

JOHN DREELAN

From: "Bob Kessler" <Bob.Kessler@ci.stpaul.mn.us>
To: "JOHN DREELAN" <englishdustoff@msn.com>
Cc: "Maynard Vinge" <Maynard.Vinge@ci.stpaul.mn.us>; "Phil Owens" <Phil.Owens@ci.stpaul.mn.us>;
 "Robert Humphrey" <Robert.Humphrey@ci.stpaul.mn.us>
Sent: Saturday, October 02, 2010 10:20 AM
Attach: HTML.htm
Subject: Re: Inspection Fee For 1673 Iglehart

Hello again John,

Once you have been inspected you no longer have to pay the annual fee of \$50.00. That fee was collected from those properties that had not as yet been inspected and the money is used to pay for the program, including the work that is done to keep the list current. And you are right, the City Council did increase the inspection fees in 2009, after several public hearings and much debate. No additional fee increases are being considered for 2011.

I am sorry for the confusion; and I would be happy to show you the budget documents if you care to come downtown before I retire next month. Just give me a call at 266-9013 and I'll find a convenient time and place to meet.

Bob

Bob Kessler, Director
 Department of Safety & Inspections--DSI
 City of Saint Paul, MN
 651-266-9013

>>> "JOHN DREELAN" <englishdustoff@msn.com> 10/1/2010 3:07 PM >>>

Bob, Your staff {Maynard Vinge} specifically told me that the \$50. was going toward the inspection cost. So your reasoning does not hold up. I also wrote about the increase in the fee. 100% That is the reason I called and talked to Maynard in the first place. I believe that the deal was changed after your department gave out the rules of the policy to me and probable others. If I understand your correspondence correctly you say 2 different things. One is that I will owe nothing until 2013 and the other is I will have to pay \$50. a year to run the program. How can you put the 2 things in the same letter? Or am I misunderstanding this? Please clarify. John Dreelan.

1673 Iglehart