



**Neighbors for a
Livable Saint Paul**

www.livablesaintpaul.com

September 14, 2017

Subject: Submission for Public record - Highland / St. Paul citizen's group petition that opposes the current Ford Site Zoning and Public Realm Master Plan

Dear St. Paul City Council members:

Please find enclosed a Petition that has been compiled over the preceding 8 months, which opposes the current Ford Site plan offered for public comment.

A grass-roots neighborhood group called *Neighbors for a Livable Saint Paul* and **1,894** community petitioners firmly believe that the current draft plan approved by the Saint Paul Planning Commission on July, 28 2017, has many flaws and oversights that will create long term negative impacts on the broader existing community.

We are a group of neighbors who love Highland and are advocating for a Ford site development that is a rational, balanced, safe and harmonious extension of our village. We oppose the City of Saint Paul's zoning plan allowing up to 4,000 housing units, a 30% increase in Highland's population. We urge Council members to skim read the hundreds of citizen comments in this petition – these are the real viewpoints of the Council's collective constituency.

Some specific points of concern:

- Excessive, unnecessary density – overcrowding drives negative ramifications, property value harm
- Excessive building heights – planned construction will be out of scale with the Highland area
- Unacceptable traffic volumes - flawed traffic studies had extremely narrow scope & confirmation bias
- Only 9% mandated parkland – currently no plan for recreational space (e.g. location for baseball fields)
- Intensified pollution – excessive solid waste, runoff, noise and light pollution, and long-term liabilities
- The City anticipates “need” for TIF/public subsidies to help defray excessive site infrastructure costs
- Community ignored - The City attempted to “listen” but has not “heard” or reacted to our criticisms or suggestions. The predetermined plan has not substantively changed since submitted November 2016.

We submit this petition as a clear indication that there is widespread opposition to the current draft plan for Public response. The draft plan needs substantial amendments before it would be acceptable to the mainstream community. We strongly urge you to delay the September 27 Council vote until after these community issues can be addressed and amendments thoughtfully developed and proposed.

Respectfully submitted,

Bruce & Jean Hoppe (531 Mt Curve, St. Paul), representing *Neighbors for a Livable Saint Paul*

Fight High-density Development Plans for Ford Site (as of 09/14/17)

We, the citizens and property owners of St. Paul petition the St. Paul Planning & Economic Commission and City Council to zone the Ford development to a low-density standard. (Nothing over four stories tall and at least 25% real green space, not including runoff ponds/ditches) The residents of Highland Park and surrounding communities are not willing to absorb the increased traffic and loss of property values, community, safety, livability and neighborhood integrity due to excessive buildout on the 135 acre site. We oppose the current plan presented by the City. (Total count 1,894)

#	Name	Email	Home Addrs.	Date	Comments
1	Bruce Hoppe	Addresses redacted for confidentiality		1/27/2017 21:50	Even though this development site will be built out over the next 5-10+ years, the City zoning decisions happen this summer and fall - important for the community to voice now
2	Jean Hoppe			1/29/2017 0:12	
3	Laurie Walsh			1/29/2017 0:29	
4	Winston Kaehler			1/29/2017 0:34	
5	Tim Brennan			1/29/2017 0:49	How will the current development plan benefit the local Highland community? I have asked this question countless times, but have yet to hear anything but "you fear change" and the "21st Century City" BS. The Village is at risk!
6	Kim Jakway			1/29/2017 2:17	
7	Darcy Timmes			1/29/2017 2:55	
8	Matt Pietrzak			1/29/2017 3:39	Keep high density in downtown areas.
9	Charles Merck			1/29/2017 3:50	
10	Sarah brunner			1/29/2017 4:09	More green spaces please!
11	Dan Schriver			1/29/2017 4:43	I support keeping the highland area population density similar to what it is now.
12	Brooke Nemo			1/29/2017 5:40	I'm not against development, but in my opinion, this would bring in a disproportionate amount of people and not enough services. People live here to be in a village, not a suburb.
13	Dede Leininger			1/29/2017 6:20	
14	kate rios			1/29/2017 12:48	
15	Lauren Thavis			1/29/2017 13:04	
16	Lauren Voigt			1/29/2017 13:24	
17	Mara Schriver			1/29/2017 13:44	
18	James Voigt			1/29/2017 13:53	
19	Emilie Love			1/29/2017 13:56	
20	Michelle Traversie			1/29/2017 13:59	
21	Michelle Blaesser			1/29/2017 14:44	I'm against high density building at the Ford plant site--please reconsider!
22	Matt Meed			1/29/2017 15:28	
23	Meredith Anderson			1/29/2017 15:36	
24	Isla Hejny			1/29/2017 17:16	

25 Dianne Brier 1/29/2017 17:20 Lived in Highland all my life, and in this house since 1968. The McMansions being built here in Highland are ruining the landscape, the "village" that was erected on The Manor site has totally commercialized that area, so a 10-story structure on the Ford site will block our beautiful river front area and take away more of our green space, not to mention more congestion "bussyness" in the village! If it must be, 4-stories is high enough.....and while I'm at it, leave the little league fields alone..! My opinion is voiced, thank you.

26 Tamma Cashman 1/29/2017 17:53 Thanks for organizing this!

27 Cashman Terrence 1/29/2017 18:58

28 Thomas Walsh 1/29/2017 21:12

29 Heidi Gunderson 1/29/2017 21:26

30 Char Mason 1/30/2017 0:33 The plan developed by the city is much too high density. I would support lower buildings and less density.

31 Maureen Martin 1/30/2017 1:10

32 Renee Beckman-Schwind 1/30/2017 1:19

33 Deidre Greene 1/30/2017 2:14

34 Susan Crosby 1/30/2017 2:16

35 Renate Amann 1/30/2017 2:21

36 Janine McQuillan 1/30/2017 2:36

37 Rebecca Kanter 1/30/2017 3:31

38 Laura Machtemes 1/30/2017 3:59

39 Petra Brocken 1/30/2017 4:02 Please listen to the residents of this lovely area.

40 Jeffrey Lakatos 1/30/2017 5:08 Let's keep Highland Park a real connected community of families. No need for high rise buildings & densely populated apartments.

41 Christine Walsh 1/30/2017 5:30 Please maximize our green space and limit the height of the buildings.

42 Paul Mason 1/30/2017 13:45 We would prefer to see less dense multi-family housing mixed with more single family housing...all living could be above ground with parking underneath if concern exists around polluted soil and basements

43 Susan neuville 1/30/2017 13:48

44 Kim Thompson 1/30/2017 14:59

45 ann Billedeau 1/30/2017 15:26

46 William Kenney 1/30/2017 15:27

47 Ira Adelman 1/30/2017 15:35

48 Tanya Adelman 1/30/2017 15:51

49 Kathleen Cora 1/30/2017 16:27 Please don't ruin our neighborhood with high density.

50 Kate Elhardt 1/30/2017 16:32

51 Sonja Harlane 1/30/2017 16:32

52 Ryan Smith 1/30/2017 16:40

53 Karen Woodward 1/30/2017 17:09 Lower density and shorter buildings means safety and respects the integrity of the previous irreplaceable riverfront!

54 Jean becker-thierer 1/30/2017 17:18

55 Katy Levin 1/30/2017 17:18

56 Kristin Sullivan 1/30/2017 17:34 Please don't ruin our neighborhood with high density. If 10,000 new residents move to HP, we will move in a heartbeat.

57 Thomas Trutna 1/30/2017 17:45

58 Bobbette Axelrod 1/30/2017 17:49

59 Jeanna Boland 1/30/2017 18:01

60 sandra Erickson 1/30/2017 18:02

61 Suzie Olson 1/30/2017 18:11

62 Candee Clausen 1/30/2017 18:15

63 Rebecca Neamy 1/30/2017 19:32

64 Carl Jaeschke 1/30/2017 19:43

65 Susan Johnson 1/30/2017 19:45 Please build 4 story units and keep 25% green space.

66 Verrn Atwater 1/30/2017 19:51

67 Jason 1/30/2017 19:52

68 Rachel csintyan 1/30/2017 20:00

69 Angie 1/30/2017 20:13

70 A Platt 1/30/2017 20:18

71 Camille McCann 1/30/2017 20:20

72 Howard J Miller 1/30/2017 20:28 No explanations have been offered for the 4000 unit requirement other than fiscal expedience with no examples. The vehicle and human demands this development will put on what is now a pleasant, livable community will turn Highland Village into an urban disaster.

73 Diane Johnston 1/30/2017 20:29

74 Harold Turnquist 1/30/2017 20:35

75 Jim Brewer 1/30/2017 20:49

76 Dan Bannister 1/30/2017 20:53

77 Jennie Simning 1/30/2017 20:56

78 Tammy berg 1/30/2017 21:01

79 Steve Bejarano 1/30/2017 21:26

80 Julia Shepherd 1/30/2017 21:29

81 Carla Marchio 1/30/2017 21:31

82 Phillip Rampf 1/30/2017 21:48

83 Ryan Henderson 1/30/2017 21:56

84 monnie 1/30/2017 21:56 The residents of Highland Park and surrounding communities are not willing to absorb the increased traffic and loss of property values, community, safety, livability and neighborhood integrity due to excessive buildout on the 135 acre site.

85 Jeff Stanko 1/30/2017 21:58

86 Gwen Phillips 1/30/2017 22:11

87 Erin Obert 1/30/2017 22:19

88 Denise Ward 1/30/2017 22:29

89 Karen Ihnsk 1/30/2017 22:48

90 Kyle Robertson 1/30/2017 22:49 The area already has high traffic congestion, this would ruin the neighborhood feel and create more traffic congestion. Even 4 stories seems a bit much, but it's better than 101

91 Deb Newel 1/30/2017 22:51

92 Liz Bejarano 1/31/2017 0:55

93 Jim McQuillan 1/31/2017 0:58

94 Jeanne Sundt 1/31/2017 1:08

95 Sharon Hubler 1/31/2017 1:26

96 John Sundt 1/31/2017 1:34

Please, please reconsider this plan. These high rise buildings will ruin the small town feel we all enjoy. We have so little green space already. It seems like a missed opportunity to develop and hold on to park space that would otherwise be gone forever.

97 Jeff Klingner
1/31/2017 1:48 | and many other neighbors want to keep Highland Village just what the name implies, a village. The construction of multi-unit housing we feel will destroy this lovely neighborhood and take its charm away. I personally will not want to live in my current residence if Cretin Ave., Cleveland Ave., and the River Road become freeways to Interstate 94. There is insufficient infrastructure in the adjoining neighborhoods to support this type of increase in housing. Please keep our neighborhood the same one we fell in love with and decided to move our families into.

98 Dale Johnson
1/31/2017 1:50 We pay outrageous taxes in Highland and now you want us to shoulder high density & unacceptable traffic. The people charged with this project are blowing smoke with their projections of a beautiful walkable complex.

99 Kevin Leehan
1/31/2017 1:51 This is not New York, or Calcutta, and we do need a 30% increase in population density in the neighborhood.

100 Katherine Robertson
1/31/2017 1:56

101 Susan Lorenz
1/31/2017 2:01

102 Steve English
1/31/2017 2:10 Thanks for taking the lead on this.

103 Kate Hunt
1/31/2017 2:17

104 Betsy Kelly
1/31/2017 2:18

105 Lynn Hodulik
1/31/2017 2:42

106 Addy Murtaugh
1/31/2017 3:10

107 Mary hensele
1/31/2017 4:25

108 linda gammell
1/31/2017 4:34 High density will affect our relationship with access to the river, its trails, and walkways, birds, animals, cars. The balance will be disturbed by too many people living in high concentration.

109 Alaina Kelley
1/31/2017 4:45

110 Riva Rains
1/31/2017 5:06

111 Kathy Passe
1/31/2017 12:36

112 Jim hamel
1/31/2017 13:34

113 Ann Stark
1/31/2017 14:14 | I have lived in Highland Park for the majority of my life. I would hate to see what adding over 8,000 residents would do to our neighborhood.

114 Doug ries
1/31/2017 14:25

115 Nicole Hamel
1/31/2017 14:35

116 Carlos R Cruz
1/31/2017 15:06

117 Mike McQuillan
1/31/2017 16:31

118 Terry Hamm
1/31/2017 16:56 This is a prime area for development given midway between the central cities, nearby natural beauty and commercial businesses. Hard to believe developing it requires 10 story buildings that would ruin the neighborhood's charm, I could live with 6 stories as a compromise.

119 Clifton Kooperman
1/31/2017 17:01

120 Tara Swenson Wroblewski
1/31/2017 17:53

121 Jon Brandon Penhale
1/31/2017 18:27 This is motivated by greed and built by people who won't set foot here after the project is done.

122 Gretchen
1/31/2017 18:38

123 Chase Hippen
1/31/2017 22:11

124 Benjamin Babcock
1/31/2017 23:29

125 Susan Russell
2/1/2017 0:32

126 Joel Krech
2/1/2017 1:16

127 Mary Dunn
2/1/2017 2:09

128 Bill Busch 2/1/2017 11:06

129 Gibson Batch 2/1/2017 13:24 Cut height in half, more parks. More is less.

130 John Robertson 2/1/2017 13:41

131 kris ohnsorg 2/1/2017 17:09

132 Brian Holmes 2/1/2017 18:39

133 Mary Kay Nickelson 2/1/2017 19:31 This is so Important - please listen to those of us living in the area.

134 Michael Dunn 2/1/2017 19:36

135 Joe dotson 2/1/2017 20:57

136 James Winteler 2/1/2017 21:30 Having watched in recent years the kind of development we've seen along the river corridor, from downtown St. Paul to Highland, I predicted a long time ago that we'd have large apartment buildings on the Ford site. I attended all the neighborhood meetings about the site, and for a while had hopes that the site would be more like the rest of our neighborhood. Sadly, I was wrong. This kind of density is just too much, and a disappointment.

137 Karen Brown 2/1/2017 23:11

138 Mark Bergeron 2/2/2017 3:04

139 Kimberly 2/2/2017 3:06

140 Molly O 2/2/2017 3:23 Please do not build high-density housing in Highland!

141 William Busch 2/2/2017 12:12

142 Paul Knapp 2/3/2017 0:57

143 Tom Audette 2/3/2017 1:39

144 Jean Olson 2/3/2017 3:13

145 Allison Mildden 2/3/2017 3:23 Our neighborhood would be greatly improved with more ample space in the former Ford site.

146 Cathy clyde 2/3/2017 3:33

147 Gina Cincinnati 2/3/2017 4:03

148 Bob Graf 2/3/2017 4:23

149 Cathy Ringer 2/3/2017 4:46 Let's keep the Highland Macalester Groveland area in a lower density standard. Crime and violence has been on an increase in the area and a large increase in population is not going to positively impact the neighborhood.

150 Kenton Johnson 2/3/2017 11:24 More community park land with less dense housing.

151 Audrey Williams 2/3/2017 12:34

152 Sue MacMillan 2/3/2017 12:55 The traffic is already impossible on Ford Parkway, and at the intersection with Cleveland. There is no way the neighborhood can absorb so much additional traffic. It's been enough when roads are clear and dry, but in bad weather or during road construction, it would be impossible to get around.

153 Karen McCann 2/3/2017 15:24

154 Nora Fitzpatrick 2/3/2017 15:29

155 KT 2/3/2017 16:23

156 Janet Ilerandi 2/3/2017 16:50

157 Sue Michel 2/3/2017 17:01

158 Ida Ellis 2/3/2017 18:42 We want the Ford development kept at a low density standard.

159 Tim and Judy Giuliani 2/3/2017 23:12 We are against the planned density for the Ford property redevelopment and all of the attendant traffic congestion that will come with that many of new residents expected. Tim & Judy Giuliani

160 Bill Troxel 2/4/2017 0:39

161 Julie Talens Paske 2/4/2017 1:36

162 deb mulcahy 2/4/2017 17:06 We'd like many one family houses to be built in Ford location, rather than only multiple family dwellings.

163 Jacquelyn Thorson 2/4/2017 17:07

164 jim mulcahy 2/4/2017 17:07

165 Sarah Kamrout 2/4/2017 17:39 Please cap the bldg height and add additional green space! AND save the Ford baseball fields!!!!!! Our roads can't handle the influx of that many people and the congestion to that area.

166 S Larson 2/4/2017 18:31

167 Stephanie Ybarra-benitez 2/4/2017 22:28

168 James C Megass 2/5/2017 4:28

169 Cathy Gagliardi 2/5/2017 14:28 10 stories high would be a disgrace to our Highland Park, and our roads are already too congested.

170 Tim Sabin 2/5/2017 15:48

171 Sue McDonough 2/5/2017 17:08

172 Roy Manuel Lerandi 2/5/2017 17:22

173 michelle Lenhardt 2/5/2017 19:36

174 Emily Folstad 2/5/2017 21:21

175 Courtney Nagle 2/5/2017 23:03 We need more green space please!

176 John FW Thuerle 2/6/2017 16:34

177 Sara Hornstad 2/6/2017 17:41

178 Margaret Galvin 2/6/2017 18:32 Please pay attention to this petition, we are the people who live here and will most endure the problems associated with the current over-development planned.

179 Laurel Zaeptel 2/6/2017 20:40

180 Ellen Stephens 2/7/2017 1:38

181 Natalie Lepkowski 2/7/2017 3:06

182 Nora Beckford 2/7/2017 15:15 I have lived on Ford Pkwy since 1991. This new development will make our traffic problems more unbearable that they already are, not to mention the impact on our environment. Let's keep some open green space for our Birds and wildlife!

183 F F Peters 2/7/2017 17:58 Keep it green and clean!!! Plan for the next 100 years and beyond. We will be living communally by then.

