



City of Saint Paul

City Hall and Court House
15 West Kellogg Boulevard
Council Chambers - 3rd
Floor
651-266-8560

Meeting Minutes

City Council

Council President Mitra Jalali
Vice President HwaJeong Kim
Councilmember Anika Bowie
Councilmember Cheniqua Johnson
Councilmember Saura Jost
Councilmember Rebecca Noecker
Councilmember Nelsie Yang

Wednesday, January 15, 2025

3:30 PM

Council Chambers - 3rd Floor

ROLL CALL

Meeting started at 3:30 PM

Present 7 - Councilmember Rebecca Noecker, Councilmember Mitra Jalali, Councilmember Nelsie Yang, Councilmember HwaJeong Kim, Councilmember Anika Bowie, Councilmember Saura Jost and Councilmember Cheniqua Johnson

CONSENT AGENDA

Items listed under the Consent Agenda will be enacted by one motion with no separate discussion. If discussion on an item is desired, the item will be removed from the Consent Agenda for separate consideration.

Approval of the Consent Agenda

Councilmember Jost moved approval.

Consent Agenda adopted as amended

Yea: 7 - Councilmember Noecker, Councilmember Jalali, Councilmember Yang, Councilmember Kim, Councilmember Bowie, Councilmember Jost and Councilmember Johnson

Nay: 0

- 1 [RES 25-19](#) Authorizing the Department of Parks and Recreation to accept a \$500 gift from the St. Clements Faith Action Team.

Adopted

- 2 [RES 25-28](#) Granting preliminary approval to the issuance of certain general obligation and revenue bonds as approved in the 2025 City budget; expressing the intent of the City to reimburse itself from the proceeds of such tax-exempt and taxable bonds; and authorizing City finance staff and advisors to take certain actions with respect to the sale of such bonds.

Adopted

- 3 [RES 25-29](#) Authorizing the City to enter into a lease renewal with Griggs Midway Building Corporation for the Police Department's Employee Assistance Program.
- Adopted**
- 4 [RES 25-30](#) Approving the expansion of an Auto Repair Garage license to the existing Auto Body Repair/Painting Shop License to the existing licenses for A Certified Collision Center Inc d/b/a A Certified Collision Center (License ID# 20030002881) for the premises located at 920 Atlantic Street.
- Adopted**
- 5 [RES 25-33](#) Approving the application for change of ownership to the Health/Sport Club license now held by St Paul Pilates & Fitness LLC d/b/a St Paul Pilates & Fitness (License ID #20240001983) for the premises located at 2327 Wycliff Street, Suite 150.
- Adopted**
- 6 [RES 25-36](#) Approving the application for a license approval for Lexington Restaurant Group LLC d/b/a The Lexington for the Liquor On Sale-291 or more seats, Liquor On Sale Sunday, Liquor Outdoor Service Area (Patio) and Entertainment (A), requesting a modification to the existing license conditions, (License ID #20150000044) for the premises located at 1096 Grand Avenue.
- Adopted**
- 7 [RES 25-39](#) Accepting the gift of the costs of travel and tuition expenses from the Bloomberg Harvard City Leadership Initiative for Deputy Mayor Jaime Tincher, Nicolle Newton, Melanie McMahon, Krystle Cruz Williams, and John McCarthy to attend the Leading Economic Development in Cities program to be held in Cambridge, Massachusetts January 13- 17, 2025.
- Adopted**
- 8 [RES 25-61](#) Approving Ordinance Permit No. 20233470701 for Frana Companies on Bidwell Street.
- Adopted**
- 9 [RES 25-63](#) Authorizing the Department of Emergency Management to pay for all costs for Emergency Management training and/or costs associated with the operation of the Emergency Operations Center (EOC) during emergencies and declared disasters in 2025.
- Adopted**
- 10 [RES 25-81](#) Approving the Mayor's appointment of Jessica Braun and Timothy Marino as members of the Capital Improvement Budget Committee.
- Adopted**
- 11 [RES 25-82](#) Approving the Mayor's appointment of Teferi Tafa, Jasmine Michaels, and Ivan Cortes Roque and the reappointment of Krystle Igbo-Ogbonna as chair

to the Human Rights and Equal Economic Opportunity Commission.

