

City of Saint Paul

City Hall and Court House 15 West Kellogg Boulevard Council Chambers - 3rd Floor 651-266-8560

Meeting Minutes - Action Only

City Council

Council President Amy Brendmoen Councilmember Russel Balenger Councilmember Mitra Jalali Councilmember Rebecca Noecker Councilmember Jane L. Prince Councilmember Chris Tolbert Councilmember Nelsie Yang

Wednesday, June 21, 2023

3:30 PM

Council Chambers - 3rd Floor

ROLL CALL

Meeting started at 3:32 PM

Present 7 - Councilmember Amy Brendmoen, Councilmember Chris Tolbert,
Councilmember Rebecca Noecker, Councilmember Jane L. Prince,
Councilmember Mitra Jalali, Councilmember Nelsie Yang and
Councilmember Russel Balenger

COMMUNICATIONS & RECEIVE/FILE

1	CO 23-31	Letter from the Department of Safety and Inspections declaring 50 Fillmore Avenue East a nuisance property. (For notification purposes only; public hearings will be scheduled at a later date if necessary.)
		Received and Filed
2	AO 23-56	Establishing five (5) new projects through the Neighborhood STAR Year-Round Program as adopted via City Council Resolutions # 23-795 and 23-770.
		Received and Filed
3	AO 23-59	Amending the 2022 and 2023 Capital Improvement Budgets to allocate funding for Community Proposal Set Aside Allocations to appropriate departments, as recommended by the Capital Improvement Budget Committee.
		Received and Filed
4	AO 23-61	Amending ESG project budgets: funding for 2021-22 Emergency Solutions Grant activities administered by Ramsey County per the Joint Powers Agreement approved via RES 19-2110.
		Received and Filed
5	AO 23-62	Amending ESG project budgets: funding for 2022-23 Emergency Solutions Grant activities administered by Ramsey County per the Joint Powers Agreement approved via RES 19-2110.

Received and Filed

6 AO 23-63 Authorizing the Police Department to reallocate its 2023 Special Fund budget to reflect expenditures more accurately.

Received and Filed

CONSENT AGENDA

Items listed under the Consent Agenda will be enacted by one motion with no separate discussion. If discussion on an item is desired, the item will be removed from the Consent Agenda for separate consideration.

Approval of the Consent Agenda

Council President Brendmoen stated that Item 20 would be taken separately.

Councilmember Balenger moved approval.

Consent Agenda adopted as amended

Yea: 7 - Councilmember Brendmoen, Councilmember Tolbert, Councilmember Noecker, Councilmember Prince, Councilmember Jalali, Councilmember Yang and Councilmember Balenger

Nay: 0

7 RES 23-777 Approving the City's cost of providing Property Clean Up services during April 19 to 28, 2023, and setting date of Legislative Hearing for July 18, 2023 and City Council public hearing for August 23, 2023 to consider and levy the assessments against individual properties. (File No. J2324A, Assessment No. 238531)

Adopted

RES 23-778 8

Approving the City's cost of providing Property Clean Up services during May 1 to 11, 2023, and setting date of Legislative Hearing for July 18, 2023 and City Council public hearing for August 23, 2023 to consider and levy the assessments against individual properties. (File No. J2325A, Assessment No. 238533)

Adopted

9 RES 23-831 Authorizing the City to renew a lease agreement with Ramsey County for the Ramsey County Sheriff Office's use of space in the City Hall Annex.

Adopted

10 **RES 23-870** Approving the Collective Bargaining Agreement (January 1, 2023 -December 31, 2025) and Memorandums of Agreement between the City and the Professional Employees Association, Inc.

Laid over to June 28, 2023

11 RES 23-879 Authorizing the Fire Department to pay for all costs incurred to host a graduation ceremony for new firefighters on July 14, 2023 at the Paul and

