

RES 20-1263 10/14/2020 1:38 AM Grace Schmitt This is my second post-script, written because the Legistar System has now recorded the submission I just wrote on 10/13/20 at 8:35 p.m. as having been written on 10/14/2020 at 1:32 a.m. Help. Who is in charge of the validity of information?

RES 20-1263 10/14/2020 1:32 AM Grace Schmitt

This is a post-script to my earlier comment opposing RES 20-1263. I am mortified to see that my original comment was edited by Legistar's algorithm (or, is it the City of St. Paul?) to imply that I used a profane word in my comment in opposition to RES 20-1263. Obviously, I do not spell with ass. Nor would I ever use profane language in speaking to the leadership of our City. For the system to presume such makes me really sad. And, now, I am hesitant to even submit this comment as I do not feel confident that this will appear in the authentic manner in which it is sent. I hope it does.

RES 20-1263 10/13/2020 7:07 PM Grace Schmitt Against

A council member was quoted in the September 13th Pioneer Press as saying, "We've identified that charter schools are causing a financial issue within the city...". Singling out charter schools as the sole tax-exempt business for which conduit revenue bonds are halted is grossly unfair; and, it diverts attention from the broader issue and vital need of effective education for all our children. If charter schools are "rapidly expanding", why is that? If St. Paul Public School enrollment is "declining significantly", why is that? Parents are "voting with their feet." Why is that? What is at the root of this movement? Please do not obfuscate the real issue by passing Res 20-1263. If proposals for conduit revenue bonds need to be reduced, then apply the halting of consideration of proposals to ALL eligible entities. Thank you.