184 Jill Treacy 2/7/2017 23:02

185 Andrew Gofifs 2/8/2017 0:56 35 years resident at 570 Mt Curve Blvd

186 Allan 2/8/2017 1:08

187 Michael Gayle 2/8/2017 1:54

188 Denise Spreng 2/8/2017 1:55

189 Mary Olander 2/8/2017 3:40

190 Donna Kufus 2/8/2017 4:01

191 Molly hottinger 2/8/2017 4:56 This will destroy our community forcing many families to leave highland park

192 Mary WalkerBuchanan 2/8/2017 14:24

193 Jack Fister 2/8/2017 18:04 I urge adoption of a low-density standard for development that reflects and embraces the "neighborhood" lifestyle of this area of Highland Park.

194 Gary Martland 2/8/2017 22:34 Great idea. Another is to swap the HGC for the land and develop Ford into GC and HGC into residential

195 Kathy Monahan-Rial 2/8/2017 22:40

196 Jutta R Crowder 2/9/2017 17:49

197 angle barker 2/10/2017 17:12

198 Michael Selon 2/14/2017 12:51

199 melinda Bonk 2/14/2017 13:21

200 Jenny Winkelman 2/14/2017 14:09 Why are developers and planners not REALLY listening to the residents - who both live here and pay taxes?

201 Katie thomas 2/14/2017 14:09

202 Dan Gilenke 2/14/2017 14:23

203 Liz Delay 2/14/2017 15:51

- 204 Sandy Crippen 2/14/2017 15:55 Have lived in Highland VILLAGE for over 40 years - d'jid ,eve tp see to remain a village - even now it is less than a village than it was - would hate to lose even more of that feel. My dream is some cottage style homes that would fit with the village style and definitely green space
- 205 Sharon Mueller 2/14/2017 17:06
- 206 Matthews 2/14/2017 17:30
- 207 roger 2/14/2017 21:51
- 208 Jill Warren 2/14/2017 22:03
- 209 woody Ilevers 2/15/2017 0:52
- 210 Karen kodzik 2/15/2017 1:49
- 211 Catherine Sellin 2/15/2017 17:10 I am concerned about the increase in population and 10 story buildings at the Ford Site. I think it will reduce the beauty and neighborhood feeling of the Highland area. The traffic congestion will be terrible.
- 212 Greg Nayman 2/15/2017 18:10 Ford took this area away from us and the river. We have an opportunity to create something special in its place. This should look like a park when we finish development. Let's consider what it will look like from other vantage points.
- 213 Kate Siebert 2/15/2017 20:34
- 214 Jeff Siebert 2/15/2017 20:39
- 215 Christine Nayman 2/15/2017 22:04 I am ADAMANTLY opposed to anything taller than 5 stories at the former Ford plant site -- it will be incongruous to the neighborhood and an eyesore. This is NOT a good proposed option for the site
- 216 Inna Braglnsky 2/16/2017 1:48
- 217 Pat Kelly 2/16/2017 2:26 Low density!!!
- 218 Gail 2/16/2017 8:33
- 219 Jennifer Krzmarzick 2/16/2017 11:50
- 220 Sarah Doleis 2/16/2017 13:03 I say no to high density housing on the former Ford Property.
- 221 Elaine Stellick White 2/16/2017 14:09
- 222 Shannon Dahl 2/16/2017 14:35
- 223 Margaret Johnson 2/16/2017 21:39 The appeal of highland village is The small town feel. I'm afraid that is going to be destroyed.
- 224 Carol Larson 2/17/2017 1:54
- 225 Renate Sharp 2/17/2017 4:19
- 226 Patsy Piazza 2/17/2017 4:29 Keep things no higher than 3 stories and focus on ample green space.
- 227 Ben Green 2/17/2017 13:07
- 228 Laurie Schaaf 2/17/2017 14:13
- 229 Cindy Syme 2/17/2017 16:55
- 230 Aaron Paleen 2/17/2017 19:32
- 231 Robert Warner 2/17/2017 22:39
- 232 Katie Tuma 2/17/2017 23:18 Really look at all needs... scrutinize why high density areas would benefit Highland in the long run.
- 233 Laura Rubin 2/18/2017 0:57
- 234 Marisa Geisler 2/18/2017 6:10
- 235 Ari Finch-Koinuma 2/18/2017 10:22
- 236 Scott Norquist 2/18/2017 13:57
- 237 Amy coughlan 2/18/2017 18:54
- 238 Carole Faricy 2/18/2017 19:05 Thanks for all your work on this project.
- 239 Margaret Kilpatrick 2/19/2017 0:36
- 240 Susan McMahon 2/19/2017 4:32 Too densel Local traffic effects & congestion. Get real on traffic. Rethink. More exits & entrances! Effects on adjoining homes & streets. More green space!!
- 241 Matthew Dunn 2/20/2017 10:41

- 242 Whitney Murphy 2/20/2017 15:07
- 243 Paul Murphy 2/20/2017 15:18
- 244 Christine Bergeron 2/20/2017 17:46
- 245 Wendi Chen 2/20/2017 19:57
- 246 Hella Lange 2/20/2017 23:15
- 247 Sarah Kusa 2/21/2017 0:32
- As property owners living off Cretin which will serve as a major conduit from I-94 to the Ford Plant area this is a real concern
We'd like to keep the population density down.
- 248 Beverly Hoppe 2/21/2017 1:28
- 249 William Hart 2/21/2017 2:11
- 250 Jim Nessa 2/21/2017 4:40
- 251 Birgit John 2/21/2017 4:59
- 252 Jimm Crowder 2/22/2017 19:27
- The proposed changes are outrageous. How can the City that I love and trust so much jeopardize safety, reduction of home values, increased traffic on residential streets? This is a sad statement.
- 253 Michele McQuillan 2/23/2017 1:17
- 254 Deborah Jopp 2/24/2017 16:44
- 255 Beth Friend 2/24/2017 16:46
- 256 Daniel Matschina 2/24/2017 17:27
- 257 Andrea K Snow 2/24/2017 19:32
- 258 Charlie Snow 2/24/2017 20:48
- 259 Nancy A Schneider 2/24/2017 20:51
- 260 Laura Zelle 2/24/2017 21:55
- As a Highland resident for 33 years, I support this petition.
- 261 Saed Ikkash 2/24/2017 23:18
- I am opposed to the maximum density plan proposed and would like to see a revised lower density plan for the Ford site.
- 262 softfid ladstein 2/25/2017 4:02
- 263 Beverly Moore 2/25/2017 12:07
- Keep Highland Park great so that we don't have to add "again" in the future.
- 264 Lou Glad 2/25/2017 17:21
- 265 Judy Vermeland-Wendt 2/25/2017 20:56
- 266 Kurt Klussendorf 2/25/2017 21:11
- 10 stories is too high. Could go 6. Believe 10 is excessive density. Need to preserve the viewshed. Thanks!
- 267 Rachel Glad 2/25/2017 21:54
- 268 Wendy mullaney 2/26/2017 20:27
- 269 Mark Gerlach 2/28/2017 18:28
- 270 Brigid Chase Curtiss 3/2/2017 1:19
- 271 John Curtiss 3/2/2017 1:22
- 272 Walker Grant 3/2/2017 16:25
- 273 Michelle Doyle 3/2/2017 17:30
- 274 Diane Mitchellfelder 3/2/2017 22:37
- 275 Colleen Traxler 3/3/2017 1:48
- 276 Andrew Traxler 3/3/2017 3:21
- 277 Tom Traxler 3/3/2017 14:41
- We don't need light rail either.

278 rajah moore 3/3/2017 15:33

279 Claire Taylor-Sherman 3/4/2017 16:08

280 Ruth Butros 3/4/2017 16:21

281 Ginni Hughes 3/4/2017 22:31 This is just too much! The area around it will decrease in value and clog streets that can't support this volume of traffic.

282 Karen A Osen 3/4/2017 22:45 With all the great potential the Ford site has to offer, I can't believe this is the best plan that could be visualized. Go back to the drawing board, please!!!

283 Michelle Berg 3/5/2017 16:27 Please take the time needed to carefully consider the perils of density over-reach for this planned community. The very essence of St. Paul is that it is a residential city whose larger community has deep roots and a lot of personal (generational) loyalty to the area. Build a community that cultivates the idea that people are not anonymous hordes living cheek to jowl. Make it feel like the rest of the city: a big small town.

284 Laurie Kolkes 3/5/2017 17:26

285 Raymond Berg 3/5/2017 17:39 I view this as another example of the aggressive overreach of the C. Coleman administration, alongside his many developer-friendly projects such as the Palace Theater, the coming MLS soccer stadium and his desire to rezone the major cross streets up and down Snelling Ave. to allow commercial and residential structures not in keeping with the neighborhood's traditional housing and apartment stock.

286 Svetlana Kartak 3/5/2017 21:57

287 Nalini Dube 3/6/2017 0:52

288 Wendy Merrell 3/6/2017 3:24 Hill I do not agree with anything over 4 stories being built on the Ford Plant site. And we have worked hard to keep our area nice. You should be planning on at least 25% green space if not more. We can not support in area and money the plan that is being supported.

289 Michael Griffin 3/6/2017 14:35

290 John Mills 3/6/2017 15:22 To think that adding 4,000 new living units at the Ford Motor site is going to have little impact on traffic flow up and down the already busy Cretin Avenue is absurd and planning needs to widen its scope.

291 Ali Sousa 3/7/2017 5:09

292 Lynn 3/7/2017 12:31 I am against this plan.

293 Nancy A Nelson 3/8/2017 2:31

294 Russ Nelson 3/8/2017 2:32

295 Katie 3/8/2017 15:50

296 Patricia Ward 3/8/2017 23:47 I'm opposed to adding another light rail for this area (e.g. on 46th St.). This community has enough traffic, air quality and noise with it's current density (Blue line, highway 55 traffic, and airport).

297 Kirsi Halonen 3/9/2017 0:03

298 Chris Susag 3/9/2017 0:05

299 Hannah Foldes 3/9/2017 2:56

300 Steven Foster 3/9/2017 3:12

301 Ellen Stekert 3/9/2017 4:43

302 Beth Upton 3/9/2017 12:19

303 Danny S 3/9/2017 14:28

304 Dolores Stoesz 3/9/2017 15:41

305 Heidi Schaeffer 3/9/2017 16:12 We need to make the site user friendly and protect the present housing in the village and not destroy our valuable image.

306 Patricia Kelly 3/9/2017 16:59 We do not need high-rises. We need single family homes, duplexes, 2-story high apartments and MUCH more green space. 4,000 max more people.

307 Christopher Jensen 3/9/2017 18:54 Stop the radical change of the landscape from residential to massive condos

308 Ailsa Barnes 3/9/2017 19:24 Is this really necessary? Keep saint Paul quaint and livable. Keep its charm, that's why people want to live here!

- 309 Jason Kellor
3/9/2017 19:25
- 310 Nancy Howland
3/9/2017 19:53
- 311 Ann Goering
3/9/2017 19:57
The proposal made by the city is entirely unrealistic. It fails to have sufficient parking and too many people for the adjoining streets to support. It is based upon an unrealistic, utopian view of how people should use vehicles rather than the reality that this is Minnesota where people with kids, older people, and just most people in general are not going to bike in the winter, or carry their groceries several blocks with little kids in tow from public transportation. People need cars to get their kids to soccer practice, and a place to park those cars. They need cars to get to the doctor and take the dog to the vet. The failure to recognize these facts will turn our neighborhood into a backlogged traffic nightmare.
- 312 Jennifer Fleming
3/9/2017 20:04
- 313 Tara H
3/9/2017 20:28
- 314 Cathy Iffert
3/9/2017 20:51
- 315 Matthew Bjelland
3/9/2017 21:01
- 316 Kate Diamond
3/9/2017 21:02
- 317 Susan Bullard
3/9/2017 21:03
I encourage the planners to reduce the density of the Ford Plant project, including reducing the height of the buildings and increasing the green space.
- 318 Joan Hummel
3/9/2017 21:27
- 319 Sheryl Sioane
3/9/2017 21:56
Don't need more people and cars in area.
- 320 Etta Lambright
3/9/2017 22:03
- 321 Graham Gilson
3/9/2017 22:28
- 322 Owen Ekman
3/9/2017 22:48
lower density, more green space and no rail of any kind is what I'm hearing from everybody
- 323 Lucie Ochs
3/9/2017 22:49
- 324 J Cohen Press
3/9/2017 23:58
The former Ford site is not designed for high-density housing. High-density housing (or high-rise commercial buildings) will destroy the livability of Highland Park.
- 325 Sondra and Dwayne Glasenapp
3/10/2017 0:26
- 326 Barbara Bjelland
3/10/2017 3:29
This is very inappropriate for the Highland neighborhood, to say nothing about the increased traffic because of the excessive density. Edina Realty can build in suburban office park if that is what they want!
- 327 John Crosby
3/10/2017 3:45
- 328 Julie O'Brien
3/10/2017 3:49
- 329 Emily Danberry
3/10/2017 6:27
- 330 Kathleen Danning
3/10/2017 7:53
I personally think the entire site should be allowed to revert to a wild park, such as Crosby park with trails through it. The sad fact is that once a site has been built upon, it never reverts to a natural space for wildlife. And it would soak up a lot more rain drain off than all the piddling rain gardens! Let's go wild!
- 331 Judy Brink
3/10/2017 13:04
- 332 John Eisner
3/10/2017 13:27
I am for development on this site. I believe, as many others do, that the proposed density is too high. Please make sure the buildings are made from brick and stone, not that sham stucco, or concrete panels painted in colors.
- 333 Marsha Bell
3/10/2017 18:51
- 334 marilo wunderlich
3/10/2017 20:48
- 335 jerry farrell
3/10/2017 22:39
- 336 Judith Scoville
3/11/2017 0:34
- 337 Marta Ljungkull
3/11/2017 20:00
- 338 Gisela Peters
3/13/2017 21:01
The Ford plant site offers a unique opportunity for St. Paul to create a livable community with abundant green space - from which the entire city will benefit.
- 339 Nick Shannon
3/14/2017 14:05
- 340 Padraic S McGuire
3/14/2017 21:48

341 Brian Stevens 3/17/2017 14:00

342 Andy Pilney 3/18/2017 17:50 I support the preservation of St. Paul.

343 Betsy Judkins 3/18/2017 18:07 I wish the Ford site was JUST trees and trails, a quiet place. Mr. Tolbert only cares about tax money.

344 Troy Alexander 3/18/2017 20:18

345 Jennie Weber 3/18/2017 21:18

346 Paula Webster 3/19/2017 3:19

347 Ed Michels 3/19/2017 4:15

348 Steve Dulmond 3/19/2017 14:44 We don't want a mini downtown St. Paul.

349 John Faison 3/19/2017 15:51

350 Barb Thukral 3/19/2017 18:21

351 Jeff Wright 3/19/2017 22:19

352 Kevin Cunningham 3/20/2017 3:00

353 Amy Fink 3/20/2017 5:15

354 Theresa griep 3/21/2017 14:31

355 Laura Senger 3/22/2017 12:44

356 Nancy Huspek 3/24/2017 22:07

357 Michael Pickett 3/27/2017 2:51

358 Brian N Holmes 3/29/2017 23:48

359 Alissa Lawler 4/4/2017 13:44

360 David Bird 4/4/2017 23:38 This plan is terrible. The council members that support it should be exposed as the frauds that they are. People are taking bribes to push this through. This is criminal.

361 Jan Whitman 4/5/2017 0:50

362 Peggy Dunham 4/8/2017 1:57

363 Joanne Routzahn 4/11/2017 23:46

364 Kathy Stack 4/19/2017 23:16

365 Linda Moeller 4/20/2017 2:05

366 Mark Seuntjens 4/20/2017 13:39

367 Emily Saunders 4/25/2017 22:21 I feel very strongly about this.

368 Patricia Golfs 4/26/2017 14:46 This plan will adversely affect Highland Village as planned. Let's make this a positive for the village by creating more green space and less housing and traffic.

369 Melissa Mack 4/29/2017 20:38

370 Charles Beckford 4/29/2017 20:45 isn't Highland, and st. paul, dense enough? Obviously, something will go where ford plant is, but it has to fit our infrastructure.

371 Suzanne Quinn-McDonald 4/29/2017 22:20 I'm excited about the Ford site development, but would like to see the lowest density plan possible. I am concerned about the health of our waterways.