Adopted

- 12 [RES 25-83](#) Approving the Mayor's appointment of Brad Teslow, Ocean C. Poet, Anna Hover, and Frances Lane to the Mayor's Advisory Committee on People with Disabilities.

Adopted

- 13 [RES 25-84](#) Approving the Mayor's appointment of Mysee Chang and reappointment of Chang Vang to the Public Housing Agency (PHA). (To be withdrawn)

Withdrawn

- 14 [RES 25-85](#) Approving the Mayor's appointment of Phillip Wahlberg to the Truth in Sale of Housing Board.

Adopted

- 15 [RES 25-90](#) Authorizing the proper City officials to accept a gift stipend reimbursing travel and lodging costs for Assistant City Attorney Edmundo D. Lijo to attend the Cities for Action convening in New York City from December 4, 2024, to December 5, 2024.

Adopted

- 16 [RES 25-93](#) Approving the Mayor's appointment of Liz Lee and Trisha Duncan and reappointment of Wade Luneburg to the Visit Saint Paul Board of Directors. (To be withdrawn)

Withdrawn

- 17 [RES 25-95](#) Authorizing the Department of Parks and Recreation and the Public Library Agency to apply for funds, in an amount up to \$1,000,000, with no match required of the City, from Bloomberg Philanthropies for the Global Mayors Challenge to expand transportation options for young people via circulators throughout Saint Paul.

Adopted

- 18 [Min 25-1](#) Approving the minutes of the Saint Paul City Council meetings of November 6, 13, and 20, 2024.

Adopted

- 19 [RES 25-40](#) Creating the new classification of Lead Life Coach Case Worker in EG 06, Professional Employees Association.

Adopted

- 20 [RES 25-41](#) Creating the new classification of Life Coach Case Worker in EG 06, Professional Employees Association.

Adopted

- 21 [RES 25-57](#) Establishing the rate of pay for Senior Legislative Aide in Employee Group 17, Non-Represented City Managers, Grade 16C.
Adopted
- 22 [RES 25-58](#) Establishing the rate of pay for Chief Policy Analyst, EG 17, Non-Represented City Managers, Grade 25C.
Adopted
- 23 [RES 25-54](#) Approving the Memorandum of Agreement between the City and the Tri-Council: LIUNA Laborers, Local 363; General Drivers, Local 120; and Operating Engineers, Local 49 for the purpose of amending Article 4 of the 2023-2025 Collective Bargaining Agreement regarding the LIUNA Pension Fund contribution.
Adopted

ORDINANCES

An ordinance is a city law enacted by the City Council. It is read at three separate council meetings and becomes effective after passage by the Council and 30 days after publication in the Saint Paul Pioneer Press. Public hearings on ordinances are generally held at the second reading.

First Reading

- 24 [Ord 25-4](#) Amending Title IV of the Administrative Code to add Chapter 92, titled Tree Preservation for City Sponsored Projects.
Councilmember Noecker described the ordinance change.
Laid over to January 22, 2025 for Second Reading

PUBLIC HEARINGS

Live testimony is limited to two minutes for each person. See below for optional ways to testify.

- 25 [Ord 25-2](#) Amending Chapter 6.03 of the City Charter pertaining to the application of Administrative Citations for violations of City Ordinances, based on the recommendation of the Charter Commission pursuant to Minnesota Statute section 410.12, subdivision 7.
28 people spoke during the public hearing.
Councilmember Yang moved to close the public hearing.
Council President Jalali spoke in support.
Councilmember Jost spoke in support.
Councilmember Yang spoke in support.
Councilmember Kim spoke in support.

Councilmember Johnson spoke in support.

Councilmember Bowie spoke in support.

Laid over to January 22, 2025 for Final Adoption

Yea: 7 - Councilmember Noecker, Councilmember Jalali, Councilmember Yang, Councilmember Kim, Councilmember Bowie, Councilmember Jost and Councilmember Johnson

Nay: 0

26 [Ord 25-3](#)

Granting the application of Macalester College to rezone property at 1655 and 1661 Grand Avenue and 37 Macalester Street (north side between Macalester and Cambridge) from T2 traditional neighborhood to RM1 multiple family and to rezone property at 53, 57, and 63 Macalester Street (southwest corner of Grand and Macalester) from T2 traditional neighborhood to VP vehicle parking, and amending Chapter 60 of the Legislative Code pertaining to the zoning map.

Councilmember Jost moved to close the public hearing.

