

From: [Matt Nienstedt](#)
To: [*CI-StPaul>Contact-Council](#)
Subject: Comments for RES PH 25-99
Date: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 12:00:08 PM

You don't often get email from mpnienstedt@mac.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

I do not support the plat changes until the City surveyor is also listed as a required approver on the modified plat itself.

While these changes are relatively straight forward, there are some underlying issues that should be known surrounding this change.

In Pulte's application, Pulte stated that the building errors, "were not identified until the building had been fully occupied". This is false. The error was discovered on June 18, 2024, (as stated in an email dated 9 December 2024 by Mark Neu of Pulte). The June 18, 2024, date is also shown on the redline plat submitted by Pulte to the City. Knowing of the error, Pulte continued to sell homes that were non-conforming to the plat with known property line issues (905 Woodlawn Unit F on 21 June 2024; Unit G on 17 July 2024).

There is no record that the City physically verified that the plat changes are correct. There is no City survey approval on the proposed plat itself. Physically verifying the plat changes is necessary since the original error perpetuated multiple times and was ultimately approved (erroneously) by the City (from original survey, to construction, to verification of construction for certificate of occupancy). How do we know this one is correct without verification? The revised plat should include an explicit approval by the City surveyor, in addition to those already listed on the proposed plat.

Pulte nor the City have been transparent with affected property owners. Pulte did not share any materials with owners for review before submitting to the City. The applications were put in the name of the property owners, but no property owners signed the applications. These were signed by a Pulte employee, not the actual applicants listed (property owners). The City did not inform any property owner that applications were submitted in their names, without their signatures, nor of any of the requested changes when preliminary approval was provided. It is only now property owners are made aware. Because of this, the above modification to include City surveyor could have been included before going to the City Council. I will not sign the plat until this is included