372 Caitlin Weixel 4/29/2017 23:03

373 Leigh Homstad 4/30/2017 0:20

374 Gall Gislason 4/30/2017 0:44

375 Burcu Yordem 4/30/2017 3:16

376 Joseph Rush 4/30/2017 13:03 Please do not increase traffic on the surrounding streets.

377 Wendy Harter 4/30/2017 18:45

378 Tara Wroblewski 4/30/2017 18:46

- 379 Craig Farmer 4/30/2017 19:17 The character of this entire area is at risk of losing the charm & neighborhood feel which drew most of us to buy homes here. The 4 story building on Cleveland Ave. South is a good example of bad design. A 6-story building at Snelling & St. Clair was recently rejected. I don't oppose development of the Ford site, it just needs to be a coherent addition to the existing neighborhood
- 380 Dr William Brendel 4/30/2017 23:03
- 381 Kelly deRosier 5/1/2017 0:27
- 382 Agnes Mulvihill 5/1/2017 1:07
- 383 Joe Macdonald 5/1/2017 1:43
- 384 Martha 5/1/2017 3:43
- 385 Renee Geneaux 5/1/2017 9:42
- 386 Anne Lynch 5/1/2017 10:52
- 387 Jaqueline Huber 5/1/2017 11:54 NO builds over 4-stories
- 388 Lee Erickson 5/1/2017 20:50
- 389 Craig Davidson 5/1/2017 21:20
- 390 Vic Desotelle 5/2/2017 13:45 Design for human value first.
- 391 Yvonne Leick 5/3/2017 14:38 Keep our community to the size it can manage.
- 392 Jennifer Stewart 5/3/2017 16:50 As a Highland Park Resident I am concerned about the high density growth proposals on the table. I get that there is pressure to maximize the tax base as much as possible, but I do not believe it is worth compromising the quality of life that makes the area a desirable place to live.
- 393 Valerie Green 5/3/2017 17:13
- 394 Jeffrey Marks 5/3/2017 17:34 High density development is crazy. It would cause too many problems such as traffic mayhem and it will destroy the neighborhood's character
- 395 Tess Kavanagh 5/4/2017 2:22
- 396 Carson Vaillancourt 5/4/2017 2:29
- 397 Christine Page 5/4/2017 4:00
- 398 Patricia Mathews 5/4/2017 4:46
- 399 Joseph Grossbaum 5/4/2017 7:11
- 400 Celine Vachon 5/4/2017 12:56
- 401 Kathryn McGuire 5/4/2017 14:47
- 402 Katie Grohs 5/4/2017 15:23 We are a residential area and we should stay that way.
- 403 Deb Dorfheid 5/4/2017 16:25 More green space! Less big monstrosities!
- 404 Ben Thomas 5/4/2017 17:05
- 405 Thomas McNamara 5/4/2017 19:38
- 406 Tisha Burke 5/4/2017 20:24
- 407 Michael McGuire 5/4/2017 20:31 Stop the madness
- 408 John Dery 5/4/2017 20:38
- 409 Joe Rubbelke 5/4/2017 23:42
- 410 Liz White 5/5/2017 0:45 As a first-time home buyer, I am REFUSING to look at homes in STP that are anywhere close to Highland BECAUSE of this proposal. This will absolutely destroy the neighborhood.
- 411 Elizabeth brady 5/5/2017 1:23
- 412 Robert B Winsor 5/5/2017 3:22
- 413 Thomas cerrito 5/5/2017 3:43 Really? Let's make Highland into uptown? No thanks
- 414 Steve Busse 5/5/2017 3:49

415 Tom Goldstein 5/5/2017 6:48 We need a moratorium on this project until we get consensus among the many constituencies affected by the draft plan and eliminate the substantial tax-increment financing planned for the site.

416 Fred Johnson 5/5/2017 19:15 I will sign this, but the City is not listening -- We will use the power of our vote to drain the St. Paul swamp

417 Beth Hoppe-Stidham 5/5/2017 22:27

418 VINCENT SCHIK 5/7/2017 20:20

419 Peter Schik 5/7/2017 20:22

420 Brian Lindell 5/8/2017 21:51

421 Tom Kreuzer 5/8/2017 21:52

422 E Peterson 5/8/2017 22:49

423 Dave Vernon 5/8/2017 23:57

424 Trevor Gunderson 5/9/2017 0:38

425 Amy Weier 5/9/2017 0:51

426 Matthew Rehnartz 5/9/2017 2:15

427 Heather Gugemos 5/9/2017 11:12

428 Ben Milngo 5/9/2017 11:35

429 Roger Norris 5/9/2017 15:47

430 Lynn Carroll 5/9/2017 17:05

431 Jean Hallemann 5/9/2017 17:27

432 Robert Wicker 5/9/2017 18:54

433 Tom Dietsche 5/10/2017 0:31 Traffic is the big issue, the city has not been realistic in studying how bad traffic would become on Cretin and Mount Curve (to get to 94), with a high density living plan. No, they aren't all going to become bikers and busers. Use current car usage rates and trends over the last 10 years to project, not some dreamy-eyed illusion.

434 Mary 5/10/2017 3:03

435 Lauren Siever 5/10/2017 3:35

436 Meg Arrosti 5/10/2017 12:31 We do not want to lose the charm of our special neighborhood by adding such a large amount of density.

437 Mindy Kurzer 5/10/2017 13:33

438 Elizabeth Wroblewski 5/10/2017 13:58 Please keep the Ford Site within the character of Highland Park so that it melds better with its surroundings. That will attract people to the Ford Site too!

439 Lori Brostrom 5/10/2017 15:12

440 Brian Bartholomay 5/10/2017 15:22

441 Pamela Zagaria 5/10/2017 16:21

442 Mal Mingo 5/10/2017 16:52

443 Pamela Schmid 5/10/2017 16:56

444 William Maloney 5/10/2017 18:33

445 Laura Murphy 5/10/2017 20:41

446 Barbara Cox 5/10/2017 22:56

447 Laura L Meachemes 5/10/2017 23:00

448 Amy L Williams 5/10/2017 23:18

449 Deborah Mulcahy 5/10/2017 23:41 I'd like some single housing units and limit of 4 story buildings.

450 Philp Erickson 5/11/2017 0:08 The proposed density is outrageous!

....and don't reduce the low income offerings just to please the developers and tax base. Do the just and right thing. Thank you.

451 Al Tarara 5/11/2017 0:27

452 Kate Hebel 5/11/2017 0:37

453 Gina Tarara 5/11/2017 1:02

454 Pat McDonough 5/11/2017 1:41

455 Robert mason 5/11/2017 1:58

456 Martin Kappenman 5/11/2017 2:01

457 Kurt Kipfmüller 5/11/2017 2:08

458 William Kobett 5/11/2017 2:34

459 Robert Jastram 5/11/2017 2:46

460 Therese Pautz 5/11/2017 3:13 | oppose a high density plan for the Ford site.

461 Penny Norquist 5/11/2017 3:46

462 Elizabeth Kappenman 5/11/2017 3:52

463 Brenda Erickson 5/11/2017 8:04 Have the guts to admit this WILL permanently change Highland Park.

464 Bruce Dressen 5/11/2017 12:31 There is no need for this high of density in this location. There is not sufficient infrastructure to effectively support it. This appears to be a tax grab to finance the development. I do not believe that the proposed amendments in this petition are unreasonable.

465 Christine R Aerts 5/11/2017 13:34

466 Jane Lagerquist 5/11/2017 13:38

467 Karl Chase 5/11/2017 14:41 Thank you for pulling this together.

468 Janice Martland 5/11/2017 15:26

469 Virginia Ryan 5/11/2017 15:47

470 Katie Martin 5/11/2017 15:49

471 Phillip Yetter 5/11/2017 15:49 Keep St Paul and Highland Park "livable" for all our residents: we need more green space, less density, less traffic in the new development.

472 Lai Ying 5/11/2017 15:57 The infrastructure around that area does not support that amount of growth in population.

473 phyliscus77 Yetter 5/11/2017 15:57

474 Kaleigh McDonnell 5/11/2017 16:09

475 Petra Fager 5/11/2017 16:16 Highland park resident on Pinchurst

476 Todd Grover 5/11/2017 16:20

477 Abby Grantham 5/11/2017 16:25

478 Kimberly Dumitrica 5/11/2017 16:26

479 Emily Kleiber 5/11/2017 16:32

480 Peter Kleiber 5/11/2017 16:36

481 Matt McGuire 5/11/2017 18:00 Not opposed to developing the ford site and welcoming new neighbors but am against increasing the population by 30% in a manner that does not fit with the neighborhood.

482 George Hoppe 5/11/2017 18:28

483 Ashleygeorge 5/11/2017 19:39

484 Maria Brendel 5/11/2017 19:52

485 Lindsey Machtemes 5/11/2017 20:41

486 patricia smith welles 5/11/2017 21:51

487 Mary Stupka 5/11/2017 23:40

488 Samantha Mason 5/11/2017 23:54 | would really like the city to investigate traffic conditions in and out of this area before going further on development plans! The traffic in the area is bad already.

489 Craig Dock 5/12/2017 0:04

490 William Tamlyn 5/12/2017 0:05

- 491 Thomas Romens 5/12/2017 0:47 10 story buildings are out a scale in residential neighborhoods.
- 492 Gregg Angell 5/12/2017 0:54
- 493 Dan Fritze 5/12/2017 0:59
- 494 Gail Peterso 5/12/2017 1:09
- 495 Laura Angell 5/12/2017 1:35
- 496 Frances Wilke 5/12/2017 1:46
- 497 Virginia Johnson 5/12/2017 2:07 We strongly agree with this petition.
- 498 Nancy Foote 5/12/2017 2:12
- 499 Alexandra McDowell 5/12/2017 2:17
- 500 Michael Foldes 5/12/2017 2:31 I have been to a number of community meetings and am not satisfied with the remediation options offered to address the very real concerns we have raised. Lower density appears to be the only viable solution. We cannot absorb this much traffic or people.
- 501 Rae Cornelius 5/12/2017 3:18 Way too many residents & not enough green space. This plan does not support the character of the neighborhood.
- 502 Anne McQuillan 5/12/2017 4:19 the planning commission needs to take into account that rental units can legally have 2 people per bedroom. they should have to plan on the maximum number of possible residents when doing impact plans on people, vehicles etc. For instance a 2 bedroom unit could have 4 residents and 4 cars.
- 503 Beverly Moor 5/12/2017 4:29 Please consider quality of life over a larger tax base or you will lose in the end.
- 504 Delina Ferfon 5/12/2017 4:32 To many residents for proposed ford site. We don't have the infrastructure for the amount proposed to add, parking, or roads to handle the increase in traffic to the area.
- 505 Paul Ferfon 5/12/2017 4:34
- 506 Tammy Reno 5/12/2017 11:17
- 507 Jari Lu Mattson 5/12/2017 13:24
- 508 karen james 5/12/2017 13:44
- 509 Tyler Penniman 5/12/2017 14:18 Please do not allow the density of housing that is being proposed. There is no way the neighborhood can absorb that level of population increase.
- 510 John Wetschka 5/12/2017 16:17
- 511 Clarence Chaplin 5/12/2017 16:44 I believe 3400 housing units and a population increase of 5000-7000 would result in significant increase in congestion. I ask the city to take another look at this and reduce the planned density
- 512 Susan Olshanski 5/12/2017 17:43 This will change what makes living in St. Paul/Minneapolis so great.
- 513 Bill Long 5/12/2017 17:59
- 514 Barbara Mingo 5/13/2017 0:40
- 515 Kim Ditter 5/13/2017 3:01 Love Mac Groveland and the small town feel! Crestin Ave and Cleveland Ave are already too busy. Don't ruin it!
- 516 Angela Terry 5/13/2017 3:34
- 517 Ashley Kirr 5/13/2017 3:54
- 518 Mary and Bob Warner 5/13/2017 12:47 Time to listen to the neighborhood. We love and support highland. Tone it down and remember less is more.
- 519 Margie Makredes 5/13/2017 13:24
- 520 Elizabeth Rita Golihl 5/13/2017 14:37
- 521 Kristie Estes 5/13/2017 14:55
- 522 Frank Delaney 5/13/2017 14:57
- 523 Kate Lefebvre 5/13/2017 15:06 High-rises would totally ruin not only Highland Village but surrounding neighborhoods as well. This is so shortsighted to allow this.
- 524 Justin Young 5/13/2017 16:15
- 525 Trisha Kes 5/13/2017 16:32

526 Anne Yuska 5/13/2017 16:48 Anything over 4 stories is too tall and anything over a short block long is too long! We can still have higher density without confusing high with height

527 Sara Well 5/13/2017 18:38

528 Ines Neece 5/13/2017 18:40

529 Alec Syme 5/13/2017 18:55

530 Stacey Von Walde 5/13/2017 21:39

531 Terri Yetter 5/13/2017 21:44 Please reduce the density and add more green space to make the neighborhood livable for all. This development doesn't need to be another Calhoun Square.

532 Tom Mountain 5/13/2017 21:49

533 Jill Gebhardt 5/13/2017 22:06

534 Kate Knapp 5/13/2017 22:16

535 Patrick Beedle 5/13/2017 22:39

536 Emma Broadnax 5/13/2017 23:12

537 Carolyn Brandt 5/14/2017 0:57

538 Ben Rains 5/14/2017 1:41

539 Laura K 5/14/2017 4:02

540 Robert Sutherland 5/14/2017 5:07

541 Shari Lauren 5/14/2017 5:22

542 Joe and Lori McCabe 5/14/2017 10:52

543 Jim and Linda Sund 5/14/2017 11:14

544 Becky Amldon 5/14/2017 11:36

545 John Klingrey 5/14/2017 13:38 I support appropriate/reasonable use of the Ford site. The current plan is too much high-density development.

546 Gene Sonnen 5/14/2017 14:23

547 Jessica Glendenning 5/14/2017 15:39

548 Aaron Klein 5/14/2017 17:03

549 Steve Bloomquist 5/14/2017 17:55 Need a better plan.

550 Judy Neece 5/14/2017 18:42

551 Soren Mahowald 5/14/2017 18:52 there is no reason for this development to try and jam that many people and cars in Highland...

552 Dan LaManna 5/14/2017 19:43 Keep St. Paul boring. :)

553 Erin LaManna 5/14/2017 19:46

554 Carol Engel 5/14/2017 21:24

555 Laura Benton 5/15/2017 0:10

556 Kristen Fellows 5/15/2017 2:45

557 Beau Beck 5/15/2017 2:48

558 Peg Cornelle 5/15/2017 2:55

559 Noreen Bird 5/15/2017 3:13 The current plan will ruin the neighborhood. It is based on assumptions and false statements, it opposes logic. More people =more traffic =less family friendly. It is that simple.

560 Sue O'Neill 5/15/2017 4:47

561 Ray Fini 5/15/2017 11:07

562 Katy Fini 5/15/2017 11:14

563 Eileen Mueller 5/15/2017 11:21

564 Liz Longval 5/15/2017 11:46

565 Bj rains 5/15/2017 13:02 The traffic is already terrible, adding that many new people to the area would be a disaster.

566 Paul Nicholas 5/15/2017 13:15

567 Joel Smolarek 5/15/2017 13:47

568 Jesse Onkka 5/15/2017 14:43 I live on Cretin Ave, 7,000 more people coming and going every day would make it impossible for me to get out of my own driveway. While not all of them would come down my street it's the fastest way to 94 and the main route people take to and from both downtown's each day as they heat to work.

569 Curtis Chandler 5/15/2017 15:16

570 Colleen Galloway 5/15/2017 15:43 I want a tiny house community on this site. Sustainable and lots of green space.

571 Connie Lindblade Ferguson 5/15/2017 16:49

572 Leslie Bonk 5/15/2017 17:20

573 Danny Stark 5/15/2017 17:44

574 Suzanne Anderson 5/15/2017 17:57

575 Mary Bess Michaletz 5/15/2017 19:16

576 Joseph Milner 5/15/2017 20:18

577 Melissa Varanasi 5/15/2017 23:33

578 Dianne Ploetz 5/16/2017 4:30

579 Linda and Dan Burns 5/16/2017 7:19

580 Mark B 5/16/2017 12:43

581 Tim 5/16/2017 17:49

582 Leah Larson 5/16/2017 18:24

583 Lynn Marceau 5/16/2017 19:55 Please don't ruin what could be an amazing addition to St. Paul. High density living is not the answer.

584 Casey Stephens 5/17/2017 0:47

585 Will Hoppe 5/17/2017 1:45

586 Enoch Peterson 5/17/2017 2:24

587 Karen Osen 5/17/2017 2:48 25% green space is minimal, and infrastructure won't support 8600 new residents. Get real! Be reasonable! Everything in moderation!

588 Thomas Dvorak 5/17/2017 12:14 Elected officials work for us, the citizens (newsflash). Listen to what we, the citizens, support for our neighborhood!

589 Richard Rosenbergl 5/17/2017 13:23

590 Ronald Bennett 5/17/2017 14:26

591 David Wallinga 5/18/2017 13:07

592 Elizabeth Hayes 5/18/2017 13:08

593 Stephanie Ross 5/18/2017 13:35

594 Laura Walker 5/19/2017 12:59

595 Joe Walter 5/19/2017 13:02

596 Ryan Lins 5/19/2017 17:47 Highland Park/village homeowner

597 Karen Kirkhoff 5/19/2017 19:51

598 Dianne Kocourak Ploetz 5/20/2017 4:09

599 D swanson 5/20/2017 4:57

600 Camille mondry 5/20/2017 9:26

601 Natalie lovejoy 5/20/2017 11:54

602 Mary Saul 5/20/2017 12:47

603 Kate Mura 5/20/2017 13:17

604 Heidi Tamlyn 5/20/2017 13:29

605 Heather Phillips 5/20/2017 19:25

606 Mauri Lyn Evans 5/20/2017 19:30

607 S hamill 5/20/2017 20:53

608 Mark Wingerd 5/20/2017 21:51

609 Ann Timmes 5/20/2017 22:28

610 Malena 5/21/2017 2:28

611 Bill warner 5/21/2017 4:25

612 Elijah neuemann 5/21/2017 14:42

613 Roseann Rogers 5/21/2017 15:39

614 Michael larson 5/21/2017 17:16

615 Jason Kaufman 5/21/2017 18:27

616 Jonle Hansen 5/21/2017 19:12

617 Kevin 5/21/2017 23:30

618 Katherine Montague 5/22/2017 1:54

619 Amy G 5/22/2017 4:04

620 Jean Eich 5/22/2017 12:26

621 Elizabeth Drumm 5/22/2017 23:00

622 Bill Rogers 5/23/2017 12:38

623 Bonita Benson 5/23/2017 21:18

624 Lori Lins 5/24/2017 0:04

625 Michael J Jendro 5/24/2017 1:31

626 Mariah Wold 5/24/2017 1:56

627 Jim McGuire 5/24/2017 3:28

628 Megan Brown 5/24/2017 3:53

629 Brett Charpentier 5/24/2017 5:26

630 Shawn McGuire 5/24/2017 8:27

631 Allison McLaughlin 5/24/2017 10:49

632 Ian Davy 5/24/2017 11:01

633 Shella Torres 5/24/2017 11:19

634 Lorelei Weidman 5/24/2017 11:31

635 Nicole Bettenburg 5/24/2017 12:21

Keep more green space, make the buildings fit the landscape and consider the traffic which is already always backed up. This isn't a great opportunity to build some incredible community space. It's 2017, let's design this space thinking forward for our children. Follow the shapes of the river. This grid is not forward thinking. Think, Centennial lakes in Edina. Fountains, a performance space, integrated recycling and compost educational areas. Solar power, and curved streets. These are modern times. Let's stay ahead of that.