Laid over to January 22, 2025 for Final Adoption

Yea: 7 - Councilmember Noecker, Councilmember Jalali, Councilmember Yang, Councilmember Kim, Councilmember Bowie, Councilmember Jost and Councilmember Johnson

Nay: 0

27 [RES PH 25-7](#)

Approving the Augustus Corner final (combined) plat and variances of subdivision design standards.

Kady Dadlez, City Planner, gave a staff report.

Mark Elridge, neighbor, spoke during the public hearing.

John Mathern from Equinox Construction spoke during the public hearing.

Councilmember Jalali moved approval.

Adopted

Yea: 7 - Councilmember Noecker, Councilmember Jalali, Councilmember Yang, Councilmember Kim, Councilmember Bowie, Councilmember Jost and Councilmember Johnson

Nay: 0

28 [RES PH 25-12](#)

Authorizing the City to accept a grant of \$805,139 from the U.S. Department of Transportation, to execute a cooperative agreement for the grant, and to establish a corresponding budget for the grant in the City accounting system.

Councilmember Noecker moved approval.

Adopted

Yea: 7 - Councilmember Noecker, Councilmember Jalali, Councilmember Yang, Councilmember Kim, Councilmember Bowie, Councilmember Jost and Councilmember Johnson

Nay: 0

LEGISLATIVE HEARING DISCUSSION ITEMS

- 39 [RLH RSA 24-12](#) Appeal of James W. Bush and Linda D. Dear, tenants, to a Rent Stabilization Determination at 1391 HAZELWOOD STREET, APARTMENTS, 10 AND 11.

Laid over for one week.

*James Bush, tenant and appellant, appeared in person
Linda Dear, tenant and appellant, appeared in person
Jennifer Brown, landlord's daughter, appeared in person*

Marcia Moermond, Legislative Hearing Officer: The rent increase application sought an 8% increase through DSI's self-certification process. An appeal was filed, and we asked staff from the Department of Safety and Inspections (DSI) to review of the applicant's Minnesota Net Operating Income (MNOI) Worksheet. DSI staff determined that an increase of over 9% would be allowed, based on the MNOI Worksheet. The application was for an increase up to 8%, so that would be the maximum allowable in this case. This would apply to the next lease, and not the current one. A 3% increase on a one-year lease was executed last August. This included an increase in the fee for garage parking. There was discussion about whether the garage and rent should be considered together. I recommend they be considered together. Estimates for rent and parking from both the tenant and the landlord contain different set of starting numbers. But the bottom line is that the numbers tell me these are extremely affordable apartments. I calculated it out to be affordable for people at 30%-35% area median income (AMI). The resolution before you allows up to an 8% increase when the rent and parking are counted together. This does not change the current lease. The action today says that the next lease would allow this increase, which would start in August. The owner and two tenants are here to testify. The landlord made this request and is intending to sell it, as she is long past her retirement age. The tenants had complaints about housing quality as well. The Fire Certificate of Occupancy (FCO) inspection gave it a class A rating, so I don't see anything there to warrant a change. Complaints arrived before the Legislative Hearing and have not been investigated. I think proper investigation is needed. Inspectors would make that finding. I cannot make that finding and would not recommend you do that either. The building seems to be in good shape and seems to have been in good shape for a while. A January 7 letter has numbers to illustrate how parking and rent could be combined to come up with an 8% increase. The appellant was concerned that this resolution would adopt those numbers. Those numbers are just for reference and the only thing being adopted would be a percentage, according to the resolution.

Council President Jalali: Could you clarify if parking is considered separately? Based on ordinance, I think it should be considered in favor of the tenant.

Moermond: Housing services are defined in the ordinance. Vehicle parking is included. It might typically be paid separately, like one would pay a pet deposit. In this case, a parking lot would be free, but garage parking is an increased amount. The tenants have had this garage parking for many years. I'm not sure ordinance differentiates between parking lots and garages. There is some ambiguity there, and I

recommend calling that in favor of the tenant.