Meeting	Minutes	- Action	Only

		Sheila Wellstone Center.
		Adopted
12	RES 23-884	Authorizing the City to enter into a joint powers agreement with the Minnesota State Agricultural Society to provide augmented police services in and around its fairgrounds location.
		Adopted
13	RES 23-887	Preliminary Order setting the date of Public Hearing for July 26, 2023 to consider approval of the 2023 Mill and Overlay Program.
		Adopted
14	RES 23-901	Approving the settlement agreement and release between the City and Sara Romdenne and her attorneys, Gus Nicklow and the firm of Meshbesher & Spence.
		Adopted
15	RES 23-904	Accepting the gift of travel expenses from the Aspen Institute for Mayor Melvin Carter to attend the Aspen Ideas Festival in Aspen, Colorado, from June 26-28, 2023.
		Adopted
16	RES 23-905	Adopting the 2022 Stormwater Permit Annual Report.
		Adopted
17	RES 23-918	Authorizing the City to enter into a Cooperative Agreement with the State to commission a painted public art installation on the State-owned State Trail Bridge facing the City administered Grand Round Regional Trail.
		Adopted
18	RES 23-920	Approving the use of grant funds through the Ward 7 Neighborhood STAR Year-Round Program for Dayton's Bluff Community Council in partnership with St. Paul Parks Department, Wakan Tipi Awanyankapi, and Swede Hollow Cafe.
		Adopted
19	RES 23-924	Approving precinct boundary and polling location changes for Wards 1 and 2 for the November 7, 2023 General Election.
		Adopted
21	RES 23-933	Approving the Mayor's appointment of Margot Trout and Hannah Hoeger to the Saint Paul Climate Justice Advisory Board.
		Adopted
22	RES 23-936	Approving the Mayor's reappointment of Kevin Gallatin to a two-year term on

the MSP International Airport Noise Oversight Committee.

Adopted

23 RES 23-937 Approving the Mayor's appointment of Kenn Rockler to the Mayor's Advisory Committee on People with Disabilities.

Adopted

24 RES 23-835 Approving the Collective Bargaining Agreement (January 1, 2023 -

December 31, 2025) and Memorandums of Agreement between the City of Saint Paul and AFSCME Local 3757 - Legal.

Adopted

FOR DISCUSSION

20 RES 23-926

Approving Laws 2023, Chapter 64, Article 10, Sections 2, Section 3, Section 4, and Section 5 authorizing the City to impose a local sales and use tax in the amount of one percent for the purpose of financing certain improvements to streets, bridges, and parks and recreation facilities.

Councilmember Prince asked for details on how funds could be used.

Andy Rodriguez, Director of Parks and Recreation, addressed Prince's question.

Prince spoke in opposition.

Councilmember Tolbert spoke in support and moved approval.

Adopted

Yea: 6 - Councilmember Brendmoen, Councilmember Tolbert, Councilmember Noecker, Councilmember Jalali, Councilmember Yang and Councilmember Balenger

Nay: 1 - Councilmember Prince

ORDINANCES

An ordinance is a city law enacted by the City Council. It is read at three separate council meetings and becomes effective after passage by the Council and 30 days after publication in the Saint Paul Pioneer Press. Public hearings on ordinances are generally held at the second reading.

First Reading

25 Ord 23-27

Amending Chapter 142 of the Legislative Code (Title XII) pertaining to a special service district in downtown. (Public hearing continued from July 19, 2023 for Final Adoption)

Bruce Engelbrekt, Office of Finanical Services, gave a staff report.

Joe Spencer, Saint Paul Downtown Alliance, continued the staff report.

Councilmember Noecker spoke in support.

Councilmember Tolbert spoke in support.

Councilmember Prince spoke in support.

Council President Brendmoen moved to lay over to July 12.

Laid over to July 12, 2023 for Second Reading

Yea: 7 - Councilmember Brendmoen, Councilmember Tolbert, Councilmember Noecker, Councilmember Prince, Councilmember Jalali, Councilmember Yang and Councilmember Balenger

Nay: 0

26 Ord 23-31

Amending Legislative Code Chapter 63 pertaining to off-street parking facility standards and design.

Council President Brendmoen stated that the staff report would take place during the public hearing.

Councilmember Tolbert moved to lay over for one week.

Laid over to June 28, 2023 for Second Reading

Yea: 7 - Councilmember Brendmoen, Councilmember Tolbert, Councilmember Noecker, Councilmember Prince, Councilmember Jalali, Councilmember Yang and Councilmember Balenger

Nay: 0

27 Ord 23-30

Amending the Ford Site (Highland Bridge) Zoning and Public Realm Master Plan to accommodate a proposed project by the University of Saint Thomas.

Councilmember Tolbert moved Version 3 and to lay over for one week.

Amended and laid over to June 28, 2023 for Second Reading

Yea: 7 - Councilmember Brendmoen, Councilmember Tolbert, Councilmember Noecker, Councilmember Prince, Councilmember Jalali, Councilmember Yang and Councilmember Balenger

Nay: 0

PUBLIC HEARINGS

Live testimony is limited to two minutes for each person. See below for optional ways to testify.