Green space is important. The increased number of automobiles and trucks in Highland Village is not a pleasant sight. Developing the vacated Ford Plant space is important but needs to retain the character that has made it attractive as it is. I have toured the new 4-story building on Cleveland Avenue & Highland Parkway and am not at all pleased at the prospect of more buildings of that size in our area.

We need T2 zoning. The traffic will be a nightmare otherwise. The pkwy will be overrun with cars.

Please do NOT WRECK what could be a beautiful and thoughtfully, tastefully planned new space for Highland Park!

I agree totally with the general thought which states that the plan as it is means over-development for the area.

636 Jenna 5/24/2017 12:53

637 Charles 5/24/2017 13:06

638 Court Winjum 5/24/2017 13:29 I'm in

639 Jeff Quinn 5/24/2017 15:39

640 James B Voigt 5/24/2017 15:53

641 Lauren E Voigt 5/24/2017 15:53

642 Natalie M 5/25/2017 1:15

643 Gabe Stejskal 5/25/2017 4:29

644 Paula Jungbauer 5/25/2017 14:05

645 Sam Tsai 5/25/2017 14:20

646 Ellen Muschenheim 5/25/2017 15:37

647 Kathleen Helgeson 5/25/2017 19:12

648 Debra Burns 5/25/2017 22:09

649 Mary Joy Breton 5/26/2017 0:37 Thank heaven, Highland Park citizens are now organizing to resist the excessive buildout proposals being put forward. More power to everyone who is joining this critical effort.

650 Carol Broadnax 5/26/2017 2:00

651 ML Wilin 5/26/2017 2:32 I'm in favor of less development particularly in sensitive areas such as the Mississippi River Gorge, a National Park.

652 Denise Breton 5/26/2017 13:14 The plans being pushed through are all for the developers and politicians and not for the residents. We need lower density housing, more green spaces, and not one taxpayer dollar spent on bankrolling developers, the returns of which we would not see for 25 years! Thank you to Neighbors for a Livable Ford Village for fighting this plan, which is so far beyond what the neighborhood can bear. Once a bad plan goes through and the developers take their money and leave, we live with the outcomes forever after.

653 JI Stanwyck 5/26/2017 16:32

654 James Schroedermeier 5/27/2017 11:07

655 George Keller 5/27/2017 23:12

656 Jessie King 5/28/2017 1:59 Please don't create a worse traffic and pollution issue for this area. We need more green spaces and we have enough condos! Please keep this re-development realistic so that it works in the community, keep our green space and make it a livable area. Please don't forget that we all live here and need to make it work for all of us.

657 Sandy Audette 5/28/2017 12:33

658 Malaila Russell 5/29/2017 5:41

659 Ryan Bluhm 5/29/2017 14:51 I support this petition

660 John Neuville 5/29/2017 23:25

661 Miriam Holmes 5/30/2017 1:13

662 Christina Boyd-Smith 5/30/2017 20:51 I would like to see more single family housing and green space as part of the plan.

663 Florence Bittner 5/30/2017 21:45 Cretin Ave is now a busy street and can not handle more traffic

664 David Anderson 5/31/2017 1:28

665 Maria taft 5/31/2017 18:40

666 Michele Byfield Angell 6/1/2017 1:16

667 Paula Long 6/1/2017 1:58

668 Mary Dienhart 6/1/2017 2:24

669 Nancy Filin 6/1/2017 10:41

670 Jean Rowe 6/1/2017 13:18 Please rethink this!

671 Dan Olson 6/1/2017 13:31

672 Theresa Glomb Milner 6/1/2017 14:01

673 Molly Sarakatis	6/1/2017 14:05	
674 Linda Abbott	6/1/2017 14:27	
675 Shani Norberg	6/1/2017 15:48	Adding 7,200 more residents on the site represents a 30% increase to all of Highland in one very small plot of land and would make the density of the Ford site greater per square mile than New York City. Very concerned about the high density of residents and lack of green space proposed.
676 Michelle Stark	6/1/2017 15:52	
677 Kevin Berg	6/1/2017 16:23	I have lived in Highland all my life. This much density just doesn't make sense to me.
678 Stuart Fagrellius	6/1/2017 17:24	
679 Rosemary Fagrellius	6/1/2017 17:26	
680 Shella Czech	6/1/2017 18:01	
681 Stacey Boehm	6/1/2017 18:04	
682 Maureen Czech	6/1/2017 18:07	
683 Dennis Czech	6/1/2017 18:31	
684 Kevin Henseler	6/1/2017 18:43	
685 Janet McClelland	6/1/2017 21:05	
686 Patrick Midden	6/1/2017 21:43	
687 Jay Johnston	6/1/2017 22:33	
688 Susan Duffy	6/1/2017 22:35	
689 James R McQuillan	6/1/2017 23:29	
690 Suzie Lannan O'Hara	6/1/2017 23:47	I say no to the high-density housing plan for the Ford Plant Site in Highland Park! The input of those who live and own homes in this area is paramount in the decision-making process.
691 Anthony Czech	6/2/2017 1:33	
692 Cristina Arellano	6/2/2017 13:22	
693 Paul Molitor	6/3/2017 6:15	
694 Diane Stupka	6/3/2017 11:15	
695 Shawn Wood	6/3/2017 12:28	
696 Jane Tracy	6/3/2017 12:47	
697 Martha	6/3/2017 13:04	
698 Kathryn McKee	6/3/2017 13:22	
699 Bob Stupka	6/3/2017 13:54	
700 Lolly Salmen	6/3/2017 14:33	
701 Susan Martland	6/3/2017 14:35	
702 Gretchen McGuire	6/3/2017 15:40	As a resident of Highland Park, living on Montreal, it is apparent that the various council members and organizations are looking solely at \$22 million in tax revenues. Input from citizens means nothing while tax dollars means everything. Why not build out downtown StP / the East side / other neighborhoods that are easier to gentrify? What we need is not high density but starter homes! What makes Highland stable is property value stability, local schools, and family values / low crime /. Let's keep it that way!
703 Molly Hardy	6/3/2017 16:02	Opposed to high density proposal for Ford Plant site development
704 Barb Donohue	6/3/2017 16:42	
705 Jason Wei	6/3/2017 16:48	I support T2 zoning.
706 Patrick Murphy	6/3/2017 17:16	
707 Alex Lawler	6/3/2017 18:44	
708 Matt michel	6/3/2017 19:38	
709 Kristen Hutchinson	6/3/2017 19:46	We must have standards to keep Highland the gem that it is!

710 Jane Wei 6/3/2017 20:46

711 Maggie Janasa 6/3/2017 21:53

712 Dan LaNasa 6/3/2017 22:48

713 Virginia Hickey 6/3/2017 22:59

714 Theresa Ayers 6/3/2017 23:02

715 Michael Matheny 6/3/2017 23:20

716 Deborah Patterson 6/3/2017 23:21

717 MaryAnne C Peterson 6/3/2017 23:56

718 Jane Delaney 6/4/2017 2:19

719 Shannon Norton 6/4/2017 2:49

720 Trisha Burt 6/4/2017 12:19

721 Jane H Maguire 6/4/2017 14:59

722 Nora Nell Hambrug 6/4/2017 15:03

723 Anna Plasch 6/4/2017 22:51

724 Peggy Rolfes 6/4/2017 22:55

725 Alex Horgen 6/5/2017 0:11

726 Mike Jendro 6/5/2017 1:52

727 Jim Giefer 6/5/2017 3:00

728 William J Hickey 6/5/2017 13:03

729 Paul Peterson 6/5/2017 14:36

730 Michele Rose McQuillan 6/5/2017 15:22

731 Jane Foley 6/5/2017 16:05

732 Kristi Haselman 6/5/2017 19:58

733 Christopher Peterson 6/5/2017 19:59

734 Ann Pampush-Love 6/5/2017 20:44

735 Allie Haselman 6/5/2017 21:06

736 Tom Donohue 6/6/2017 1:39

737 Nancy Grace 6/6/2017 2:36

738 Dennis McGuire 6/6/2017 2:43

739 Anne Rodenberg 6/6/2017 3:28

740 David Stein 6/6/2017 3:51

741 Glen Carpenter 6/6/2017 15:09

742 Madeline stein 6/7/2017 5:56

743 Bob Carruth 6/7/2017 11:51

744 Emily S 6/7/2017 13:47

745 Tricia runyon 6/8/2017 2:35

My Dad and Grandpa both worked for Ford. I live in Highland Park and frequent the Village. Huge, eye sore buildings at the old Ford plant site is a horrible idea. #staygreen #staysmall

I'm concerned that the plans will give a population explosion to the area without the requisite infrastructure.

The current proposal will cause too much congestion in an area that is already congested in regard to traffic and parking. We also need more green space. This is our chance to do it right.

Do not build this level of density in a fairly land locked Ford plant site! This will permanently change the neighborhood to an ultra dense population. Roads will be overcrowded. Rather than a relaxed neighborhood setting, this area becomes a stressor on all people and systems in the wider area.

Highland is already overbuilt. Please do not destroy the last remaining green space and cause more crowding with a high-density plan!

The current plan will result in a dramatic and negative change in the amount of traffic. In the best case scenario (according to the traffic study) there will be over 17,000 additional vehicle trips per day. Standard traffic engineering analysis says the number will be more than twice that. What will that do to the livability of Highland Park?

I do not support increased traffic and huge housing developments. Everything in moderation would be better. The residents of highland village matter.

746 Anna Carlsson	6/8/2017 3:37	
747 Thomas Witkowski	6/8/2017 23:15	
748 Susan Tegt	6/9/2017 12:29	
749 Tom Bates	6/9/2017 17:43	No to high density and TIF. Yes to greenspace and a business park.
750 Joseph Dunford	6/9/2017 21:13	
751 Cookie Coleman	6/12/2017 20:14	
752 Rebecca Scheig	6/12/2017 20:49	Please don't do this!! The area could be developed in a way that is more viable for the community.
753 Rachel Saitnvan	6/13/2017 13:39	
754 Roy Llerandi	6/13/2017 13:51	I have lived in highland park 60 years. And it is so overcrowded now. The city doesn't care or know what they are doing. I am afraid soon after they ruin the Ford plant site only option is to move out of highland.
755 Thomas Schwind	6/13/2017 14:21	
756 Louis	6/13/2017 15:03	
757 Rachel Hartman	6/13/2017 15:12	
758 Rebecca A Stewart	6/13/2017 15:28	
759 Nick Haugen	6/13/2017 15:56	
760 Joseph McQuilian	6/13/2017 16:09	This is ridiculous.
761 Brian McSherry	6/13/2017 16:16	
762 Maddie Milngro	6/13/2017 16:49	quality not quantity...
763 Margaret Endres	6/13/2017 16:52	
764 DOROTHY C STEWART	6/13/2017 16:58	
765 Matt Sullivan	6/13/2017 17:05	
766 Megan Berg	6/13/2017 17:07	
767 Tim Laughlin	6/13/2017 17:24	
768 Ryan McQuilian	6/13/2017 18:47	This is the worst thing that could happen to the highland park area. I know many people who will leave and never come back if this plan goes through. It will destroy the area and ruin what so many people love about St. Paul, MN.
769 Cathy Brennan	6/13/2017 18:27	
770 Amy Mcquillan	6/13/2017 18:36	
771 tom stark	6/13/2017 19:06	I am all for development of the Ford Plant within limitations. I am very concerned about the excessive development planned for the following reasons: Common day to day/weekly errands to and from various businesses in the area will become a project. i.e. Grocery Shopping, School Drop Off, Drug Store, Fitness Center, Dry Cleaner, Butcher Shop, Dining out, etc. Increased traffic will create accelerated wear and tear on our streets creating additional future assessments to home owners. Increased traffic will look like crumbling asphalt does not really appeal to me. Increased pedestrian and bicycle traffic will further paralyze mobility around the area and create safety issues. Time to get to and from work most certainly will not decline. Increased incidence of crime will raise in the neighborhood with the increase in population. Does the current infrastructure able to handle the influx? i.e schools, health care, etc. Residential and Commercial Property Values in Highland will decline. Highland valuations are all about supply and demand. Outlying neighborhoods will feel the impact as well. Why would you live off W 7th when you can live by the river? What happens to those condo apartment building owners. The city of St Paul's tax revenues as those property values decline? Crocus Hill, Mac Groveland, Merriam Park, and Minneapolis (across the Ford Bridge) will all be affected by increases in traffic as residents on the outer limits of Highland look for additional places to shop. The city has already begun discussions on bringing in light rail to handle the increase in population. Up Highland Parkway and down Cretin to connect to University really? These are just a few of my concerns. Again, we are in great need of additional housing in the area (Condos, PUDs, Single Family, and Apartment. But not in the massive number of units that are planned.

772 Tucker D Haffner	6/13/2017 19:16	
773 Anna Wetschka	6/13/2017 19:22	
774 Morgan michaelson	6/13/2017 19:28	
775 Kallyn	6/13/2017 19:36	
776 Jennifer berg	6/13/2017 19:43	
777 Kevin McQuilian	6/13/2017 19:59	
778 Meg Grove	6/13/2017 21:02	
779 andrew rose	6/13/2017 21:15	too radical a change for this area!
780 Rachel barth	6/13/2017 21:22	
781 Ashley Woodward	6/13/2017 21:38	
782 TLBurke	6/13/2017 22:42	
783 Karley smith	6/13/2017 22:53	
784 Nora McGuire	6/13/2017 23:19	
785 Irene Dombeck	6/14/2017 0:19	
786 Linda Dufort	6/14/2017 1:11	
787 susan farnham	6/14/2017 1:19	
788 Patii Cullen	6/14/2017 1:35	
789 Bob Buckley	6/14/2017 2:16	
790 Jeanette Flynn	6/14/2017 2:23	
791 Kate McGough	6/14/2017 2:24	
792 Tina Montague	6/14/2017 2:52	I absolutely support the low density standard Ford site. The building heights and density being pushed are unacceptable.
793 Marisa S Geisler	6/14/2017 2:55	We need much more green space and much less density; this area absolutely CANNOT accommodate the proposed increase in residents.
794 Andrew Broadnax	6/14/2017 3:11	
795 Anna Hoppe	6/14/2017 3:40	
796 Kelly Cooper	6/14/2017 3:44	
797 Melissa Vittek	6/14/2017 3:51	
798 Kevin block	6/14/2017 4:55	
799 Lindsay Carter-Howard	6/14/2017 11:43	
800 Elizabeth Madson Ankeny	6/14/2017 12:30	
801 Wendy Lutter	6/14/2017 14:30	We need to keep Highland Park uncrowded and not polluted.
802 Brian Murphy	6/14/2017 15:38	
803 Mary Sutherland	6/14/2017 16:35	
804 Michaela Stein	6/14/2017 17:28	

805 KATHLEEN SHIELDS

6/14/2017 18:38 We are probably one of the highest property taxed areas/neighborhoods in the entire city of St. Paul. I think we deserve a voice in what you are deciding to place in our Highland Park neighborhood. Many of us were thinking that it might be a nice transition when we retire or have retired to live in the newly constructed Ford Plant revitalization.. I hope there will be consideration for Senior Citizens as well. Tall high-rises do not denote where most people would want to live. Young families need a place to enjoy our seasons, meet other families, and get outside to play. I believe it needs to focus on being family friendly. Less is more in the final analysis, and I am referring to less construction and more green space. We Highlanders deserve the respect to help decide what goes into our area. We don't want a New York City scenario of people living on top of each other in 10 story apartments. Our tax dollars are contributing to whatever is built and I hope you are paying attention to what we have to say. I believe it would be advantageous to scale down your plans to make this property be desirable rather than become a red herring, overcrowded traffic jam neighborhood. I hope you don't begin construction, until we all have our say and agree to making some changes in the original plans. After all, it's our community and the Ford plant has been a good neighbor to us and would want you to be as well. Thank you for hearing me out and considering the input of all of the "Highlanders," regarding the forthcoming addition to OUR community.

806 Kathleen Z Czech

6/14/2017 19:00

807 Jerrid Czech

6/14/2017 21:44

808 Ben

6/14/2017 21:54

809 Joanne Schultz

6/14/2017 22:19

810 Nancy Scanlan

6/14/2017 23:50

811 Shirley Kramer

6/14/2017 23:54

812 Jane Christensen

6/15/2017 0:27

813 Jodi Kelly

6/15/2017 1:32

Too many people in a small neighborhood is not the way St Paul works. The infrastructure isn't there and shouldn't be there! More green space!

814 Kelly Hogquist

6/15/2017 2:31

815 Nick Countryman

6/15/2017 3:31

816 Steve Jameson

6/15/2017 3:34

817 Joyell Johnson

6/15/2017 13:48

818 Sam

6/15/2017 15:54

Traffic and taxes are bad enough already. Lets be reasonable and think of existing home owners in this tight-knit St. Paul community.