James Bush: I've been here 23 years. When I first moved in, garage space and the apartment were both contained in the lease. I've always been paying one check for the combined rent for the apartment and the garage. The housing services ordinance is strong. It lists 18 examples, one of which is vehicle parking space. It also includes any other benefit, privilege, or facility connected with the use or occupancy of any rental unit. The August 1 rent increase had a 3% increase for the garage. Our argument is that they should be combined before the three percent was applied. In the current lease, we've been paying more than a 3% increase when the two items are combined. We ask to be reimbursed for this excess charge. Secondly, Moermond's recommendation letter also used an example of a \$25, 33% increase for the garage. That's a problem because it essentially ratifies the earlier wrongdoing.

Jalali: Can you restate your ask?

Bush: The \$25 increase imposed by the hearing officer should be stricken. It should be 8% on both the apartment and garage together.

Linda Dear: I live across the hall from James. In the Legislative Hearing, I was under the impression that it would be a combined 3% from when it was raised in August last year, and then an additional 5%, to get to the 8%. That's an 11% increase. Yes, the garage went up 33%. If it can go up an additional 33% in less than a year, that's a 77% increase in rent. 33% plus 33% plus 11%.

Jalali: What is your ask?

Dear: I just wanted to make a point about the percentages.

Jalali: So, you just wanted to bring that to our attention? Okay.

Jennifer Brown: I'm the daughter of Penelope Brown, the owner. I do all of the maintenance at our building and have done it for 24 years. I'm dedicated to my job. I've learned about appliances and woodworking to pass savings on to tenants. I have pictures going back years of the work I've done. I was having surgery on January 12, so I couldn't attend the Legislative Hearing. I heard about the heating issue and went there the next day. I can't allow the pipes to freeze in the building. My mom is 81 and I'm carrying this maintenance load with a friend. I can hire somebody if need be. We have a Class A rating and have been 18% under value for as long as we could. We needed to ask for help. We didn't know this inflation would happen.

Jalali: Could you clarify your ask?

Brown: We care about them, and we wouldn't ask for help unless we desperately needed them to help. We were at that point.

Councilmember Yang moved to close the public hearing. Approved 7-0.

Yang: I'm not prepared to make a decision today. I would like to lay this over for one week. Moermond, could you clarify if the garage space is combined with the rent? Is that something we can determine?

Moermond: I was hearing two different things that were being conflated. First is a complaint about the rent increase on the garage space from last August, and saying that it was not in compliance with City ordinance. The second thing I heard was about what comes forward this coming August. This would be setting a maximum amount

that would be allowable, as a percentage. That second one is the decision in front of you today. Anything going backwards in time is appropriately investigated by DSI. My recommendation is to allow an 8% increase in rent, inclusive of parking as a housing service. Ordinance language says to count them together.

Councilmember Johnson: So, aligning with ordinance, the 8% increase does include parking.

Moermond: Yes. For example, if rent is \$750 and parking is \$100, we have \$850 as the current base of housing services. The 8% increase would be applied to that base amount.

Jalali: Yang, how long would you like to lay this over?

Yang: I move a 1-week layover

Jalali: I support that.

Public hearing closed and laid over to January 22, 2025

Yea: 7 - Councilmember Noecker, Councilmember Jalali, Councilmember Yang, Councilmember Kim, Councilmember Bowie, Councilmember Jost and Councilmember Johnson

Nay: 0

47 [RLH TA 24-386](#)

Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for property at 1066 STINSON STREET. (File No. J2502R, Assessment No. 258501)

Assessment deleted.

Daniel Vasquez, owner, appeared in person

Marcia Moermond, Legislative Hearing Officer: A picture of the back of the garage is shown on screen. It shows a chair, a mattress-like thing, and other things. There was an order to remove and properly dispose of the mattress, office chair, and miscellaneous debris at the rear of the property. The material was removed around the corner, going from the rear of the garage to the side of the garage. The question becomes, is that actual removal from the rear of the yard or movement within the rear of the yard? In my view, that is movement within the rear of the yard, and things weren't taken care of when the contractors arrived. When the contractors arrived, they took photos before and after the cleanup, showing evidence of the work they did. On screen is what they saw upon arrival. On screen now is a photo here of what was removed, so we have evidence of the cleanup having been conducted. The owner said he didn't understand the difference between rear of the garage and rear of the yard. He thought the order was to remove items from the rear of the garage, not the entire rear of the property. There isn't a history of issues at this location. This is the only violation on record. Could it have been read the way the owner states? Yeah. Should it have been read that way? Not in my view. However, given the good faith effort made, my recommendation would be a reduction of the assessment by half if there are no same or similar violations by April 16, 2025, which I think is likely.