28 RES PH 23-152

Approving the application of Colleen Healy for a sound level variance in order to present amplified sound on July 4, 2023 at 30 Langford Park - Langford Park Bandstand.

Councilmember Jalali moved approval.

Adopted

Yea: 7 - Councilmember Brendmoen, Councilmember Tolbert, Councilmember Noecker, Councilmember Prince, Councilmember Jalali, Councilmember Yang and Councilmember Balenger

City of Saint Paul Page 5

Nay: 0

29 RES PH 23-153

Approving the applications of the Minnesota United FC for sound level variance applications in order to present amplified sound on July 1, 8 and 15, 2023, within the Street and the Beer Garden Area at Allianz Field - 400 Snelling Avenue North.

Councilmember Balenger moved approval.

Adopted

Yea: 7

Councilmember Brendmoen, Councilmember Tolbert, Councilmember Noecker, Councilmember Prince, Councilmember Jalali, Councilmember Yang and Councilmember Balenger

Nay: 0

30 RES PH 23-154

Approving the application of DanceCo for a sound level variance in order to present amplified sound on July 8, 2023 at 2 Wabasha Street South - Raspberry Island.

Councilmember Noecker moved approval.

Adopted

Yea: 7 -

Councilmember Brendmoen, Councilmember Tolbert, Councilmember Noecker, Councilmember Prince, Councilmember Jalali, Councilmember Yang and Councilmember Balenger

Nay: 0

31 RES PH 23-156

Approving the application of Nikki Hubin for a sound level variance in order to present amplified sound on June 24, 2023 at 1 Leech Street - Hope Breakfast Bar.

Councilmember Noecker moved approval.

Adopted

Yoa.

 Councilmember Brendmoen, Councilmember Tolbert, Councilmember Noecker, Councilmember Prince, Councilmember Jalali, Councilmember Yang and Councilmember Balenger

Nay: 0

32 RES PH 23-157

Approving the application of Jamesetta Polahn and Samuel Rusch for a sound level variance in order to present amplified sound on June 23, 2023 at Rice Park - 109 Fourth Street West.

Councilmember Noecker moved approval.

Adopted

Yea:

 Councilmember Brendmoen, Councilmember Tolbert, Councilmember Noecker, Councilmember Prince, Councilmember Jalali, Councilmember Yang and Councilmember Balenger Nay: 0

33 RES PH 23-159

Preliminary plat approval for The Heights.

Bill Dermody, City Planner, gave a staff report.

Councilmember Yang spoke in support.

Joan & Dan Huneke spoke during the public hearing.

Tom Stephanic spoke during the public hearing.

Yang moved approval.

Adopted

Yea: 7 -

Councilmember Brendmoen, Councilmember Tolbert, Councilmember Noecker, Councilmember Prince, Councilmember Jalali, Councilmember Yang and Councilmember Balenger

Nay: 0

LEGISLATIVE HEARING DISCUSSION ITEM

41 RLH RSA 23-6

Appeal of Gaius Poehler to a Rent Stabilization Determination at 476 HERSCHEL STREET, Apt. 10

Appeal denied.

Also in attendance: Gaius Poehler, appellant

Marcia Moermond: Legislative Hearing Officer: This appeal is of a determination made by the Department of Safety and Inspections (DSI) that this building does qualify for a rent increase of 3% to 8%, which was asked for through the self certification process online. That's more-or-less an automated process that involves minimal staff review. That application was received on March 21st, and I would note that last week I talked about a problem having the wrong form on the website, that they were using the previous year's form. This application was made in March, and still the wrong form was on the website. The numbers we're talking about today are once again 2019 numbers compared to 2021 numbers. We do not have the 2022 numbers in front of us. I'm using the old numbers that were asked for and used in the application. In a separate analysis when staff looked into the review, their finding was that this building would actually qualify for a 13.1% increase. This is what they would be allowed using the old numbers. The unit that you are looking at today has an increase above the 3% rent cap rent. That proposal is 3.2% for this particular unit. The rent change is from \$899 to \$928. That comes up to 3.2%. That is for a 12 month lease. Were the tenant to pursue a month to month lease, there would be an administrative charge attached that would be taking the entire total of all the fees and the rent to approximately a 6% increase. This is still below the 8% number. A question came up in the course of the hearing that if a month to month lease were pursued there could be potential for increases along the way that could exceed the 8% of those minor increases throughout the year. The answer is: No, there could not be those increases. They would have been approved for 8% for the entire year, even if there's minor adjustments along the way in that particular lease. What I will say is that this is a Class A property. There are no known code violations and the primary drivers which we know exist for the increase in rent are property taxes and the

