

From: [Zimny, Joanna \(CI-StPaul\)](#)
To: [Kedrowski, Richard \(CI-StPaul\)](#); [Warner, Otis \(CI-StPaul\)](#); [Vang, Mai \(CI-StPaul\)](#)
Cc: [Martin, Lisa \(CI-StPaul\)](#)
Subject: RE: 662 Edmund
Date: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 8:24:00 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Sounds great.

And I'm replying one last time to update the subject since the clarifying email I sent was not part of this chain before we attach to the record.

From: Kedrowski, Richard (CI-StPaul) <Richard.Kedrowski@ci.stpaul.mn.us>
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 8:22 AM
To: Zimny, Joanna (CI-StPaul) <joanna.zimny@ci.stpaul.mn.us>; Warner, Otis (CI-StPaul) <Otis.Warner@ci.stpaul.mn.us>; Vang, Mai (CI-StPaul) <mai.vang@ci.stpaul.mn.us>
Cc: Martin, Lisa (CI-StPaul) <lisa.martin@ci.stpaul.mn.us>
Subject: RE: 662 Charles Ave

Hi Joanna,

It appears that notice was sent to Inspector Warner that a PA EC was pending, but the Invoice was never generated by the system for mailing for that PA EC. Therefore, the Property Owner would not have received any invoice.

Please delete the fee.

Thanks,
Dick

From: Zimny, Joanna (CI-StPaul) <joanna.zimny@ci.stpaul.mn.us>
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 7:49 AM
To: Kedrowski, Richard (CI-StPaul) <Richard.Kedrowski@ci.stpaul.mn.us>; Warner, Otis (CI-StPaul) <Otis.Warner@ci.stpaul.mn.us>; Vang, Mai (CI-StPaul) <mai.vang@ci.stpaul.mn.us>
Cc: Martin, Lisa (CI-StPaul) <lisa.martin@ci.stpaul.mn.us>
Subject: RE: 662 Charles Ave

Is there a way to confirm that the PA EC was sent? I think that is the issue. Because the only one in STAMP attached is for 775 Ivy. They aren't contesting the original Excessive Consumption

Thanks,
Joanna

From: Kedrowski, Richard (CI-StPaul) <Richard.Kedrowski@ci.stpaul.mn.us>
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 6:02 AM
To: Warner, Otis (CI-StPaul) <Otis.Warner@ci.stpaul.mn.us>; Vang, Mai (CI-StPaul) <mai.vang@ci.stpaul.mn.us>
Cc: Martin, Lisa (CI-StPaul) <lisa.martin@ci.stpaul.mn.us>; Zimny, Joanna (CI-StPaul) <joanna.zimny@ci.stpaul.mn.us>
Subject: RE: 662 Charles Ave

Good morning Mai,

I do not believe that the Fee was a mistake. Otis gave this Property Owner numerous extensions. The Excessive Consumption Fee was added after the clean-up still had not been completed. A Work Order was finally sent and the Property Owner did the clean-up prior to Parks executing the Work Order. The Done By Owner status entered by Parks is what generated the PA EC.

Both are valid assessments.

Thanks,
Dick

From: Warner, Otis (CI-StPaul) <Otis.Warner@ci.stpaul.mn.us>
Sent: Monday, June 27, 2022 2:32 PM
To: Vang, Mai (CI-StPaul) <mai.vang@ci.stpaul.mn.us>
Cc: Martin, Lisa (CI-StPaul) <lisa.martin@ci.stpaul.mn.us>; Kedrowski, Richard (CI-StPaul) <Richard.Kedrowski@ci.stpaul.mn.us>; Zimny, Joanna (CI-StPaul) <joanna.zimny@ci.stpaul.mn.us>
Subject: Re: 662 Charles Ave

Hi Mai,
I think it was a mistake. Please delete.
Thank you.

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Vang, Mai (CI-StPaul) <mai.vang@ci.stpaul.mn.us>
Sent: Monday, June 27, 2022 12:26:57 PM
To: Warner, Otis (CI-StPaul) <Otis.Warner@ci.stpaul.mn.us>
Cc: Martin, Lisa (CI-StPaul) <lisa.martin@ci.stpaul.mn.us>; Kedrowski, Richard (CI-StPaul) <Richard.Kedrowski@ci.stpaul.mn.us>; Zimny, Joanna (CI-StPaul) <joanna.zimny@ci.stpaul.mn.us>
Subject: 662 Charles Ave

Hi Otis,

Joanna entered a TA for above matter. We came across the wrong attachment in STAMP for the PAEC. I did not see the PAEC for 662 Charles in Amanda, only 775 Ivy. May need to remove from STAMP.

Also, why a EC and then PAEC? Should this recommended for deletion?

[Vacant Buildings - Report Viewer \(stpaul.city\)](#)

Mai Vang

Pronouns: She/Her

City Council Offices – Legislative Hearings

15 W Kellogg Blvd, 310 City Hall

Saint Paul, MN 55102

M: (651) 266-8585 | D: (651) 266-8563

Legislative Hearing Appeals – www.stpaul.gov/legislative-hearings



SAINT PAUL
MINNESOTA

From: [Zimny, Joanna \(CI-StPaul\)](#)
To: [Vang, Mai \(CI-StPaul\)](#); [Warner, Otis \(CI-StPaul\)](#)
Cc: [Martin, Lisa \(CI-StPaul\)](#); [Kedrowski, Richard \(CI-StPaul\)](#)
Subject: RE: 662 Charles Ave -- incorrect address
Date: Monday, June 27, 2022 12:41:00 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

It should be 662 Edmund

From: Vang, Mai (CI-StPaul) <mai.vang@ci.stpaul.mn.us>
Sent: Monday, June 27, 2022 12:27 PM
To: Warner, Otis (CI-StPaul) <Otis.Warner@ci.stpaul.mn.us>
Cc: Martin, Lisa (CI-StPaul) <lisa.martin@ci.stpaul.mn.us>; Kedrowski, Richard (CI-StPaul) <Richard.Kedrowski@ci.stpaul.mn.us>; Zimny, Joanna (CI-StPaul) <joanna.zimny@ci.stpaul.mn.us>
Subject: 662 Charles Ave

Hi Otis,

Joanna entered a TA for above matter. We came across the wrong attachment in STAMP for the PAEC. I did not see the PAEC for 662 Charles in Amanda, only 775 Ivy. May need to remove from STAMP.

Also, why a EC and then PAEC? Should this recommended for deletion?

[Vacant Buildings - Report Viewer \(stpaul.city\)](#)

Mai Vang

Pronouns: She/Her
City Council Offices – Legislative Hearings
15 W Kellogg Blvd, 310 City Hall
Saint Paul, MN 55102
M: (651) 266-8585 | D: (651) 266-8563
Legislative Hearing Appeals – www.stpaul.gov/legislative-hearings



SAINT PAUL
MINNESOTA