Daniel Vasquez: I am the owner of the house as a first-time homeowner. I live there with my wife and two young children. As you can tell by the various playthings in the yard, when we got the letter initially, I will admit I skimmed through it. I think I only picked up and removed the items from the rear of the house. I took that very literally. I thought I appealed the letter. We had renovations. We were going to order a dumpster and or a bagster. The very next week, I was surprised to see all the items

had been removed, some of which were not to be disposed of at all. There was a sink I was going to give to my brother and some lumber I had plans for. I was surprised when I got the large bill and felt like it was unjust. It was a misunderstanding on my piece on what the "rear the house" meant. My ask is to not have this fee, as they also took things that they weren't supposed to take. I don't see why I would have to pay for that.

Councilmember Johnson moved to close the public hearing. Approved 7-0

Councilmember Bowie: I can see where it could be an honest mistake. What are the parameters for determining where he could put items to be in compliance, including based on the nature of the items?

Moermond: I interpret removal from the rear yard as taking items from the rear plane of the house back to the back of the parcel where the alley is. On the nature of the items, there are items that can be outside and items that should be inside. Interior items were taken. We try not to remove exterior items like rakes and mowers.

Bowie: Do we know how billing is calculated? I see here that it's \$260 per hour?

Moermond: Kamish Excavating sends a daily invoice for work performed for the City. This day's invoice had a \$51 general refuse cost and a mattress fee of \$35. The hours are then divided evenly among the properties, which I believe is a flaw in the contract that could be fixed.

Bowie: So, the \$51 and \$35 combine to \$86. You're saying it is not just assessing the \$86, but that it's a percentage of the total.

Moermond: The top number on the invoice references hours worked that day. One option that might be available is that you could delete the assessment entirely if there are no same or similar violations, or you could delete it today.

Bowie: I still don't have a number. You're saying you don't have a number because it's based off the percentage, right? I'm just trying to get clarity.

Moermond: I could go back and get some research done on it. It's not the easiest thing in the world to figure out the invoicing system.

Councilmember Noecker: It sounds like the owner wanted to do the right thing. I'm in favor of deleting the assessment entirely if there are no same or similar violations by April.

Bowie: I support that and make that motion.

Councilmember Johnson: I support that.

Councilmember Yang: Could we share ideas with DSI to make the language clearer? Also, I know we would be assessing here because the work was already done. If the assessment was deleted, what is the financial impact to the City?

Moermond: The total assessment including fees is \$216. Under the old system with Parks and Recreation staff doing it, the fee would have been \$295. If the assessment is deleted, that amount is picked up by the taxpayers. It is also within your purview, though, to not let mistakes get through if you believe one was made.

Yang: I appreciate that. I'm inclined to delete the assessment. It's not necessary to

wait because of no other violations.

Bowie: I support that.

Jalali: So, the motion is to delete it today then?

Bowie: Yes.

Johnson: Is that if there are no same or similar violations by April or delete today?

Jalali: There are two options I'm hearing.

Bowie: I move a total deletion today.

Adopted as amended (assessment deleted)

Yea: 6 - Councilmember Noecker, Councilmember Jalali, Councilmember Yang, Councilmember Bowie, Councilmember Jost and Councilmember Johnson

Nay: 0

Absent: 1 - Councilmember Kim

44 [RLH RR 24-52](#)

Ordering the razing and removal of the structures at 1784 NORFOLK AVENUE within fifteen (15) days after the January 15, 2025, City Council Public Hearing.

Removal ordered within 15 days.

Doug Rock, attorney o/b/o owner, appeared in person

Marcia Moermond, Legislative Hearing Officer: 1784 Norfolk has been in the registered vacant building program since September of 2013. It is currently owned by Stonebridge Land Acquisition LLC. We conducted a Legislative Hearing on December 10, 2024, to review the case and hear any proposals for a demolition or rehabilitation of the structure. There was no attendance at the Legislative Hearing by anyone. We did note on the record that notification of the hearing was sent by personal service and was signed for, so there was clear notice of the matter. We have received an e-mail and I understand the Department of Safety and Inspections also received communication that Stonebridge wants to have some additional time to consider whether it wants to demolish or to rehabilitate the structure. That's normally something we would deal with prior to the item coming to the City Council. If this were to be a rehab situation, I would say that if they have posted a \$5,000 performance deposit and applied for a code compliance inspection, What that is showing there is skin in the game. This has not happened, and we have not heard anything about a demolition contractor. Being that their e-mail said the bids have come back as expensive, I don't have those two previous items, and it's been vacant for so long... There have been 35 summary abatement orders issued since it went into the registered vacant building program. Eight of those required work orders. Given the long duration of it having been in there and there being no communication or intent to take one action or another... It took this resolution to even elicit this conversation.