819 Teri McCloughan

6/15/2017 16:11

820 Kate Sevensich

6/15/2017 17:05

821 Anthony Tokarczyk

6/15/2017 17:56

Very concerned with the plan for this site. If it goes forward with the high density plan we will be moving out of HP after living here for 30+ years. I suspect many others will follow. Eventually property values will start to drop and HP will no longer be a highly desired location to live. The city will see a drop in property tax collections!

822 David Farnham

6/15/2017 18:22

823 Christy Van House

6/15/2017 18:54

824 Niles Deneen

6/15/2017 19:00

825 Katherine McCloughan

6/15/2017 19:13

826 Marie Speltz

6/15/2017 19:30

827 Siri Doely

6/15/2017 19:44

828 Daniel D Maurer

6/15/2017 19:49

829 Rebecca schlrmacher

6/15/2017 20:37

830 Michael Kanner

6/15/2017 21:09

I have lived at 2005 Norfolk for 30 yrs. The idea that w/nearly 10,000 more residences traffic will be ok is laughable. If this goes thru, I will vote for the opposition next election.

831 Theresa Dahl

6/15/2017 21:10

832 Asa Wendell Hoyt 6/15/2017 21:39 More parks and green connections to river, some taller buildings seem ok

833 Maria Smith 6/15/2017 21:53

834 Emily Balamut 6/15/2017 22:30

835 Roger Bruggemeyer 6/15/2017 22:39 I live near Cretin and Ford Pkwy, I know how bad this is going to get under the current proposal

836 Nick Dyer 6/15/2017 23:34

837 Beth Sevenich 6/16/2017 1:48 Housing density is too high. Current roads cannot support that volume.

838 Barbara Salzman 6/16/2017 3:10

839 Peter Deneen 6/16/2017 11:19 We are horrified by this plan. We have been here in our beautiful neighborhood for 27 years. We will move if this goes through.

840 Deborah Perdic 6/16/2017 18:20 We need more green space and low density development. Highland Park is already too crowded.

841 Aaron Janse 6/16/2017 19:04

842 Phil Gerlach 6/16/2017 19:04

843 Robyn Brower 6/16/2017 19:04

844 tonja honsey 6/16/2017 19:16

845 Kevin Weik 6/16/2017 19:18

846 Bryce Beverlin 6/16/2017 19:48

847 Lisa Martinez 6/16/2017 20:34

848 Brian Glad 6/16/2017 22:39

849 Jane Anthone 6/17/2017 0:24

850 Tom Laughlin 6/17/2017 0:44

851 Mary Zink 6/17/2017 2:08

852 susie leek 6/17/2017 3:16

853 Amy Goldberg 6/17/2017 3:18

854 Mark Glad 6/17/2017 3:24

855 Verna Corgan 6/17/2017 4:01

856 Susan Rottlie 6/17/2017 11:03

857 Katie B 6/17/2017 13:42

858 Michele Siffer 6/17/2017 14:12

859 Sara Erickson 6/17/2017 19:16

860 Joleen Sterner 6/17/2017 20:27 I agree, this area was not developed as a high density area. There must be balance. It's impossible to change one area to be different, but ignore the entire infrastructure of the city. I can help if need be.

861 Thomas Weber 6/17/2017 22:50

862 Samantha Sherrill 6/18/2017 1:28

863 Young-nam kim 6/18/2017 3:11

864 Ellen and Young-Nam Kim 6/18/2017 3:13

865 Mo 6/18/2017 19:54

866 Maury Lilly 6/19/2017 1:37 St. Paul has only one chance to do this right. Please think long term and consider the quality of life we want along the river, don't just think money, money, money.

867 Carol Pederson-Moore 6/19/2017 13:30

868 Joan suttiff 6/19/2017 13:52

869 Ted F 6/19/2017 15:23

870 Patricia Dickmann 6/19/2017 15:38

871 Barbara 6/19/2017 17:18

872 Beth Brombach 6/19/2017 18:56

873 Mary Beaton EK 6/19/2017 19:55

874 Jackie Diamond 6/19/2017 19:57

875 Sheila O'Hara 6/20/2017 2:30

876 Theresa Lauber 6/20/2017 3:17

877 Paula Dickmann 6/20/2017 3:38

878 Maureen Sackmaster Carpenter 6/20/2017 16:14

879 rick countyman 6/20/2017 18:29

880 Kate McGowan 6/20/2017 18:54

881 Tim Schmidt 6/20/2017 23:07

882 Wilamette Brennaman 6/22/2017 2:45

883 Richard 6/22/2017 13:10

884 Pat Willenbring 6/22/2017 21:50

885 Colleen Bauer 6/23/2017 0:44

886 Ron Gustafson 6/23/2017 1:08

887 Charles Hathaway 6/23/2017 4:48

888 Gary Goulet 6/24/2017 21:14

889 Rausch Robert 6/25/2017 2:08

890 Susan Olsson 6/25/2017 14:53

891 Michele Hutson 6/26/2017 15:02

892 Brad Evans 6/26/2017 15:49

This is way to much!!!! The neighbors need to be listened to and our opinions should be factored in. This should not be about greed.

Please lower density and Increase green.. park availability to such a beautiful space along the Mississippi River Gorge! We are blessed with this in our neighborhood, let's not over develop it....

Please use some common sense!!!! Our "quality of life" is more important than money, money, money! Why ruin the Highland Park neighborhood with over crowding????

Please develop a plan that is more supportive to the St. Paul COMMUNITY - not just greedy property developers.

Ford site planning commission needs to revise plan to a lower level of population density and more green space.

I live on Montreal Avenue and am very upset about traffic increase.

893 Amy Geiger

6/26/2017 18:15

Dear Commissioners: I am writing to express my opposition to the zoning change at the Ford site AND the Public Realm Master Plan Draft (5-4-2017). I strongly urge you to vote against it or send it back to the city planners for significant revisions when it comes before you for consideration. Reasons: i. Radically high density: The density being proposed is comparable to New York City. In a quiet residential neighborhood where there is no mass transit or freeway access. ii. Lack of parkland/greenspace: At only 9% of the plan, there is not enough space for humans, let alone plants, trees and wildlife. Further, the maps shown in the plan are highly deceptive as they show a large area as green space, that is actually zoned for commercial (CP Rail land). iii. Unlivable traffic congestion on surrounding streets: The city's own study shows three times (3X) the traffic on some surrounding streets where traffic is already terrible and dangerous. People's lives are at risk. Increases in traffic are known to cause risks to pedestrian safety, more traffic noise, increased air pollution, wasted time and decreased property values. iv. Building heights far too high: Ten story buildings will fundamentally alter the feel of the neighborhood and will cause harm to the quality of life in the neighborhood because of blocked sightlines to the river and a forever altered community landscape. v. Added pollution: The health of the Ford site and adjacent community is at risk due to increased air pollution. A NASA study confirmed that higher urban populations and increased levels of air pollution are directly related and the resulting air pollution can cause respiratory and health problems. vi. The city's Plan also directly contradicts the stated goals of the of the Saint Paul Zoning Code including: o Prevent the overcrowding of land and undue congestion of population o Encourage a compatible mix of land uses, at densities that support transit, that reflect the scale, character, and urban design of Saint Paul's existing traditional neighborhoods. o Conserve and improve property values o Promote and protect the public health, safety, morals, aesthetics, economic viability and general welfare of the community. vii. Experts ignored: The Ford Site Task Force that worked on this plan for 10 years has made recommendations for substantive changes to the Plan that have been disregarded. Thank you for your consideration and support for a better plan. Sincerely, AMY GEIGER

6/26/2017 18:58

6/26/2017 22:43

6/27/2017 0:05

6/27/2017 0:32

6/27/2017 2:13

6/27/2017 2:22

6/27/2017 3:18

6/27/2017 11:35

6/27/2017 11:37

6/27/2017 12:02

6/27/2017 12:10

6/27/2017 13:08

6/27/2017 13:12

6/27/2017 13:44

6/27/2017 16:37

6/27/2017 19:05

6/27/2017 19:05

Do not need density here. Can't drive here as it is. Also increase crime and other unlawful activity.

I feel our city leaders have had ZERO interest in hearing what the citizens of the city feel is the best for the future of the city. I have had family in St. Paul proper for over 100 yrs!!! I have talked to so many who have given up saying anything because NO ONE IS LISTENING!

Why was there no work to create light industrial tax base?

Take advantage of this opportunity to enhance the neighborhood rather than overcrowd and congest it. Ten story buildings are much too high.

The plan calls for too much population density and not enough green space. This will fundamentally change the character of Highland Village to the detriment of all. 10 story buildings are unacceptable. Inadequate plans for traffic control are inadequate.

Find a way to save the baseball fields! It's a tremendous community asset.

The traffic that we have in the neighborhood now is unsafe. We can not handle 6-8,000 new people driving around.

Enough is enough.

Respect the river and it's beauty along with the style of living/neighborhood we have chosen to make our home. Do not ruin the aesthetics of a sweet neighborhood with a lot to offer along with nature.

900 Jen Jemrich

901 Kate Burda

899 James Wagner

898 Janke Sowleja

897 Sandy Hitchin

896 Emma Mutter

895 Maxin Mutter

894 Angela Junker

902 Andy Burda

903 Sue Stein

904 Pete Stein

905 Jon Huspek

906 David Anglum

907 Chad Collmann

908 Kathleen Cech

909 Jodi Palmehin

910 Molly Collmann

911 Kyle Bachmayer 6/27/2017 21:52
This seems like greed. It definitely harms the citizens who live there and doesn't help them in any way that I can perceive. Is it really necessary to build so much? Or is that being greedy. Minnesota isn't New York and that makes us unique.

912 Kristin Matthews Long 6/28/2017 0:44
I am for developing the Ford site - please just keep it reasonable! Four story buildings, keep already busy traffic minimized, lots of green space for neighbors to connect in. We just don't want to lose the community feel of Highland. It isn't a downtown area!

913 Debra Manderfeld 6/28/2017 1:42
Has any other area in the Twin Cities been impacted by development to the extent that Highland Park will be? Or in any other areas of the country? Let's learn from the mistakes that others may have made. There is a lot to lose here!

914 Carol Hooper-Cahill 6/28/2017 10:53
Developing the area is fine, but must be controlled. We need green space and building scale/size is most important.

915 Patricia Teehy 6/28/2017 12:48
Why the intensity for more and more high rises and densely more populated areas? Zone for the future, not just for profits.

916 Derrick Fenchel 6/28/2017 13:00
Greed seems to be the buzz word these days rather than concern for the community

917 Charles Evans 6/28/2017 13:11
Moderation in scale and increased Green Space is essential. Please be mindful and LISTEN to the voices of those concerned about the negative impact the proposed plan for the Ford plant site would have on traffic, aesthetics of Highland Park, aesthetics of River Road, walking/biking paths, property values, etc. The city of St Paul has opportunity to bring wisdom and forethought to the table.

918 Carol Ann Petersen 6/28/2017 13:27

919 rob eckard 6/28/2017 13:46

920 Theodore Weidman 6/28/2017 14:59
You have a chance to make this area of St. Paul more livable or more crowded. Please don't let "money" make the decision.

921 Dick Unger 6/28/2017 15:00
Please take into consideration the long time residents of this area and do not force this high density development on them.

922 Annie Deneen 6/28/2017 19:31

923 Amy Mahowald 6/28/2017 20:06

924 Brian J Valento 6/29/2017 12:50
low density please...

925 Mike T John 6/29/2017 13:02

926 Beth Waterloo 6/29/2017 16:12

927 HELEN AREND 6/29/2017 18:15
This is crazy. THERE WILL BE substantially more traffic on main roads which will reduce home values along these roads and long term decreased values of these homes which in turn will bleed into the values of the nearby neighborhoods.. Why would anyone even suggest this as an option?

928 Patricia Frohreich 6/29/2017 18:17
I am solidly opposed to this project and agree with and support all related rationale described in this petition.

929 Joe Arend 6/29/2017 18:23
I firmly believe elected and appointed officials no longer work for the people in their community. Elected officials sole purpose is to placate the community with verbal distraction and erroneous justifications. The people generating wealth from the redevelopment have no community acœbuy inœ and will not live in the fall-out they are trying to create. To say I am disgusted planners are considering 10 story buildings in the redevelopment would be an understatement. Who set the agenda ?

930 Carol Arend 6/29/2017 19:24

931 Virginia H Bisanz 6/29/2017 20:24

932 Bruce Horner 6/29/2017 20:48
How much property tax annually is the ford site generating now. Will that be lost?

933 Anselmo C Castelan 6/29/2017 21:55
Why not following the example like the Golden Gate Park in San Francisco. This is a beautiful piece of land recovered by the citizen of San Francisco. See <https://goldengatepark.com>.

934 Geoff Hathaway 6/29/2017 22:15

935 Terry Roepke 6/29/2017 22:38

936 Mark 6/29/2017 23:04
Leave it a city park, no development!

937 John Dittberner 6/30/2017 0:04 I am a homeowner/resident in Highland Park and am opposed to the high-density proposal for development of the Ford plant site.

938 Jill Bradford 6/30/2017 0:47

939 Kathryn Bennett 6/30/2017 2:42

940 Tony Giuliani 6/30/2017 2:52

941 Luba Hickey 6/30/2017 2:59

942 Karen Laird 6/30/2017 10:47

943 Paula Evans 6/30/2017 13:24

944 Jill Meyer 6/30/2017 14:42

945 Gerald Mischke 6/30/2017 15:46 No high-density development in Ford Village area.

946 Louie Bonk 6/30/2017 16:21

The consultant did not do a good job. The residents do not want Highland Park to have high rises and increase in traffic. They assumed that people, young and old will walk instead of having a car. That is unrealistic since people need to drive kids to activities or go see grandchildren. We need more green space, less traffic as it is now, we have traffic jams on Ford Parkway and Cleveland. If they make a light rail, we will have more traffic since it will have one lane to drive. I lived in Highland my whole life and speak from experience. The city planners recently allowed Edina Realty to create a 3 story building. That was a mistake to change this for them. We do not want 3 story anything. We pay high taxes to live here. Also Ford plant got the land for free. You should get the land back free. They should be fined for all the toxic things they made on the land and the air they caused in the area when they were removing it. BP oil was fine, they should be also.

947 Jeff Perry 6/30/2017 17:09

948 Richard Magnuson 6/30/2017 17:40

949 Theresa Halder 6/30/2017 17:47

The Ford site plans MUST include specific recreation space for baseball and softball fields. It is illogical to take away this particular space and not replace it in the new plans. What will all those kids do for baseball? Community sports like Highland Ball are just a piece of why our community is so great. Eliminating the fields will ultimately destroy a piece of the community, perhaps even moving people to the suburbs where they can have a local baseball and softball program.

950 Leah Paquin 6/30/2017 18:13

951 Luana Ciccarelli 6/30/2017 18:16

952 Liz Prom 6/30/2017 18:44

953 Mark Dahl 6/30/2017 19:04 Save green space for our kids to play baseball

954 Bridget Sampair 6/30/2017 19:20 Please listen to the community.

955 Therese Skarda 6/30/2017 19:27

956 Julia Stein Dittberner 6/30/2017 19:27

957 Thomas Shepleman 6/30/2017 22:51

Nothing over 4 stories. Green space at least 25%.....what about runoff, ponds and ditches? Traffic Jams...BIG TIME. We already have high level bus traffic on Ford Parkway. Most busses have very few riders but the busses weight a lot, take a lot of fuel and congested traffic. Please do not bring the Highland area where we live and shop to a stand still with huge population and traffic increases. Support Highland families who live here because it is a quiet, non-congested neighborhood with a strong sense of community. Adding high-density housing and removing ballfields is not the answer.

958 Kari Roberts 7/1/2017 0:11

959 John Osen 7/1/2017 0:16

Please vote against the high density ~8 story proposal for the Ford plant site. Please vote for a 4-5 story median density site.

960 Christa Helgeson 7/1/2017 1:03

Please allow more green space and less density. We do not live in this area because we want it to be similar to a downtown. And most importantly, the Highland ball fields cannot be lost, please consider recreation in this plan, these are the places that build community.

961 Sonya Jaworski 7/1/2017 1:58 Please keep the baseball/softball fields!! If you care about kids, about their health and not just more money for your pockets, you will make sure kids today and tomorrow will have a great ball field.

962 Carol LeDuc 7/1/2017 2:41

963 Richard Swanson 7/1/2017 12:22 High density housing nestled against the Mississippi River is unprecedented except in a downtown area like Minneapolis or Saint Paul. Respect the character of the neighborhood and respect the Mississippi.

964 Sharon Jaeger 7/1/2017 14:10 As I am sure that many intelligent, thoughtful people have spent countless hours in devising the current plan for the Ford site development, I am astonished that this is what is being proposed. 10 stories? Minimal green space? And assuming the new residents and employees are going to walk, bike or use mass transit and so will not disrupt the existing neighborhood traffic is magical thinking. We all agree that density is good, and the way of the future- to the degree that surrounding areas can support and also be enhanced by it. It is obvious to me that the current proposal for this site needs significant revision in structure heights, number of the residents, and amount of green space. We all want St. Paul to be even more amazing than it is. This is not the way to go about it.

965 Michael Waechter 7/1/2017 15:21

966 Doug Lakway 7/1/2017 16:38

967 carri 7/1/2017 18:43

968 Carole 7/1/2017 18:47 This project should not build over 4 floors high. Some exciting design would also help this development.