Consumer Price Index. The Consumer Price index for this application was 6.5%. If it had been current, the application would then have been 13.95%. With the staff analysis saying they qualified for 13.1% with the old numbers, the new numbers would have shown an even higher allowed number. In the course of the hearing, the tenant articulated a handful of reasons that he believed that a rent increase was not appropriate for this particular unit. First is that he was disappointed in what he understood to be an agreement with management that there would be no rent increase from his current lease into his next. There was actually a proposed increase. He also mentioned that he believed that a recent settlement agreement between Housing Hub and the Minnesota Attorney General's office played a significant role in the request to increase the rent. We did discuss that thoroughly. A 3rd reason is that he believed there were significant code violations. Again, this is a Category A building. The code violation he mentioned most specifically has to do with the lack of heat for a 24-hour time period in which space heaters needed to be provided, and then the heat was corrected. According to his testimony, he also mentioned how fees were charged, regarding being included in rent versus charged separately. In ordinance, it's the same group of things counted together, no matter if it's billed separately or not. The staff analysis does come out the same, even if it's bill out separately. There was a question about whether or not it was allowed for those to be charged separately. And the answer is: Yes, as long as the total amount isn't changed above that 3% to 8% applied for. The role of the settlement with the Attorney General, I believe that it's a cost of doing business and that it is legitimately incorporated into the costs. In the same fashion, if I were to fill out my taxes incorrectly and be audited, I may be subject to penalties and interest for that. That's a cost. I did it wrong and it needs to be it corrected. And it is a part of the expense of operating. We are again looking at a significant differential between what would be allowed and what's being asked for if the tenant signs a 12-month lease. The 3.2% increase and the month to month lease which would have special fees to be closer to 6% are both below the 8% applied for an allowed. Had they gone through staff determination it could have been higher.

Council President Brendmoen: I believe you said that the property with proper numbers would be qualified up to 13% increase. The increase being requested is 3.2%, 0.2% above what is allowed by right. If it was a month to month, it could go to about a 6% increase, because of the administrative costs that are added.

Moermond: Changing the type of lease that's used does add that administrative fee.

Brendmoen: And you mentioned that fees are part of rent, so that would be included.

Moermond: For example, if garbage is charged out separately but used to be included as part of rent, the staff analysis would say garbage is a housing service, counting it as a part of the increase in costs.

Brendmoen: I appreciate that. It's something that we paid special attention to with the amendments to that ordinance and making sure that any additional fees are still considered cost of rent.

Gaius Poehler: I think Moermond's recommendation is wrong. Saint Paul and other city governments are no not regulating, but instead partnering with property companies whose selfish business interests compromise the fair and healthy treatment of tenants living in their buildings. Even rating organizations like the Better Business Bureau, which gave Herschel Apartments LLC an A rating, don't accurately reflect the business practices of said property companies. Throughout the May 22, 2023, appeal hearing, Moermond was on the side of the property owner. She was demonstrating that she is an extension of the property company, instead of an

independent objective regulator. For example: the property management company Housing Hub's non-adherance to Herschel Apartments own written month-to-month lease, their not providing sufficient heat, and maintenance not being performed by staff. See evidence of this in the attachments. I could use this, but I would like to give you the attachments if I could. I know you're voting on this today, but I just want to leave it for the record, if that's okay. I'll conclude by saying the City of Saint Paul's public contention Rent Stabilization Appeal Hearings provide forum for reviewing appeals of Department of Safety Inspections (DSI) determinations on applications for an exception to the City's 3% rent increase cap is an accurate statement. The rent stabilization hearings ar merely the City of Saint Paul's directive from the property companies that they partner with to present an image of tenant consideration, when, in fact, the City has been directed by said property companies and has no intention of granting an appeal to the 3% rent cap exception. I think some of the figures Moermond was mentioning are not accurate based on my information. In these records here, it will show that there was direct correspondence to me to assure me that I was not going to have my rent raised. They did raise it literally a day before I was to have the hearing. That concludes my testimony. I have additional documents here to give to somebody.

Councilmember Jalali moved to close the public hearing. Approved 7-0.

Councilmember Jalali: I was trying to keep notes on what you're saying and I have a follow-up question. You mentioned there's a number like practices and things related to the property's management that you had concerns about. I heard maintenance not performed and was just trying to keep up with because you were speaking so fast. Would you mind just playing back that part? Please describe property management issues you're experiencing. They're not governed by the same rules as the Rent Stabilization Review process, but they're part of how you're experiencing housing. I'd like to understand that better is part of our response.

