

Heintz, Polly (CI-StPaul)

Subject: FW: SPYC & Dredge Spoil & Diversion of Harriet Island Park land

From: Kiki Sonnen <kikisonnen@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, April 7, 2021 11:36 AM

To: #CI-StPaul_Ward2 <Ward2@ci.stpaul.mn.us>

Cc: #CI-StPaul_Ward1 <Ward1@ci.stpaul.mn.us>; #CI-StPaul_Ward3 <Ward3@ci.stpaul.mn.us>; #CI-StPaul_Ward4 <Ward4@ci.stpaul.mn.us>; #CI-StPaul_Ward5 <Ward5@ci.stpaul.mn.us>; #CI-StPaul_Ward6 <Ward6@ci.stpaul.mn.us>; #CI-StPaul_Ward7 <Ward7@ci.stpaul.mn.us>; Thao, Kayla (CI-StPaul) <kayla.thao@ci.stpaul.mn.us>; fmelo@pioneerpress.com

Subject: SPYC & Dredge Spoil & Diversion of Harriet Island Park land

Dear Councilmember Noecker,

I'm writing to you regarding Res. 21-438 on today's consent agenda. Sorry to bring this up at this late date, but I just found out about it while browsing your agenda in preparation for a different agenda item (Pig's Eye No Net Loss to Parkland).

I have several questions about St Paul Yacht Club (SPYC) proposal to dredge, dewater, discharge and fill dredge spoil on Harriet Island Parkland:

- 1) Why was the requirement dropped for an independent external audit and replaced with a simple income/expense sheet internally prepared by SPYC?
- 2) Has SPYC applied for dredge, dewatering, storage and fill permits from the Corps of Engineers, USFWS, National Park, MN DNR, PCA, and St Paul Critical Area Review? Where are we in the permitting process? Where are the opportunities for public review and comment?
- 3) Where is Exhibit D showing the footprint, height, spread, and angle of decline of the dredge spoil storage? Where are we in the Site Plan Review Process?
- 4) where is SPYC's permanent off-site dredge spoil location? Could it be they are counting on space in Pig's Eye Regional Park, along with the Wood Chipper refuse and the Water Department sludge soil? Or could it be they are counting on adding their dredge spoil to the disputed Dredge Spoil Islands proposed for Pig's Eye Lake? Or could it be they may be looking to create their own Dredge Spoil Islands in Pickerel Lake?
- 5) Why does the resolution's section 7.2.30 say the City **may** require SPYC to return premise to the original condition...? It should say City **requires** the premise be returned to the ordinal condition.
- 6) Has the City Council considered that this is yet another example of parkland being turned over to dumping? This is another example of Parkland being diverted to non-park uses. This violates the City's own Charter of No Net Loss and No Diversion of Parkland.

Sincerely,
Kiki Sonnen
1758 Hewitt Ave
St Paul, MN. 55104