

8/05/16

CH # 2061



# APPLICATION FOR APPEAL

Department of Safety and Inspections  
375 Jackson Street, Suite 220  
Saint Paul, MN 55101-1806  
651-266-9008

Zoning office use only

File no. 16-067062

Fee 453.00 *82*

Tentative hearing date:

09/21/16

### APPLICANT

Name Keith Eklund  
 Address 8531 Savanna Oaks Lane  
 City Woodbury St. MN Zip 55125 Daytime phone 651-815-7678  
 Name of owner (if different)

### PROPERTY LOCATION

Address ~~492~~ 492 Bay Street Saint Paul  
 Legal description: 112823410039  
 (attach additional sheet if necessary)

**TYPE OF APPEAL:** Application is hereby made for an appeal to the:

- Board of Zoning Appeals       City Council

under the provisions of Chapter 61, Section 702 Paragraph \_\_\_\_\_ of the Zoning Code, to appeal a decision made by the Board of Zoning Appeals

on Aug 1, 20016. File number: 16-047761  
(date of decision)

**GROUND FOR APPEAL:** Explain why you feel there has been an error in any requirement, permit, decision or refusal made by an administrative official, or an error in fact, procedure or finding made by the Board of Zoning Appeals or the Planning Commission.

*See attached.*

(attach additional sheet if necessary)

Applicant's signature *Keith Eklund* Date 8-5-16 City agent \_\_\_\_\_

1

492 Bay

1. ~~The proposed structure is not in accordance with~~
1. ~~The proposed home will cover 38% of the lot~~
1. The percentage of lot coverage will be 38% while the neighborhood average is 14%. The proposal is therefore incompatible with the neighborhood
2. The proposed home is not in harmony with the adjacent properties and neighborhood
3. The ~~proposed~~ ~~staff~~ variances requested have not been permitted when requested in the past in this neighborhood
4. Location of the proposed home will damage the trees located on the neighboring property
5. The proposed home is much larger than the prior home that was located on the property
6. The sewer will not suffer undue hardship ~~as he has had others~~
7. That city staff and neighborhood organizations both opposed granting the variances requested
8. The variances requested violate Ordinance 462.357. Subd 6 in that it will alter the essential character of the neighborhood
9. The proposed use is not reasonable due to size