Poehler: I've lived there for about 26 years. Throughout that time, there's been variances to how much attention is paid to keep the building running. When this company took over. because I don't want to live in a place that's falling apart, I spent a lot of my own time trying to make up for things that don't get done. There's still a big hole in somebody's window that they never fixed. I've taken pictures of it. It's in the record. I just felt I had to come up here and talk about it because at least it's in the record, even if it's not going to be voted on. I think that the conditions there are not abysmal, but they certainly are not great. They don't have a caretaker. Because I live there, I don't want the place to fall apart. I do things on my own, kind of a regular basis every day. I'm not paid any money for that. In fact, now they're raising my rent. The attachments show the details of that. It's continued on since they took over the company. Those are some examples. I have to kind of pull teeth to try to ask them to fix stuff. A cute one was where the heat wasn't there for 24 hours. I actually didn't feel very good that night but had to try to get through it. It was my space heater. They didn't provide a space heater. I had used my own. It's my bill, my money. If we're talking about money, I think there's something more to this than just money. It's the conditions, feelings, and safety for the tenants. Money is not everything. Maybe to a company, but it's not certainly the case for the people that are just trying feel comfortable in their living space. I'm generalizing, but that's the overall feeling. Now they want to raise more rent.

Jalali: This is a Housing Hub property?

Poehler: It's called Herschel Properties, LLC. They are also the owner of their management company called Housing Hub, who also staffs. When you put in the maintenance requests on their website to come out and fix things, the two owners of

both companies decide as to whether we're going to be charged. They determine if we're responsible for it as tenants or not. I'm very careful about everything I do because I feel like am I going to get charged. One time the drain was clogged with hair and that they charged us for that. I changed the kind of shampoo I use so I don't have a chance of that again. It's not just about a ledger and saving money. It's about the feeling of the tenants. I've spoken to other tenants who complain about things, and who've since moved out. That's something I consider doing. I like the neighborhood. It's convenient for me.

Jalali: I appreciate you answering my question. This is been helpful for me. I have spent time studying this case to understand the picture of what rules apply to this. I guess I would start by saying I think that what you're bringing up is an example of how a landlord could go through a process and make and substantiate a case about how they can qualify for an exception. What's not being captured within that, though, is how there are costs that you're taking on simply because the quality or condition of the basic pieces of the unit isn't where it should be. I want you to know that I see and understand that the rules that govern whether landlord can get an exception are about the information they present. That gets reviewed to show the reasonable return on investment standard that they have to qualify for. And it's my understanding they have qualified for more than what they could be getting, and that they're doing an incremental increase. The way that I have to make this determination is: has that information been substantiated? In this case, I see that it has. But that doesn't mean that this limit is fulfilling the obligation to you. I actually paid extra attention to this case because of Housing Hub's poor reputation in the community long before the Attorney General had even successfully won like \$63,000 from them for things like charging renters for carpet cleaning, replacing batteries, light bulb, filters. I hope you can see that. I want to more closely involve myself and my office in supporting you with some of the management issues that are going on at your site, because those things should not have to be borne by you. What I'll do is I will move the recommendation of the hearing officer, which is on tonight's appeal. But I would like to make sure that you are connected with my staff from here on out so that we can actually hold them accountable to the other rules that regulate the things that you're describing. That's making sure that they're doing what they need to do under the Department of Safety Inspections. There are rights that you have under our city laws, separate from rent stabilization, like requesting an inspection. We regularly ask for inspections for renters who reach out to us because their landlord is unresponsive or taking too long, functionally denying them a part of their housing experience because they just don't do minor repairs. I would like for you to have some additional support around that, so that your situation improves. My legislative aide Doua Yang is here. I'm going to make sure that you all have each other's connection and I'll follow-up to chat with you after this, so that we're handling all of the matters that we can. I think that in general, we need to be looking at and evaluating: How is this new appeals process working? Clearly there are going to be exceptions that are granted, but the tenants still have other issues they're experiencing or there's a another side to the story that the rules are not capturing enough to enforce the type of decisions that I would like to be making. I want you to know that from policy level, I'm looking into that. I wanted to just round out how all that fits together. What I'd like to do is, you can chat with Doua right away, and then once we get to the end of our agenda, if I'm still here and you're still here we can touch base to chat more.

Brendmoen: I appreciate the distinction between the matter in front of us and the larger matter of the services provided.