Council President Jalali: What is your recommendation?

Moermond: To order the structure demolished within 15 days.

Doug Rock: I represent my client with Stonebridge Land Acquisitions. 23 years ago, the City was working with the owner of that property to take down that whole block to build another multifamily development. Stonebridge purchased the property but then talks with the other houses ceased, so he was left with that home. It's a small building and there are not a lot of options for it. They overpaid for the lot for the home at the time. Since then, they've maintained the home and complied with all abatement orders. There was a misstep from our office on the communication for attending the Legislative Hearing. I would have been there and asked for an extension on demolition. From what I understand, the City just got bids for the demolition of the home. We have yet to see them. We were told that they would tell us if their bids came in lower, and that we could use those contractors versus our contractors. Throughout the Twin Cities, we have demolished similar homes to this at a fraction of the cost. Estimates are coming in at \$46,000 for us to remove the house. In other cities, we're paying \$5,000 - \$10,000 to remove similar style houses. We request this extension so we can review what the City's bids are, if that's more affordable, to be able to demolish the house. Otherwise, we would like to see if we could preserve this house, bring it back into housing stock, and sell it. This owner has been a good steward of the land. Throughout the City, we have over 1,250 homes or apartment homes that we have built and rent. Some of those are senior housing. We request an extension so we can review what the City had for their bids, so we can obtain some bids for preservation of the house. Affordable housing is needed. Preserving this house would be a step towards that as well. We want additional time to either review the City's bids or obtain some bids for preservation.

Councilmember Jost moved to close the public hearing. Approved 6-0.

Jost: Based on the information provided, I move Moermond's recommendation.

Adopted

Yea: 5 - Councilmember Noecker, Councilmember Jalali, Councilmember Yang, Councilmember Jost and Councilmember Johnson

Nay: 1 - Councilmember Bowie

Absent: 1 - Councilmember Kim

LEGISLATIVE HEARING CONSENT AGENDA

Items listed under the Consent Agenda will receive a combined public hearing and be enacted by one motion with no separate discussion. Items may be removed from the Consent Agenda for a separate public hearing and discussion if desired.

Approval of the Consent Agenda

Councilmember Bowie moved approval.

Legislative Hearing Consent Agenda adopted as amended

Yea: 7 - Councilmember Noecker, Councilmember Jalali, Councilmember Yang, Councilmember Kim, Councilmember Bowie, Councilmember Jost and Councilmember Johnson

Nay: 0

29 [RLH TA 24-364](#)

Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for property at 291 BATES AVENUE. (File No. J2502R, Assessment No. 258501)

Adopted

- 30 [RLH TA 24-369](#) Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for property at 1733 BEECH STREET. (File No. J2502T, Assessment No. 258505) (Public hearing continued to May 7, 2025)

Public hearing continued to May 7, 2025

- 31 [RLH TA 24-387](#) Deleting the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for property at 869 CLARK STREET. (File No. J2502T, Assessment No. 258505)

Adopted

- 32 [RLH TA 24-388](#) Deleting the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for property at 875 CLARK STREET. (File No. J2502T, Assessment No. 258505)

Adopted

- 33 [RLH TA 24-344](#) Deleting the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for property at 87 COOK AVENUE WEST. (File No. J2501R, Assessment No. 258500)

Adopted

- 34 [RLH SAO 25-1](#) Making finding on the appealed nuisance abatement ordered for 317 DALY STREET in Council File RLH SAO 24-81.