969 merrick gardner 7/2/2017 1:08

970 carl 7/2/2017 3:27

971 sheryl 7/2/2017 3:28

972 Anne 7/2/2017 3:29

973 Jim Ieduc 7/2/2017 15:40

974 Rosalyn Segal 7/2/2017 17:07

975 Lee-za Matthews 7/2/2017 19:20

976 Steve Jorgenson 7/2/2017 19:53

977 Gordon Palzer 7/2/2017 22:42 I've lived four blocks north of the Ford site for 41 years, and even without this future development, traffic is suffocating and the urban-charm character of the neighborhood is already disappeared. This development as laid out by the city will be the final stake through the heart for our formerly family-friendly dream neighborhood.

978 Kia Silverman 7/3/2017 14:35

979 Mary S Bach 7/3/2017 15:00 I don't want lovely Highland Park overwhelmed with such massive buildings and thousands of additional residents. There are not enough roads to serve them. I also want more green space maintained. Less than 10 percent is not enough. Buildings should be no more than 5 stories and we need 15% Green Space. Thank you for listening.

980 Elisa Hayday 7/3/2017 17:26

981 Diane Valure 7/3/2017 23:51

982 Kelly Rowe 7/4/2017 0:52

983 Kathleen Persuiti 7/5/2017 13:24

984 Ben Granberg 7/7/2017 10:57 5 stories tall max height please. Put a Park in the middle.

985 Thomas Nikosia 7/7/2017 19:18

986 Liz Hattaway Castelfin 7/8/2017 22:59 We have the opportunity to make a valuable contribution to our city by setting aside this land for our St. Paul community's recreation and education. We need a better plan!

987 Nick Murphy 7/9/2017 2:30

988 Jan Gruber Hurst 7/9/2017 12:11

989 Pam Guarrera 7/9/2017 13:57

990 Kristen Grant 7/10/2017 16:34

991 Jane Kemp 7/10/2017 17:22

992 Kathy Ordahl 7/11/2017 2:17 Would you please stop the madness and give us a proposal that limits building heights to no greater than four stories and provides at least 25% green space. Pulling out on Cleveland is already difficult at times and I've almost been struck twice by cars as I crossed Ford Parkway at Cleveland and Cleveland at Ford Parkway in the last couple months.

993 Tom Ordahl 7/11/2017 2:18 Would you please stop the madness and give us a proposal that limits building heights to no greater than four stories and provides at least 25% green space. Pulling out on Cleveland is already difficult at times and I've almost been struck twice by cars as I crossed Ford Parkway at Cleveland and Cleveland at Ford Parkway in the last couple months.

994 Shari 7/11/2017 5:13

995 Will Hope 7/12/2017 14:42 This city govt is NOT representing the will of the people

996 Kristine Toomey 7/12/2017 21:00

997 bob moeller 7/15/2017 14:52

998 Thomas Jung 7/16/2017 0:06

999 Mark Hottinger 7/16/2017 19:00

1000 Bruce Brazelton 7/19/2017 0:34 The city planners are not thinking this through. Is tax revenue more important than quality of life? This plan needs to be trashed. Let's get clear thinking people, that represent both sides of the argument, to formulate a plan. Trash the current proposal. City government is truly disconnected from reality.

1001 Jackson Cross 7/25/2017 22:00

1002 Matthew McQuillan 7/26/2017 0:22 Low density at Ford Plant site. No more than 3 story buildings. More park space and a community center and band shell!!!

1003 Bruce Anderson 7/26/2017 1:15 More Green Space!

1004 Nancy Kelly 7/26/2017 18:10

1005 Jennifer Stevens 7/26/2017 18:55

1006 Stephen Hubbard 7/26/2017 22:03 Let's get it done!

1007 Margaret Newcome 7/27/2017 0:28

1008 Mary King 7/27/2017 2:22

1009 Jim O'Neill 7/27/2017 4:52

1010 Mal Scanlan 7/27/2017 15:00

1011 Anne Brataas 7/27/2017 18:52 Please stop high-density development!

1012 John Patterson 7/27/2017 19:54

1013 John Newcome 7/27/2017 20:19

1014 Nicole Staples 7/27/2017 20:30

1015 Gary Iverson 7/27/2017 21:28

1016 Sandy Warner 7/28/2017 1:18

1017 GERALD F GRUBER 7/28/2017 1:50

1018 Mary Gruber 7/28/2017 1:57

1019 Jane Maguire 7/28/2017 19:26

1020 Thomas Rubbelke 7/28/2017 21:49 I am against the huge increase in population density.

1021 Mike Pickett 7/28/2017 23:26

1022 Suzette Bielinski 7/29/2017 16:05 Let us know how we can help. This is a crazy plan.

1023 John Bielinski 7/29/2017 16:09

1024 Marco A Guerrero 7/29/2017 20:41 Please keep me informed of relevant events or things local residents can do to get the planning commission to rethink this plan.

1025 B Joseph Marx 7/31/2017 19:12

1026 Michelle Benoit 8/3/2017 18:51

1027 Jessica Hogan 8/3/2017 19:58 I think the idea of centennial lakes in Edina is a great model to follow. It's so pretty and it would be great to keep the beauty and character of highland. Also, I'm highly opposed to high increased traffic.

1028 Rachel Schmidt 8/3/2017 21:49

1029 Jill Peller 8/4/2017 1:35

1030 Margit Bretzke 8/4/2017 14:51

1031 Lucy Sullivan 8/5/2017 3:40 As a long time St. Paul resident, I am very much opposed to the development of high density housing in Highland. The planned development will erode property values in the surrounding neighborhoods and destroy the character of the Highland Village.

1032 Chris Boldt 8/5/2017 4:14 Whose interests are the planning commissioners forwarding with this high density development crammed into Highland Park? Not mine. Not the communities. This plan smacks of opportunism. What happened to a forward thinking model development that fits with the neighborhood?

1033 Susan Macpherson 8/6/2017 18:27 This isn't what democracy looks like. The neighborhood task force has the best knowledge of the community and is the best resource for the city in negotiating a reasonable compromise. Please make grass roots democracy a meaningful process - don't turn your back on local voices to please the developers. There is a better way to get this done - WITH affordable housing AT SCALE and WITH green space protected. Thanks you

1034 Anne Brata 8/9/2017 20:53 Yes to new neighbors with whom we can be in relationship with to continue the stewardship of this neighborhood we have begun!

1035 Barbara Pilney 8/11/2017 12:40 Lived in Highland Park for 55 years. It is a special neighborhood that I hope remains so. The current development plan for Ford site is not the right direction.

1036 John Pilney 8/11/2017 14:07 I think that if the city's plan is implemented, it will forever change the character of the Highland Park for the worst and it will no longer be a good place to raise a family.

1037 Matt 8/12/2017 15:55

1038 Dan Mostue 8/14/2017 13:21

1039 Kelly michel 8/14/2017 14:05

1040 michèle hren 8/14/2017 15:09

1041 Ryan Walthier 8/14/2017 15:11

1042 Rick Long 8/14/2017 15:49

1043 Mark Dietsch 8/14/2017 15:55

1044 Judy Carter Brink 8/14/2017 18:21

1045 mary michel 8/14/2017 18:56

1046 Mark Gibbs 8/14/2017 19:39

1047 David P Drew 8/14/2017 22:11 Density seems too high and I think the traffic infrastructure will not support the additional load which will come.

1048 Laurie Herrmann 8/16/2017 10:27

1049 Jack Maloney 8/16/2017 18:49

1050 katie shaw 8/17/2017 14:19

1051 Brett Vander Bloemen 8/17/2017 14:20

1052 Steph Vander Bloemen 8/17/2017 14:58

1053 Michael Leppold 8/17/2017 17:57 This project as proposed is ill advised due to the inappropriate scale of the development and disregard of the property's location to the National Forest Service managed Mississippi Right gorge, the fact that despite remediation efforts this is a super fund site [can you say Love Canal] and insufficient infrastructure in terms of traffic and parking management. Who's getting the kickbacks? St. Paul's civic leaders are selling Highland residents out. There is an opportunity here to do something that will benefit the neighborhood and city for generations. The proposed/approved plan will be a detriment to both the neighborhood and the city.

- 1054 Caroline Stone 8/18/2017 4:51 Dear City Council, I am appalled by the plan to build so much housing in the Ford Site. What are you thinking? How can this be healthy for anyone? Is your greed that blind to wellness? We need green space for children and families we need a community center with a pool and basketball courts and indoor play spaces. We need to keep our sanity. Please do not let this greed come to pass.
- 1055 Lance Teachworth 8/18/2017 13:54
- 1056 Martha Engel 8/18/2017 19:16 Bring real jobs to our community that offer wages that can support the home prices (and taxes) in our community so people can live and work here. The Ford Plant provided high wage jobs.
- 1057 Kip Hathaway 8/18/2017 21:46 I live here, and I think cramming 8600 amount of people into this new space is a terrible idea.
- 1058 Erin Probst 8/18/2017 21:56
- 1059 Shannon Butler 8/19/2017 3:57
- 1060 Erica Carlson 8/19/2017 14:39
- 1061 Bri Allen 8/20/2017 2:05
- 1062 Lisa Frenette 8/20/2017 21:41 Slow this down and rethink the design.
- 1063 Andrea 8/21/2017 18:46 LISTEN to the communities that surround this area: keep the suburbs in the suburbs.
- 1064 Carolyn Curtiss 8/21/2017 22:40 The Village cannot support more traffic and population congestion
- 1065 Pam Gripman 8/22/2017 1:35
- 1066 Michelle Malecha Wilkes 8/22/2017 17:08
- 1067 Sally Hopkinson 8/22/2017 17:10
- 1068 Deborah Karasov 8/22/2017 19:24
- 1069 Peggy Stang 8/23/2017 1:01
- 1070 Sophie Barker 8/23/2017 1:32
- 1071 Andrew Barker 8/23/2017 1:43
- 1072 Lyn Bartholomay 8/23/2017 2:20
- 1073 Karin Jordahl 8/23/2017 11:45
- 1074 Deborah Desarmeaux 8/23/2017 18:59
- 1075 Erin and Nick Schneeman 8/23/2017 20:42
- 1076 Victoria Stewart 8/23/2017 23:17 On the other side of the river our twin city has a lovely park. I endorse a park for our city contiguous to that park on our side of the river. Of all possible ways to utilize this land, this would best serve all the people in our community.
- 1077 Tamara Stewart 8/23/2017 23:21 Start over. Make most of it a park.
- 1078 Robin Sjaastad 8/23/2017 23:32
- 1079 Rebekah Levreau 8/24/2017 1:03
- 1080 Barbara Fietg 8/24/2017 14:25
- 1081 Mark W Thompson 8/24/2017 16:15 Agreed that population density and the reduction in green space, and elimination of the ball fields makes NO SENSE.
- 1082 vera krischik 8/24/2017 16:56
- 1083 Tim Iverson 8/24/2017 17:19 stop the development at the ford site convert the area to recreational trails for walking, bird watching, biking recreation, add trails, ponds, native vegetation the Highland area is dense enough we do not need more people with the limited road system need traffic mitigation measures explicitly incorporated in this plan with financing coming from the developer as a cost of the development because of its impact on surrounding neighborhoods
- 1084 Tom Lipschultz 8/24/2017 23:10
- 1085 Bob Keller 8/24/2017 23:18 Requested a yard sign
- 1086 Lewis Segl 8/25/2017 14:01
- 1087 Joseph Judkins 8/25/2017 15:23
- 1088 Carri Stuhr 8/25/2017 23:45
- 1089 NANCY WERNER 8/26/2017 14:37

1090 Sharon Skarda 8/26/2017 16:28

1091 Paul O'Gara 8/26/2017 23:24

1092 Carol Keahn 8/27/2017 0:41

1093 Jennifer Degnan 8/27/2017 14:31

1094 Spencer Bartl 8/27/2017 14:50

1095 Sarah Bartl 8/27/2017 14:53

1096 Christopher Vara 8/27/2017 19:04

1097 L Martin 8/27/2017 20:02

1098 Sue McFarlane 8/27/2017 22:40

1099 Seth Tryniewski 8/28/2017 0:53

1100 Ann Mathews-Lingen 8/28/2017 1:35

1101 James M Ginther 8/28/2017 2:31

1102 Pamela Moody-Ginther 8/28/2017 2:32

1103 PAUL GINTHER 8/28/2017 3:26

1104 Lindsey Ginther 8/28/2017 3:32

1105 John Fisch 8/28/2017 12:39

1106 Judith Halabrin 8/28/2017 13:13

1107 Meggan McQuillan 8/28/2017 15:58

1108 Chris Bartl 8/28/2017 17:34

1109 P Jarvis 8/28/2017 21:05

1110 Shawn Colestock 8/28/2017 21:59

1111 Dan Fredrickson 8/28/2017 22:04

1112 Andrew OConnell 8/28/2017 23:54

1113 Greg Kleindl 8/29/2017 1:18

1114 Clare and Larry Hayes 8/29/2017 2:56

1115 Brian J ValentoBrian 8/29/2017 16:17

1116 Bill McBean 8/29/2017 16:37

1117 John Bjelland 8/29/2017 16:37

1118 Boris Simkhovich 8/29/2017 18:17

1119 Skip Zetzman 8/29/2017 18:38

1120 Terry Robertson 8/29/2017 18:59

1121 Saffrona Carlson 8/29/2017 19:21

8/26/2017 16:28

8/26/2017 23:24

8/27/2017 0:41

8/27/2017 14:31

8/27/2017 14:50

8/27/2017 14:53

8/27/2017 19:04

8/27/2017 20:02

8/27/2017 22:40

8/28/2017 0:53

8/28/2017 1:35

8/28/2017 2:31

8/28/2017 2:32

8/28/2017 3:26

8/28/2017 3:32

8/28/2017 12:39

8/28/2017 13:13

8/28/2017 15:58

8/28/2017 17:34

8/28/2017 21:05

8/28/2017 21:59

8/28/2017 22:04

8/28/2017 23:54

8/29/2017 1:18

8/29/2017 2:56

8/29/2017 16:17

8/29/2017 16:37

8/29/2017 16:37

8/29/2017 18:17

8/29/2017 18:38

8/29/2017 18:59

8/29/2017 19:21

It's hard for me to decide between higher density city living so that we don't continue to encroach upon animals' habitats, or lower density so we can still perhaps have some wildlife within our cities. I do know that one of the things that I have really enjoyed about this part of St Paul is the ambience of a small town neighborhood even though it's within a big city, it would be a big shame if that were to change because of this new development plan.

Please consider way less density so that this area of St Paul remains livable. Higher density and traffic jams are not part of our livable neighborhood! 30+ year resident!

I oppose the Ford Plant zoning plan and I want you to delay the September 27th City Council vote until after a new Mayor is sworn into office in January.&c I am against the high density zoning (4,000 units) and I want more green space.

I oppose the Ford Plant zoning plan and I want you to delay the September 27th City Council vote until after a new Mayor is sworn into office in January.&c I am against the high density zoning (4,000 units) and I want more green space.

The plan should not be about increasing taxes it should be about increasing value

I am opposed to the current plans for the Ford site development - I live on St. Paul Ave - which has high density housing already - the traffic in our neighborhood is terrible - and the "plan" to reduce that problem with the current proposal won't work and you know it. My guess is that the creators and supporters of this idea do not live in Highland Park and see this as a way to lower their property taxes - putting the burden of high density housing on us - :0

We pay a ridiculous amount of money to live in St Paul the city needs to listen to its people or we will vote with our feet

I oppose the Ford Plant zoning plan and I want you to delay the September 27th City Council vote until after a new Mayor is sworn into office in January.&c I am against the high density zoning (4,000 units) and I want more green space.

Haz met on property needs to be remediated!

Are you willing to rebuild all of the roads to accommodate this level of traffic? I'll bet not. Like usual, build and run by the crooked developers....

1122 Deborah Katz 8/29/2017 19:55 I signed to preserve the original integrity of the neighborhood/feeling of highland park and to protest any kind of development which is not sensitive to the needs of this neighborhood. The proposed plan could be anywhere, it has no local feeling, no feeling of rootedness in the architecture and history of this area and no respect for the beautiful Mississippi River national Park

1123 Lana Prokop 8/29/2017 20:41

1124 Pat Duffy 8/29/2017 20:58

1125 Christina DeVos 8/29/2017 21:16

1126 BJ Stevens 8/30/2017 3:40 We need to go back to the drawing board on this plan. These developers are in it for the tax credits and the sale of the properties a few years down the road.

1127 Jennifer Barrett 8/30/2017 13:04

1128 Danielle Jones 8/30/2017 13:09 I live on Pinehurst Ave between Mt Curve and Cretin Avenue and agree the proposed development plan needs to be changed. Thanks for all the work to make sure everyone in the neighborhood understands this issue.

1129 Steve mann 8/30/2017 15:51

1130 Jennifer McMahon Colestock 8/30/2017 16:27

1131 Lindsey Krech 8/30/2017 16:33

1132 Cynthia levine 8/30/2017 19:05

1133 Cherie Torinus 8/30/2017 20:28

1134 Paul Klanderud 8/30/2017 23:16

1135 Jen Winterfeldt 8/30/2017 23:33 I'm a resident of Highland Village and appreciate you work on this! Let's fight for a more reasonable development plan.

1136 Clare Dudzinski 8/30/2017 23:34

1137 Noreen Tyler 8/31/2017 0:34

1138 Charles herzog 8/31/2017 1:16

1139 Robert John Pilot 8/31/2017 17:19 We need a new City Council person

1140 June banet 8/31/2017 17:23

1141 bryan steelman 8/31/2017 19:27

1142 Luke Prokop 8/31/2017 21:37

1143 Tony Tio 8/31/2017 23:47

1144 Joan Barrett 9/1/2017 0:53

1145 Christopher Pexa 9/1/2017 0:54

1146 James Davis 9/1/2017 1:19

1147 Shannon Wikowski 9/1/2017 1:20 The area cannot support the high density. Chris Tolbert was hired by the people to represent us & he is not listening. There is already a traffic problem. This plan would destroy the neighborhood.