Moermond: I just wanted to, because I have to, summarize for the record, the additional information that's been provided. A lot of what's here is attached to the appeal document, which is the first attachment within the record. There is an

attachment of the correspondence that's given the decision and the back and forth on scheduling and so on. There's summary information from the city's website as to how to apply for the exception to rent cap. There are some additional photographs of violations like some garbage bags in the window and so on, which was discussed. In the not too distant future, you'll see another Housing Hub appeal.

Adopted

Yea: 7 - Councilmember Brendmoen, Councilmember Tolbert, Councilmember

Noecker, Councilmember Prince, Councilmember Jalali, Councilmember

Yang and Councilmember Balenger

Nay: 0

LEGISLATIVE HEARING CONSENT AGENDA

Items listed under the Consent Agenda will receive a combined public hearing and be enacted by one motion with no separate discussion. Items may be removed from the Consent Agenda for a separate public hearing and discussion if desired.

Approval of the Consent Agenda

Councilmember Yang moved approval.

Legislative Hearing Consent Agenda adopted as amended

Yea: 7 - Councilmember Brendmoen, Councilmember Tolbert, Councilmember Noecker, Councilmember Prince, Councilmember Jalali, Councilmember Yang and Councilmember Balenger

Nay: 0

34 RLH SAO 23-20 Appeal of Hope D. Glaser to a Summary Abatement Order at 711 BEDFORD STREET.

Adopted

35 RLH VBR 23-22 Appeal of Kurt Wescott to a Vacant Building Registration Requirement at 32

BENHILL ROAD.

Adopted

36 RLH TA 23-182 Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for property at 399 BLAIR

AVENUE. (File No. J2308E, Assessment No. 238307) (To refer to August 1,

2023 Legislative Hearing)

Referred to August 1, 2023 Legislative Hearing

37 RLH SAO 23-24 Appeal of Shore Vang and Xue Thao to a Summary Abatement Order at

1318 BUSH AVENUE.

Adopted

38 RLH SAO 23-21 Appeal of Kerry Lee to a Vehicle Abatement Order at 315 CHARLES

AVENUE.

Adopted

Meeting Minutes - Action Only	June 21, 2023
Fourth Making finding on the appealed substantial abatement ordered for 318 EDMUND AVENUE in Council File RLH RR 21-11. (Public hearing closed and laid over from April 26, 2023. Laid over again on May 22 and June 21, 2023)	7
Amended and laid over to July 12, 2023	
Appeal of David Busch, DRB #24 LLC, to a Vacant Building Registration Notice at 547 EDMUND AVENUE.	
Adopted	
Appeal of Lee Yan to a Vacant Building Registration Renewal Notice at 1 MINNEHAHA AVENUE EAST.	540
Adopted	

43 **RLH VBR 23-26**

City Council

39

40

42

RLH RR 23-18

RLH VBR 23-25

RLH VBR 23-30

Appeal of Carolyn Brown and Shai Leibovich to a Vacant Building Registration Fee Warning Letter at 713 PREBLE STREET.

Adopted

ADJOURNMENT

Meeting ended at 4:38 PM

City of Saint Paul

City Council meetings are open for in person attendance, but the public may also comment on public hearing items in writing or via voicemail. Any comments and materials submitted by 12:00 pm of the day before the meeting will be attached to the public record and available for review by the City Council. Comments may be submitted as follows:

The public may comment on public hearing items in writing or via voicemail. Any comments and materials submitted by 12:00 pm of the day before the meeting will be attached to the public record and available for review by the City Council. Comments may be submitted as follows:

Written public comment on public hearing items can be submitted to Contact-Council@ci.stpaul.mn.us, CouncilHearing@ci.stpaul.mn.us, or by voicemail at 651-266-6805. Live testimony will be taken in person in the Council Chambers, and by telephone by registering to speak by noon on the day before the meeting. The registration link is located on the City Council website at www.stpaul.gov/council or https://forms.office.com/g/TD3xN7WHy5.

Council Meeting Information

The City Council is paperless which saves the environment and reduces expenses. The agendas and Council files are all available on the Web (see below). Council members use mobile devices to review the files during the meeting. Using a mobile device greatly reduces costs since most agendas, including the documents attached to files, are over 1000 pages when printed.

Web

Meetings are available on the Council's website. Email notification and web feeds (RSS) of newly released minutes, agendas, and meetings are available by subscription. Visit www.stpaul.gov/council for meeting videos and updated copies of the agendas, minutes, and supporting documents.

Cable

Meetings are live on St Paul Channel 18 and replayed at various times. Check your local listings.