Adopted

- 35 [RLH TA 24-354](#) Deleting the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for property at 463 FOREST STREET. (File No. J2502T, Assessment No. 258505)

Adopted

- 36 [RLH TA 24-353](#) Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for property at 2016 FREMONT AVENUE. (File No. J2502T, Assessment No. 258505)

Adopted

- 37 [RLH TA 24-426](#) Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for property at 1099 GERANIUM AVENUE EAST. (File No. J2502R, Assessment No. 258501)

Adopted

- 38 [RLH TA 24-366](#) Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for property at 565 HATCH AVENUE. (File No. J2502T, Assessment No. 258505)

Adopted

- 40 [RLH TA 24-357](#) Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for property at 463 HERSCHEL STREET. (File No. J2502R, Assessment No. 258501) (Public hearing continued to May 14, 2025)

Public hearing continued to May 14, 2025

- 41 [RLH VO 24-38](#) Appeal of Scott Swanson to a Correction Notice-Complaint Inspection (which

includes condemnation) at 999 HUDSON ROAD. (January 14, 2025 Legislative Hearing)

Adopted as amended (extension granted to March 1, 2025)

- 42** [RLH TA 24-469](#) Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for property at 2023 MAGNOLIA AVENUE EAST. (File No. J2501R, Assessment No. 258500)
Adopted
- 43** [RLH TA 24-349](#) Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for property at 284 MAPLE STREET. (File No. J2502T, Assessment No. 258505)
Adopted as amended
- 45** [RLH TA 23-330](#) Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for property at 1199 REANEY AVENUE. (File No. J2501R, Assessment No. 258500)
Adopted
- 46** [RLH TA 24-363](#) Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for property at 155 RUTH STREET NORTH. (File No. J2501T, Assessment No. 258503)
Adopted
- 48** [RLH CO 24-8](#) Appeal of Thomas Nelson & Ranettia Alexander-Nelson to a Correction Notice at 493 VAN BUREN AVENUE. (Public hearing continued from November 13, 2024)
Amended and public hearing continued to February 12, 2025
- 49** [RLH TA 24-378](#) Deleting the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for property at 1127 WINTHROP STREET SOUTH. (File No. J2502T, Assessment No. 258505)
Adopted
- 50** [RLH TA 24-323](#) Deleting the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for property at 935 WOODBRIDGE STREET. (File No. J2502T, Assessment No. 258505)
Adopted
- 51** [RLH AR 24-82](#) Ratifying the assessment for Rubbish and Garbage Clean Up services during April 29 to May 10, 2024 (File No. J2501R, Assessment No. 248500)
Adopted
- 52** [RLH AR 24-83](#) Ratifying the assessment for Tall Grass and Weed Removal services during May 29 to June 12, 2024 (File No. J2501T, Assessment No. 248503)
Adopted
- 53** [RLH AR 24-84](#) Ratifying the assessment for Rubbish and Garbage Clean Up services during May 10 to 20, 2024 (File No. J2502R, Assessment No. 248501)
Adopted

- 54 [RLH AR 24-85](#) Ratifying the assessment for Tall Grass and Weed Removal services during June 7 to 26, 2024 (File No. J2502T, Assessment No. 248505)

Adopted

ADJOURNMENT

Meeting ended at 6:27 PM

City Council meetings are open for in person attendance, but the public may also comment on public hearing items in writing or via voicemail. Any comments and materials submitted by 12:00 pm of the day before the meeting will be attached to the public record and available for review by the City Council. Comments may be submitted as follows:

The public may comment on public hearing items in writing or via voicemail. Any comments and materials submitted by 12:00 pm of the day before the meeting will be attached to the public record and available for review by the City Council. Comments may be submitted as follows:

Written public comment on public hearing items can be submitted to Contact-Council@ci.stpaul.mn.us, CouncilHearing@ci.stpaul.mn.us, or by voicemail at 651-266-6805. Live testimony will be taken in person in the Council Chambers, and by telephone by registering to speak by noon on the day before the meeting. The registration link is located on the City Council website at www.stpaul.gov/council or <https://forms.office.com/g/TD3xN7WHy5>.

Council Meeting Information

The City Council is paperless which saves the environment and reduces expenses. The agendas and Council files are all available on the Web (see below). Council members use mobile devices to review the files during the meeting. Using a mobile device greatly reduces costs since most agendas, including the documents attached to files, are over 1000 pages when printed.

Web

Meetings are available on the Council's website. Email notification and web feeds (RSS) of newly released minutes, agendas, and meetings are available by subscription. Visit www.stpaul.gov/council for meeting videos and updated copies of the agendas, minutes, and supporting documents.

Cable

Meetings are live on St Paul Channel 18 and replayed at various times. Check your local listings.