

Moermond, Marcia (CI-StPaul)

From: Moermond, Marcia (CI-StPaul)
Sent: Friday, March 20, 2015 5:52 PM
To: 'blackstrebor@aol.com'
Cc: Vang, Mai (CI-StPaul)
Subject: RE: C of O Hearing of 3-10-15

Thank you for your email Mr. Roberts. I will be happy to discuss these matters at our hearing on March 31.

From: Vang, Mai (CI-StPaul)
Sent: Thursday, March 19, 2015 8:18 AM
To: Moermond, Marcia (CI-StPaul)
Subject: FW: C of O Hearing of 3-10-15

Mr. Roberts wants me to forward this to you.

Mai

From: Benjamin Roberts [<mailto:blackstrebor@aol.com>]
Sent: Thursday, March 19, 2015 8:11 AM
To: Vang, Mai (CI-StPaul)
Subject: Fwd: C of O Hearing of 3-10-15

Ms. Vang

I am forwarding a copy of an email that I sent to Marcia Moermond. I just found out that it came back marked undeliverable. If possible could you please assist regarding the question asked and respond as soon as possible so that I can get the requested information. Thanks

-----Original Message-----

From: Benjamin Roberts <blackstrebor@aol.com>
To: ""Marcia Moermond<legislativehearings\ "" <"Marcia Moermond<legislativehearings""@ci.stpaul.mn.us
Sent: Thu, Mar 12, 2015 10:55 pm
Subject: C of O Hearing of 3-10-15

In reference to the letter you wanted from my daughter's psychiatrist, we would like you to provide specific questions that you want him to answer in order for you to make your decision. Your decision that I was referring to in the hearing regarding a father's daughter living in a house that he owned was stated with that being the only explanation. Perhaps the decision was based on material that was presented in private that I was not privileged to (and probably should not have been) nor was it to be public information. We were not prepared to present this information or discuss her specific mental status in an open forum, but feel we had no choice at the time. For that, I find myself continually checking with her to see if she's okay because I believe I and the process violated her rights to confidentiality. If we have to re-appear at another hearing, I would appreciate if this matter could be held at the end after the room has been cleared.

Yes, the Bar Association does subjectively discriminate in their effort to determine "fitness." We have the application as proof.

I am not naive regarding Manic Depressive Disorders and will say that it is amazing at the number of people who suffer from this disease, many of whom are highly intelligent and who appear to be very capable. For some, it is mild and they function quite well with the chemical imbalance but they have first conquered many of the presenting problems and attitudes about "self" that is exacerbated by the chemical imbalance. For them, medication works. For others, life remain uncontrollable in spite of medications. Each case is individualized. I have every confidence that my daughter is in the first category, but she is not there now.

How can I say this? Well, I have a Master's Degree in Social Work and have worked approximately thirty-three years as a social worker. I have provided counselling to individuals with a variety of mental disorders among which, this is one of them. I am not claiming to be an expert, just acknowledging my familiarity with the problem.

Hoping to hear from you soon. Thanks