1148 Jim and Kathie Cech 9/1/2017 2:03

1149 Robin Guerrero 9/1/2017 4:14

1150 Dirk Dixon 9/1/2017 14:36 Stop ruining our neighborhood. Stop using Edina Realty.

1151 Zachary J Bourdeau 9/1/2017 14:42

1152 Chris Sexton 9/1/2017 16:51 Highland's roads cannot handle another 4,000 families. This is an attempt to put 10 pounds of flour into a 5 pound bag.

1153 Sheryl Ginther 9/1/2017 20:28

Create an economic engine WITH urbanization plan. Steps 1. Declare Ford Site + Area C "parkland" 2. Buy property from Ford for \$1 plus development rights to 200+ acres associated with Highland National Golf Course 2. Shift urbanization/development to falling Highland National Golf Course (200+ acres adjacent to public transportation, aquatic and ice centers) 3. Create "MOST SCENIC URBAN GOLF, HEALTH/WELLNESS COMPLEX IN THE US". 4. Include a 5-Star Hotel/Events Center with upwards of 400 year-round jobs (Note: MSP have 15 5-Star Hotels ... only ONE is located in St. Paul). Annual revenue potential ~\$400M. 5. Relocated urbanization STILL gives City/County access to increased housing plus tax base.

1154 Mark Ginther	9/1/2017 20:29	
1155 Jennifer Smith	9/1/2017 22:10	Highland Park is already a city within a city...rethink the redevelopment!!!
1156 Ute Bertog	9/2/2017 0:43	
1157 Stefan Bertog	9/2/2017 0:44	
1158 Ronald Moser	9/2/2017 3:01	
1159 Dave Fox	9/2/2017 4:20	Delay vote until after the Mayoral race
1160 Colleen Neri	9/2/2017 13:10	I have lived and worked in Highland Park since 2001. Adding all these condos/apartments will suffocate this neighborhood with people and traffic. To be real Ford and Cleveland is already a very congested intersection...especially when Ford Parkway is always under construction.
1161 Francesco Marraffa	9/2/2017 14:44	
1162 Allie Gebauer	9/2/2017 15:00	Sustainable development with adequate infrastructure is needed. The Cleveland/Ford Parkway area is already congested - shame the area will be development without consideration for anyone or thing except \$\$\$ and incentives/backhanders.
	9/2/2017 15:00	As a former resident of Highland Park, a current resident of St Anthony Park, and hopefully a resident of St Paul for many years to come - I am signing this petition to support a re-evaluation of the current plans for the Ford site development. I am in favor of a safe, walkable neighborhood with green space and pedestrian/car free zones. I understand the benefits of density but I worry that this plan takes density to the extreme, advocates density for the sake of density alone. The current plan would seem to benefit the pockets of developers rather than the current and future long term residents of this neighborhood. Please reconsider the plan and focus on a wise development plan that will be enjoyed and sustained for the many years to come. Thank you.
1163 Elizabeth Erickson	9/2/2017 15:01	To many people here already we don't need anymore apartment's
1164 Scott Ginther	9/2/2017 17:28	
1165 Anne Dowdle	9/2/2017 17:39	As a citizen in the neighborhood, we request the Commission and Council to zone the Ford development for low density development only including green space and a limit on height of buildings to 4 stories.
1166 John Dowdle	9/2/2017 17:44	As a citizen and neighbor I request the Commission and Council to zone the Ford property for Low-Density development only including green space plus a limit of building height to 4 stories.
1167 Lynn Schulte	9/2/2017 18:44	Yes, really concerned about traffic, especially on the bridge to Minneapolis via Ford Pkwy./46th street. Thank you.
1168 Dale Thompson	9/2/2017 18:56	
1169 Tom Haas	9/2/2017 23:24	
1170 Richard Fahel	9/2/2017 23:57	
1171 Mathew Kroll	9/3/2017 4:26	
1172 Laura Ford	9/3/2017 4:32	It also seems like with this plan, our lovely Mississippi River would also likely face terrible pollution problems with the sheer number and density of people if this plan were to go through.
1173 Margaret Hughes	9/3/2017 12:41	
1174 Charlie Simmons	9/3/2017 13:54	
1175 Matt Barnes	9/3/2017 16:23	
1176 Hannah Lehman	9/3/2017 17:15	
1177 Catherine Carlson	9/3/2017 20:35	
1178 Teresa Fishel	9/3/2017 20:40	
1179 Brian Lynch	9/3/2017 22:29	
1180 James Larson	9/4/2017 17:32	
1181 James and Carol Rolland	9/4/2017 18:22	

1182 David Rudolph 9/4/2017 21:05 10000 people are not going to bike and bus. The downs that run this city have there snouts so deep in the trough they are clueless. They know best. Stadiums, parking meters and more bike lanes? Drive down to Cleveland and Ford Pky anytime of the day, it's a shit show. But not to worry with the 23% property tax increase, they will do even greater things with our money.

1183 Jonathan Brandt 9/4/2017 21:27

1184 John Goodman 9/4/2017 22:24 Ford said no single family housing-I say all single family housing or nothing.

1185 Michael Pulver 9/5/2017 1:12

1186 Donna Yarter 9/5/2017 2:53 This matters to ALL. Cities have got to listen to their people. Once it is built, it will not be taken down. Stand tall, people of Highland Park.

1187 Bill Grenke 9/5/2017 16:48 I could live with 1200 residential units

1188 Kevin Wler 9/5/2017 21:05 The current plan will ruin the current positive character of Highland Park which is why we moved here in the first place.

1189 colleen crenshaw 9/5/2017 22:37

1190 Marissa Dorsschner 9/6/2017 3:24

1191 Kim Maas 9/6/2017 16:26

1192 Bryce flores 9/6/2017 18:55 Ford plant already destroyed so much. Let's make it a better place

1193 Leslie Brandt 9/7/2017 1:42

1194 Mary Firestone 9/7/2017 13:29

1195 David Keihert 9/7/2017 13:55

1196 Denise Jones 9/7/2017 15:26

1197 Jeff Fuller 9/7/2017 17:14

1198 Chris Halder 9/7/2017 21:11 Need to rethink the population control, especially for an already busy and crowded area.

1199 Kristy Mach 9/8/2017 15:27

1200 Joan Stumpf 9/8/2017 18:37

1201 Donald Ernest Kist 9/8/2017 19:45

1202 John Goodman 9/8/2017 20:38 I agree we need more green space and less traffic. We don't need such tall buildings. It does not match the charm of the neighborhood. I do agree with a sustainable. The population expectations will not grow at a pace and we may have empty buildings. Have the neighbors had a real input? Sounds like the plan will go through. More green space along the river, maybe a dog park. I spent 17 years using the ball fields, and so did thousands of youth. It will no longer be Highland Village but Highland Money Maker for developers. WE NEED MORE GREEN SPACE! Especially along the river and between two large cities.

1203 Brian Monson 9/9/2017 1:00 Infrastructure is already limited in the area. You can maximize the density in the Ford development, but everyone around it will leave town.

1204 Kevin Weckwerth 9/9/2017 15:37

1205 Douglas Vittek 9/9/2017 16:22

1206 Cheri Howe 9/9/2017 18:56

1207 ME 9/9/2017 19:36 Your cause would be a lot more popular if you had an alternative to turning an ugly industrial plant into condos that will probably look nice. Also, maybe make an email account for your next cause.

1208 Michele Vaillancourt 9/9/2017 19:36

1209 Margaret Gebert 9/9/2017 20:43 I signed the petition and also donated \$10 and I have a lawn sign

1210 Bob Dickey 9/9/2017 20:51 By the time the city council is done with highland ward 3 will be so overpopulated you won't be able to move, not to mention crime, don't forget about the rail they want to mess with

1211 Jerry Helland 9/9/2017 21:19

1212	Jamie Lee Jacobsen	9/9/2017 22:30	Please rethink the current plan.
1213	Deniz Aslan	9/10/2017 2:31	
1214	Adina Cioc	9/10/2017 2:32	
1215	Larry Wolf	9/10/2017 15:39	I would like an independent company to audit the traffic projections that the city planners have come up with. I think the result will be that the city will need to rethink the plan.
1216	Garry Close	9/10/2017 17:45	
1217	Patricia McMeans	9/10/2017 18:24	Please delay the vote on the Ford Site Zoning Plan until the new St. Paul Mayor is sworn in January 2018. Thank you.
1218	Heldi Fuller	9/10/2017 19:08	
1219	Peter Engel	9/10/2017 19:25	
1220	Amy	9/10/2017 19:57	
1221	Robert Gilneburg	9/10/2017 21:48	
1222	Mary Gilneburg	9/10/2017 23:55	
1223	Sonita Sarker	9/11/2017 1:14	
1224	Malia Peng	9/11/2017 2:38	
1225	Chris Valentas	9/11/2017 14:44	This plan will destroy the River Road area and Cretin Avenue
1226	Susan OLeary	9/11/2017 14:49	
1227	Christa Treichel	9/11/2017 15:00	
1228	John T Price	9/11/2017 15:04	I want to vote against the High Density Development and keep this apt high rise out of MacGroveland! Please listen to your citizens!!
1229	Tom Wilkoiak	9/11/2017 15:18	
1230	Eunjln Saunders	9/11/2017 15:49	Please delay the vote! We do not want 10,000 more residents!
1231	John Lunde	9/11/2017 16:40	This is too much density for the streets we have feeding into this land.
1232	Paul Nelson	9/11/2017 17:47	The city has now abandoned Highland baseball and softball, after years of promising that ballfields would be part of any redevelopment plan. Shameful.
1233	John Ryan	9/11/2017 18:40	
1234	Sam Goblirsch	9/11/2017 18:52	
1235	Eric Jennrich	9/11/2017 19:27	
1236	Nichole Armstrong	9/11/2017 22:18	
1237	Elsa	9/11/2017 22:20	
1238	Stephanie Lindquist	9/12/2017 0:21	10 story buildings would change the character of Highland Park village, and a significant increase in green space, including areas for dogs, children and the significant increase in population, is essential. This is a once in a lifetime chance, and the decision shouldn't be based primarily on how much money developers will pay and future tax revenue! Highland Park has value and could expand its revenue as a place to visit - much like other modern neighborhoods have done, by expanding opportunities to local businesses and eateries.
1239	Anne Keenan	9/12/2017 0:23	
1240	Jolene	9/12/2017 0:35	
1241	Mary Beth Stupka	9/12/2017 0:52	
1242	Sarah Schwalbach	9/12/2017 2:02	I oppose the proposed plan for the Ford site. I ask the council to please reconsider the plan! It's not sustainable and the area simply can't support the number of people and vehicles this plan would bring into Highland Park. The side streets in residential areas will experience even heavy traffic patterns, making for unsafe neighborhoods for our families.

1243 Dana rose	9/12/2017 2:20	The density needs to be revisited. Green space along the gorge could be spectacular; rivaling the new downtown river corridor. People biking, walking, biking, running, dog walking, etc., are now using the boulevard on a daily basis plus many events are already using this road for races and events. How will the proposed plan be compatible? Will the boulevard be accessible to the public? The need for retail, restaurants, commercial businesses, office space , and green space needs to have a balance. Take a breath and think what could be.
1244 Teresa Guerin	9/12/2017 2:54	
1245 Emily Behrendt	9/12/2017 11:46	
1246 David J Beecham	9/12/2017 12:49	Needed: much more green space, ball fields etc. Not needed: more congestion.
1247 Alexander Behrendt	9/12/2017 13:16	
1248 Roger Traeger	9/12/2017 17:30	
1249 Wyatt Richards	9/12/2017 19:05	I need green space to kick it with the boys
1250 Heather Meldrum	9/12/2017 20:22	
1251 C Englund	9/12/2017 21:15	
1252 Jessica Marek	9/12/2017 21:48	
1253 Karen Willson	9/12/2017 23:57	I say "No" to the planned high-density development at the Ford site. Let's re-think our options.
1254 Kevin S	9/13/2017 3:24	
1255 Judy Hammond	9/13/2017 20:49	stop the high DENSITY !
1256 Timon Iverson	9/14/2017 1:01	
1257 Edna Scoville	9/14/2017 3:16	Excessive density will be the result of the current plan as is. Traffic is already heavy on our neighborhood streets. Green space must be a priority. Make use of the Ford power plant which uses hydropower.
1258 Emily Irwin	July Highland Fest Booth	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1259 Michael Irwin	July Highland Fest Booth	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1260 Greg Kleischka	July Highland Fest Booth	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1261 Alex Hartwell	July Highland Fest Booth	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1262 Maria Hartwell	July Highland Fest Booth	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1263 Mary Engleson	July Highland Fest Booth	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1264 Mary Louke	July Highland Fest Booth	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1265 Andy Engel	July Highland Fest Booth	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1266 Lacey Frigaard	July Highland Fest Booth	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1267 Cassie Hanson	July Highland Fest Booth	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1268 Dan Schriver	July Highland Fest Booth	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1269 Sam Schriver	July Highland Fest Booth	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1270 Adl Levlatan	July Highland Fest Booth	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1271 Steve Katzner	July Highland Fest Booth	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1272 Ben Uko	July Highland Fest Booth	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1273 Adam Jaskolski	July Highland Fest Booth	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1274 Sue Miller	July Highland Fest Booth	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1275 Linda Setterlund	July Highland Fest Booth	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1276 Claire Mathews-Lingen	July Highland Fest Booth	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1277 Zoe Redferr-Hall	July Highland Fest Booth	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1278 Roger Kline	July Highland Fest Booth	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1279 Jackie Peterson	July Highland Fest Booth	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1280 Scott Lynam	July Highland Fest Booth	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1281 Steve Freedberg	July Highland Fest Booth	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version

1282 Bob Center July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1283 Shane McCarron July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1284 Serenity Silvers July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1285 Hildi Hagedorn July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1286 F. Hagedorn (or T. Hagedorn) July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1287 Alicia Cook July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1288 Erin Horan July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1289 Maya Horwath July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1290 Mary H. Sullivan July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1291 Tom Moline July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1292 Dick Jacobsen July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1293 Jeff Larson July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1294 Ross Kelm July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1295 Debi Clapsaddle July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1296 Joan Eichhorst July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1297 Andy Pehler July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1298 Meghan Baldwin July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1299 Shan Sharif July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1300 Helen Sharif July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1301 Vicki Costillo July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1302 J. Moseley July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1303 Shanti Sctiawati July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1304 Mark Eckhoff July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1305 Will McNulty July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1306 Jenna McNulty July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1307 Adam Schletz July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1308 Tara Schletz July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1309 Sara Adams July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1310 Kurt Krey July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1311 M. Leher July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1312 James Neece (Felice? Melce?) July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1313 Linda Miller July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1314 Deanna Scher July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1315 Jeanne LeVasseur July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1316 Scott Dahlseid July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1317 Susan Sheppard July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1318 Alex Drake July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1319 Gloria Freeberg July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1320 Stephanle Regnier July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1321 Mark Regnier July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1322 Liz Sampair July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1323 Tom Funk July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1324 John Myers July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1325 Erica Hauptert July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version

1326 Jane Eccles July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1327 Darryl Heaps July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1328 Kyriana Heaps July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1329 Dan Stoll July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1330 Jean (Jeen) Stoll July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1331 Nathan DuCharme July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1332 Ashley DuCharme July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1333 Barbara J. Streifel July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1334 Andy Streifel July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1335 Nadine deMahsonn July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1336 Cheryl A. Olsen July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1337 Emily Nemecek July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1338 Sharleen Goldman July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1339 Charlie Weier July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1340 Nicole Kumerow July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1341 John Kumerow July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1342 Jim Biga July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1343 Jeff Biga July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1344 Leann Dobson July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1345 Edith Smith July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1346 Cheryl Jensen July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1347 Diane Dickey July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1348 Peter Probst July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1349 Amanda Bergman July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1350 Mary Alice Noel July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1351 Solveig Corbin July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1352 Craig Corbin July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1353 Kevin Noel July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1354 J. Oly July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1355 Madeline Frey July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1356 Kathleen Murphy July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1357 Melodie LaChapelle July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1358 Josie LaChapelle July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1359 John Clark July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1360 Margaret Snell July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1361 Patricia Snell July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1362 Patrice Loney July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1363 Daniel Murphy July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1364 Jennifer Merriam July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1365 Christine Ecker July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1366 Tory James July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1367 Lacey Rotler July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1368 Thomas Hubbard July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1369 Elena Turbenson July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version

1370 Ellen Mack July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1371 Roberta Thalhuber July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1372 R. Juenneman July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1373 Jason Lukas July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1374 M. J. Perrl(???) July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1375 Renee Perrone July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1376 Janet Youngman July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1377 Jim Fischer July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1378 Hannah France July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1379 Jane Kemp July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1380 Michael Whitehouse July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1381 Megan Moller July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1382 Kathryn Manney (?) July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1383 Kristine Evans July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1384 Helen Jacobse July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1385 Greg Nelson July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1386 Julianne Haahr July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1387 Deborah Vannelli July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1388 Tony Vannelli July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1389 Daniel Olson July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1390 Linda Passon-McNally July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1391 Robert Pilot July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1392 Wendy Pilot July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1393 Steve Wright July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1394 Sandra Hurtel July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1395 Larry Lark July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1396 Janet Lee July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1397 Walter Doehne July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1398 Richard Beck July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1399 Glenn Kinde July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1400 Jean Kinde July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1401 Cindy Neff July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1402 Jodi Gordon July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1403 Tom Winter July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1404 Linda Minter July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1405 Jeffrey Cronin July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1406 Nancy Cronin July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1407 Bob Friedman July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1408 Griffin Snow July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1409 Julie Daniels July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1410 Emily Fegan July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1411 Anne Egan July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1412 Danielle Jones Glaser July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1413 Leslie Washkowiak July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version

