** Sewer connection permits from Public Works Sewer Division (651-266-6234) are required. These permits are issued only to licensed house drain contractors. Any sewer revisions to approved plans must be approved by the City before construction.
  - **Water permit** A water service permit is required from Saint Paul Regional Water Service (1900 Rice Street).
  - **Sidewalk permit** Work on curbs, driveways and sidewalks in the public right-of-way must be done by a licensed contractor under a permit from Public Works Sidewalk Section (651-266-6120)
  - **Sign permit** Business signs require a sign permit from the Department of Safety and Inspections. Contact Yaya Diatta at 651-266-9080.
  - **Tree planting permit** A permit from the City Forester (651-632-5129) is required for planting trees in the boulevard. There is no charge for this permit.
  - **Obstruction permit** An Obstruction Permit must be obtained from Public Works (651-487-7250) if trucks/equipment will be driving over curbs or if construction will block City streets or sidewalks.
  - **MnDOT permit** You must obtain any permits required by MnDOT for work in their right of way.
  - **NPDES permit** Your contractor must obtain an NPDES permit from the MPCA for this project or update the permit that was issued in 2010 for the demolition.
  - **Watershed permit** You have obtained a permit from the Capitol Region Watershed District.
  - **Parkland Dedication Fee** A parkland dedication fee of \$12,116.67 is required for this project. The fee is payable at the time the building permit from this department is paid.

4. **Combine parcels** The site is comprised of more than one tax parcel. These must be combined into one parcel no later than two weeks after you close on the property. Lot combinations are done through Ramsey County and do not need City approval.
5. **Time limit** Work covered by this site plan must be completed no later than 12/1/12.
6. **Appeal** The decision to approve this site plan can be appealed to the Planning Commission. Appeals must be filed with my office (Department of Safety and Inspections) no later than 4:30 on 8/1/11.


If you have any questions, you can reach me at 651-266-9086 or [tom.beach@ci.stpaul.mn.us](mailto:tom.beach@ci.stpaul.mn.us).

Sincerely,



Tom Beach  
Zoning Specialist

cc: Sewer Division, Planning Division, Traffic Division  
Councilmember Russ Stark  
Samantha Henningson  
Saint Anthony Park Community Council  
Union Park District Council





- Lar
1. Tree s
  2. Refer
  3. All pla otherwise
  4. Plan t
  5. All pro
  6. Adjust Significan
  7. The pr after jo
  8. All pla
  9. Plant at the fir
  10. If the might no to bid so
  11. Cont
  12. Cont which m including
  13. The than 10% protectio
  14. This by the cl
  15. The
  16. Plant
  17. The l comment approval within th
  18. All ex
  19. Land work act
  20. Plant not insta
  21. Turf Firm the
  22. All s construct
  23. Nat
- Native Z
- Native Z
- Prairie s shall be
24. The Where r schedul
  25. The material
  26. The
  27. Ref
  28. The the mun
  29. The with the
  30. Land approve planting

**Municipal Landscape Calculations:**


|                               |                |
|-------------------------------|----------------|
| Total Projected Project Cost: | \$ 2,400,000.0 |
| 2% Landscape Requirement      | \$ 48,000.0    |
| Projected Landscape Cost:     | \$ 75,000.0    |

(not including irrigation)










↑ North







Facade of ex. bldg. - similar to what is proposed