1414 Matthew Norby July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1415 Kiara Zeilkman July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1416 Eliza Norris-Holiday July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1417 Ann Barrett July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1418 Winston Kaehler July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1419 Jill Pinsen July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1420 Vanessa Pearson July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1421 Jill Abernth July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1422 Tina Park July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1423 Ben Harri July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1424 Dr. Gene Audette July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1425 Tom Quinn July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1426 Abby Turbenson July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1427 Mary Bildsoe July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1428 Kelly Johnson July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1429 Jeff Johnson July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1430 Erin Myers July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1431 Cynthia Miller (Shiller?) July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1432 Stephanie Anderson July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1433 Greg Anderson July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1434 Nancy Moeller July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1435 Chrts (?) Petersen July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1436 Sandra Smith Hammen July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1437 Tobbyn Scott July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1438 Timothy Scott July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1439 Mary Lutz July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1440 Benjamin Ball July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1441 Rachel Babcock July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1442 Brittany Neshelm July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1443 Anne Schroeder July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1444 Megan Hartmann July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1445 Matthew Schroeder July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1446 Ben Hartmann July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1447 Sherri Amsden July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1448 Brian Duschler July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1449 Mark Barry July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1450 Diane Augdahl July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1451 Rut Hormann July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1452 Jillian Ashbrenner July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1453 Sheila Hague July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1454 Jo Waage July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1455 Laura Hess July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1456 Barbara Horvath July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1457 Christine Fagerbl July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version

1458 Stephen S. Palmer (?) July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1459 Kathy Christense July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1460 Ron Christense July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1461 Tavin Audvist July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1462 Ruth Kline July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1463 Katie Bonus July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1464 Missy Archer July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1465 Wade Sheel July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1466 Camille Sheel July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1467 Lynnette Harten July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1468 Sheryl Harten July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1469 Lisa Kemp July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1470 Patricia Burger July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1471 Cyndy Key July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1472 Charles Suttmar July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1473 Dylan Zavoral July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1474 Francois Antrop-Colon July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1475 J. Morneau July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1476 Malkharnh King July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1477 Gillian Cermak July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1478 G. Mrosla July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1479 Robert and Barb Wolff July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1480 John Kennelly July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1481 Danielle Lehman July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1482 Alee Johnson July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1483 David Harris July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1484 Tom Black July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1485 Sara Crane July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1486 Oliver Crane July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1487 Susan McDaniel July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1488 Mary Jordheim July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1489 Barbara Wolf July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1490 S. F. Willey July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1491 Brenda Dickhansen July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1492 David McKoskey July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1493 Annette Cunningham July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1494 Kayla Cunningham July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1495 Christie Patlan July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1496 Joe Skinner July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1497 Carolyn Lapenotiere July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1498 Kevin Neubauer July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1499 Korey Miller July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1500 Ari Huynh July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1501 Tim Eaton July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version

1502 Sandy Eaton July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1503 Scharlesmann Klapste July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1504 Jason Klapste July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1505 Brad Weislow July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1506 Ann Dvorak July Highland Fest Booth Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1507 Leslie Johnson Adds after Ogaras 8/24 Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1508 Denny Dickhausen Adds after Ogaras 8/24 Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1509 Denise Ciola Adds after Ogaras 8/24 Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1510 Mary Nichols Adds after Ogaras 8/24 Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1511 Phil Corhan Adds after Ogaras 8/24 Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1512 Roger Johnson Adds after Ogaras 8/24 Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1513 Carrie Bithner Adds after Ogaras 8/24 Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1514 Jerry Brennan Adds after Ogaras 8/24 Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1515 Eric Amann Adds after Ogaras 8/24 Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1516 Peter Polga Adds after Ogaras 8/24 Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1517 Linda Hoaglund Adds after Ogaras 8/24 Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1518 Suren Mahowald Adds after Ogaras 8/24 Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1519 Margret Hubner Adds after Ogaras 8/24 Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1520 Jay Hubner Adds after Ogaras 8/24 Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1521 Jim Winterer Adds after Ogaras 8/24 Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1522 Lisa Ethes Adds after Ogaras 8/24 Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1523 Pat Drew Adds after Ogaras 8/24 Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1524 Natalie Shbeshher Adds after Ogaras 8/24 Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1525 Barry Randall Adds after Ogaras 8/24 Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1526 Matt Meyer Adds after Ogaras 8/24 Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1527 Molly Barrett Adds after Ogaras 8/24 Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1528 Thomas Witkansey Adds after Ogaras 8/24 Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1529 Sandra Erikson Adds after Ogaras 8/24 Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1530 Phil Erickson Adds after Ogaras 8/24 Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1531 Barbara Maloney Adds after Ogaras 8/24 Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1532 Tom Audette Adds after Ogaras 8/24 Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1533 Michele Dumond Adds after Ogaras 8/24 Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1534 Henry Waldonberger Adds after Ogaras 8/24 Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1535 Rick Dagenais Adds after Ogaras 8/24 Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1536 Jenny Vinck Adds after Ogaras 8/24 Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1537 Tom Vinck Adds after Ogaras 8/24 Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1538 Matt Walsh Adds after Ogaras 8/24 Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1539 Holly Farrell Adds after Ogaras 8/24 Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1540 Becky Hutchinson Adds after Ogaras 8/24 Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1541 Allison Knapp Adds after Ogaras 8/24 Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1542 Barbara Ming Adds after Ogaras 8/24 Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1543 Lucy Sullivan Adds after Ogaras 8/24 Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1544 Tom Sullivan Adds after Ogaras 8/24 Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1545 Peter Greenheck Adds after Ogaras 8/24 Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version

1546 Dan Gannon	Adds after Ogaras 8/24	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1547 Michael Skillrud	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1548 A A	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1549 A Fistler	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1550 A Burda	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1551 A Ball	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1552 A Brant	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1553 Bobby We	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1554 b Ddmosz	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1555 B pannely	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1556 Brian D	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1557 C Pete	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1558 Char Cahmason	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1559 Christy h	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1560 Clann	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1561 D Beal	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1562 Deb Boatwright	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1563 D Lund	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1564 ds Lee	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1565 Ellis Rausch	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1566 Eirini Hall	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1567 G Mischke	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1568 G Bond	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1569 Grant Hoglund	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1570 Leah Hedman	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1571 J Cech	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1572 J Berg	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1573 Jody Hartz	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1574 J Willard	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1575 K Schubert	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1576 Kory fornage	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1577 L Bantle	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1578 L fleur	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1579 Maitland	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1580 Mary C	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1581 Mary Scott	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1582 mc Tracy	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1583 Michelle Doyle	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1584 Mingo Benjamin	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1585 M Klein	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1586 M Bayck	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1587 Parilla Jean	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1588 Paula Mensa	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1589 P McGuire	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version

1590 Renee Savage First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1591 R Skinner First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1592 Stacy mespo First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1593 S thode First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1594 T marchio First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1595 loewens First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1596 T pryantj First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1597 Velomac First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1598 Virginia Ryan First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1599 Randi Mack First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1600 Dawn Amprey First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1601 Tom Rebuke First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1602 Julia Hensley First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1603 Amy Petrex First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1604 Sandra McCormick First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1605 Joe Posits First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1606 Eric Fredrickson First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1607 Vanessa East First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1608 Dylan Eberts First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1609 Joe McGuire First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1610 Keke Sampson First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1611 Eshma Mau First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1612 CR Seitziv First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1613 Jody & Vinny DeVito First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1614 Jessy Robinson First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1615 Rhea Hague First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1616 Mary Scribhal First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1617 Jane Vogel First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1618 Katelyn Barr First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1619 Dan Santos First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1620 Mathew Lal First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1621 Mike Mankanski First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1622 John Kave First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1623 Jean Berg First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1624 Samantha Throne First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1625 Richard Lynch First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1626 Maureen Fries First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1627 Tom Fries First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1628 Lynn Hardwick First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1629 Keisha Burns First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1630 E Theo First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1631 Michelle Moyek First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1632 Pamela Harris First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1633 Jane Mulrkey First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version

1634 Monica Irvins First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1635 John Affotter First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1636 Alisa Whiteman First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1637 Peter Brocken First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1638 Laird Manikonowski First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1639 Katlin Schobert First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1640 Erin Hewlett First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1641 Maggie Schlitz First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1642 Philipp Graf First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1643 Marlene Rossford First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1644 John Portland First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1645 Georgia Bond First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1646 Chris Farmer First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1647 Ned Vernon First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1648 Erin Hall First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1649 Emt Skinner First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1650 Kathy Willis First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1651 Jessica Willard First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1652 Chris Marsh First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1653 Paula Wessa First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1654 Richard Skinner First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1655 Kathleen Skinner First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1656 Wilber Amrethin First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1657 Tom Barry First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1658 Mindy Oster First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1659 Fritz Chinsing First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1660 John Miller First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1661 Jeff Kim First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1662 Kate Turner First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1663 Lisa Metzbower First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1664 Lindsey Hackett First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1665 Brian Hackett First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1666 Dylan Sach First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1667 Connie Scraton First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1668 Erin Sandborn First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1669 Phil Rudd First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1670 Jacqueline Man First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1671 Kieran Mount First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1672 John Rlemee First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1673 Bill Alberts First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1674 Chrs Alberts First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1675 Amy Fister First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1676 Kenneth Blcke First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1677 Brian Mahoney First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version

1678 Patricia Conley	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1679 Jim Berg	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1680 Lauren St Flyen	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1681 Jenna St Flyen	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1682 Mary Ann Nash	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1683 Frank Trow Jr	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1684 Mary Borbrom	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1685 Carl Borbrom	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1686 Sarah Smitt	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1687 Michael Norskey	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1688 Michael Heilsman	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1689 Mike Norl	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1690 Andrea Borgman	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1691 Christi Beck	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1692 B Cederberg	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1693 C Train	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1694 A Brohoff	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1695 K Pelatt	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1696 Ken Marsh	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1697 Janet Higgins	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1698 Lorie Marsh	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1699 Krista Scriber	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1700 Lorraine Wells	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1701 Audrey Binjamin	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1702 Paul Fadden	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1703 Das Crib	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1704 Kim Gurke	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1705 Mary Lenord	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1706 Ann Perry	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1707 Anne Parker	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1708 Carly Milja	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1709 Joe Nemo	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1710 Brooke Newel	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1711 Lorle McCord	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1712 Terese Bordeam	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1713 Steve Bordeam	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1714 Audrey Brandt	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1715 Mark Brandt	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1716 Diane Ebert	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1717 Michaele Klein	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1718 Michael Allman	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1719 Ella Thayer	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1720 Elisabeth Miller	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1721 Andrew Miller	First set of hand-signed	Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version

1722 Mary Hess First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1723 Kevin Macon First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1724 Jim Fitzgerald First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1725 Julie Newton First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1726 J Bernice First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1727 John Hartlow First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1728 S Settz First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1729 Rochelle Allison First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1730 Meg Oxford First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1731 Jen Ceaser First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1732 Dave Wright First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1733 Jim Ryan First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1734 Greg Olson First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1735 E Kim First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1736 Ellen Kim First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1737 Bill May First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1738 Ruth Mickelson First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1739 Andrea Perzichilli First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1740 Kristen Attincsh First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1741 Jeff Par First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1742 Elica Dao First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1743 Dave Olsen First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1744 Mark Saltzman First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1745 Barb Saltzman First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1746 Nick Kunes First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1747 Bryan Koch First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1748 Carrie Shaw First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1749 Joseph Mild First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1750 Richard Foley First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1751 Kris Young First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1752 Jason Craig First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1753 John Hackett First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1754 Nancy Atwater First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1755 Pat Lovelette First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1756 Liza Nagle First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1757 Pamela Smyth First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1758 Tom Kramer First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1759 Debra Slee First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1760 Sue Forbes First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1761 Jean Parilla First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1762 Peter Butler First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1763 Roseanne Kassetxt First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1764 Kim Loewen First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1765 Andrew Burda First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version

1766 Bob Willson First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1767 Mollie Moore First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1768 Dan Betterburg First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1769 James Casper First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1770 Ann Brombach First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1771 Steve Altier First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1772 Katie Altier First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1773 Laura Freeman First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1774 Mike On Bayard First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1775 Lisa Ishag First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1776 Kevin Spreng First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1777 Thomas Marchio First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1778 Anthony Hensler First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1779 Christy Hensler First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1780 Donna Forbes First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1781 Jeff Bendee First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1782 Tom Hutchinson First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1783 Trudy Phillipon First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1784 Scott Oisen First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1785 Sheila Conroy First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1786 Joe Spitz First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1787 Josie Dean First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1788 Shanna Crosby First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1789 G Vang First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1790 Greg Trentman First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1791 Eric Yang First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1792 Steven Yang First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1793 Michael Schuman First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1794 John Pitera First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1795 Katharine Paterson First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1796 Will Hanes First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1797 Breanna Young First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1798 Julian W First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1799 David B First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1800 Chuck ? First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1801 Elizabeth Harrington First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1802 Bruce Harrington First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1803 Peter K First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1804 Emma Heaton First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1805 Mckenzie Weber First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1806 Shelle S First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1807 Steve Commers First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1808 Casey C First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
1809 RT First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version

1810 G. Murphy First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1811 Toni R First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1812 Bille P First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1813 Ben E First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1814 Mathew Clark First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1815 Dave Lund First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1816 Jimmy Tandberg First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1817 Richard I First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1818 Gary Fischbach First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1819 Kelly Harmon Schmitt First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1820 Chloa Hathaway First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1821 Kevin Lehan First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1822 Kathy McGuire First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1823 Erich Mische First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1824 Russ & Nancy Nelson First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1825 Renete Sharp First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1826 Kristin Young First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1827 A. Brill First set of hand-signed Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1828 Allison Midden Latest adds after door hangers Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1829 Andrew Percic Latest adds after door hangers Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1830 Andrew Smith Latest adds after door hangers Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1831 Andy Doyle Latest adds after door hangers Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1832 Barb Fleig Latest adds after door hangers Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1833 Barbara Pogoler Latest adds after door hangers Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1834 Bob and Pam Keller Latest adds after door hangers Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1835 Bob Warner Latest adds after door hangers Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1836 Bryan Johnson Latest adds after door hangers Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1837 Connie Scanlon Latest adds after door hangers Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1838 D. Patterson Latest adds after door hangers Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1839 David Vidmer Latest adds after door hangers Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1840 David Wright Latest adds after door hangers Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1841 Debbie Givot Latest adds after door hangers Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1842 Dee Stoesz Latest adds after door hangers Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1843 Diane Ploetz Latest adds after door hangers Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1844 e kubesh Latest adds after door hangers Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1845 Eric Skonnord Latest adds after door hangers Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1846 Fstler Latest adds after door hangers Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1847 Fran Ring Latest adds after door hangers Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1848 Gall Holcomb Latest adds after door hangers Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1849 Gayle Brutzman Latest adds after door hangers Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1850 Gus Bjorkland Latest adds after door hangers Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1851 Hannah J. Folders Latest adds after door hangers Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1852 Harold Samtur Latest adds after door hangers Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version
 1853 Hayley Howe Latest adds after door hangers Hand-signed form - refer to scanned version

1854 James John Latest adds after door hangers
 1855 James M. Larson Latest adds after door hangers
 1856 Jan Godes Latest adds after door hangers
 1857 Janet Herandi Latest adds after door hangers
 1858 Jannet Oliver Latest adds after door hangers
 1859 Jim Miller Latest adds after door hangers
 1860 John and Anne Dowdle Latest adds after door hangers
 1861 John Bradford Latest adds after door hangers
 1862 John Price Latest adds after door hangers
 1863 John Sturm Latest adds after door hangers
 1864 Jon Kircher Latest adds after door hangers
 1865 Katherine Manning Latest adds after door hangers
 1866 Keith Willards Latest adds after door hangers
 1867 Ken Backe Latest adds after door hangers
 1868 Kristin Helmets Latest adds after door hangers
 1869 Laura Arellono Latest adds after door hangers
 1870 Lella Peterson Latest adds after door hangers
 1871 Linda & Bob Moeller Latest adds after door hangers
 1872 Linnea Schilling Latest adds after door hangers
 1873 Lydie Stassart Latest adds after door hangers
 1874 Marco Guerrero Latest adds after door hangers
 1875 Margy Peterson Latest adds after door hangers
 1876 Mark Hanson Latest adds after door hangers
 1877 Mark Helgeson Latest adds after door hangers
 1878 Michelle Vaillancourt Latest adds after door hangers
 1879 Mike Dean Latest adds after door hangers
 1880 Nacy Galligan Latest adds after door hangers
 1881 Nancy Haas Latest adds after door hangers
 1882 Nelsie Yang Latest adds after door hangers
 1883 Patty McMeans Latest adds after door hangers
 1884 Rhonda Sorage Latest adds after door hangers
 1885 Rosemary Maun Latest adds after door hangers
 1886 S. L. Hubbard Latest adds after door hangers
 1887 Scott Potler Latest adds after door hangers
 1888 Sean and Kristine toomey Latest adds after door hangers
 1889 Shawn Hansen Latest adds after door hangers
 1890 Stacy Von Wald Latest adds after door hangers
 1891 Stephen and Karen Sugarman Latest adds after door hangers
 1892 Tamara Feinwachs Latest adds after door hangers
 1893 Terri Fishel Latest adds after door hangers
 1894 Truman and Noelyn Porter Latest adds after door hangers