

From: [Salina Amey](#)
To: [*CI-StPaul_LegislativeHearings](#)
Cc: [#CI-StPaul_Ward7](#); [*CI-StPaul_CC-Ward6](#); [#CI-StPaul_Ward5](#); [#CI-StPaul_Ward4](#); [#CI-StPaul_Ward3](#); [#CI-StPaul_Ward2](#); [#CI-StPaul_Ward1](#); [Erick Kaardel](#); [Martin, Lisa \(CI-StPaul\)](#); [Hesse, Daniel \(CI-StPaul\)](#); [Ekobena, Richard \(CI-StPaul\)](#); [Miller, Jeremy \(CI-StPaul\)](#); [Zimny, Joanna \(CI-StPaul\)](#); [Tierney, Rachel \(CI-StPaul\)](#); [Upper Midwest Law Center](#); [Harrington, Stephanie \(CI-StPaul\)](#); [Jeff Meitrodt](#); [Albornoz, Carlos \(CI-StPaul\)](#); [Her, Kaohly \(CI-StPaul\)](#); [Xiong, ThaoMee \(CI-StPaul\)](#); [Erick Kaardel](#); [Scott McKown](#); [Salina Amey](#)
Subject: Appeal of Condemnation and Order to Vacate RLH VO 21-34 (forwarded email to DSI dated 10-17-2012)
Date: Sunday, August 29, 2021 6:23:21 PM

Think Before You Click: This email originated **outside** our organization.

Dear Legislative Hearing Staff, City Council Members, and all others,

Please consider this forwarded email in my appeal of the decision of Marcia Moermond to recommend the condemnation of my home and the retroactive order to vacate my home by Friday, August 27, 2021. It is evidence of reprisal directed at me by DSI employees dating back to 2011.

Sincerely,

Salina Amey

From: Salina Amey <salina_amey@hotmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 17, 2012 7:35 AM
To: Dave Tank <david.tank@ci.stpaul.mn.us>
Cc: Steve Magner <steve.magner@ci.stpaul.mn.us>; Steve Ubl <stephen.ubl@ci.stpaul.mn.us>; Steve Schiller <steve.schiller@ci.stpaul.mn.us>; Greg Schroeder <greg.schroeder@ci.stpaul.mn.us>; Jim Bloom <jim.bloom@ci.stpaul.mn.us>; Patricia Lindgren <patricia.lindgren@ci.stpaul.mn.us>; Elena Gaarder <elena@wsco.org>; watchdog@pioneerpress.com <watchdog@pioneerpress.com>; fox9news@foxtv.com <fox9news@foxtv.com>
Subject: Re: 279 Curtice St E Fence Permit

Hi Dave,

You claim that Ben was issued a "temporary construction fence" permit. However, in all my discussions with employees of your department I was told otherwise. You told me that I had a right to receive a copy of the approved plan for the fence, and yet my email request for this has been ignored. I would like a copy of the plans that Ben submitted to your department which were approved so that he was granted a permit to built the fence that he built.

You claim that the permit is for a "temporary" fence. I ask that you provide me with information as to the rules for the building of temporary fences, and what you mean by a "construction" fence. Ben does not have any "construction" projects, so why would he be requiring or entitled to a "construction" fence? I ask that you provide me with an explanation.

Dave, you claim that you transferred the dimensions of a pin found in the front yard to the back yard, and that you transferred the dimensions to the back yard. This is not what happened.

On July 27th I was present for a meeting with you and Ben, per your request. At that meeting, you asked me where I was comfortable having a fence be constructed. I pointed out that the chain link fence separating my front yard from Ben's front yard was in the proper place. You agreed with me. The footing of that fence is next to the property pin installed by the utility company.

After agreeing with me that the chain link fence was in the proper place, you informed Ben that he could replace that chain link fence with a new one in exactly the same place.

Next you took a tape measure, and in a series of steps measured from the center of Woodbury Avenue to that survey pin. This required a series of steps because your tape measure was short. You told Ben to mark the spots where it ended, and then you would move the tape measure further along. You came up with some kind of number and then proceeded to move to the alley, and repeated the series of tape measurements with Ben as your assistant, culminating in your setting two little pebbles of about 3/4" each into the alley. You then declared to Ben that he could string a high tension line between the survey pin in the front yard to the pebbles you laid in the alley, and once he had done so you would come back and inspect the line. You said that Ben would be permitted to build a fence if and only if you approved the high tension line between these two points.

Ben Brown never put up such a line. Ben Brown put up a series of stakes and connect them with a white string. That string is still present. Anyone can see that that the line is crooked and it is easy to take a tape measure and see that the line is at a diagonal between our properties. This is easily seen because there are just a few feet distance between our houses.

Dave, why did you approve the zig zagging string line, despite the fact that it did not meet your stated requirements?

Dave, why was a fence permit issued when it involved changing a fence line that existed for decades?

Dave, why was Ben not required to pay to have a survey conducted in order to change the property boundaries?

Dave, why did you ignore all my requests to have the first orange plastic fence that was constructed on my property removed? And why would you then grant a permit to put up another one in it's place?

Dave, why have all my requests to have the trash removed been ignored?

Dave, how would you feel if you were being harassed as I am being harassed, and if your efforts to have your rights respected were being ignored by paid city employees who are mandated to do their jobs?

Dave, the orange plastic fence and piles of trash ought to be removed. You are in a position to order this and it is appropriate for you to do so.

I am asking that you use your authority to order the removal of the unsightly orange fence and all the trash. Thank you for finally doing the right thing, and doing so promptly.

Salina Amey

From: david.tank@ci.stpaul.mn.us
To: salina_amey@hotmail.com
CC: steve.magner@ci.stpaul.mn.us; stephen.ubl@ci.stpaul.mn.us; steve.schiller@ci.stpaul.mn.us;
greg.schroeder@ci.stpaul.mn.us; jim.bloom@ci.stpaul.mn.us; patricia.lindgren@ci.stpaul.mn.us;
elena@wsco.org
Subject: RE: Photo of Fence
Date: Fri, 12 Oct 2012 14:39:04 +0000

Salina,

Mr. Brown was issued a permit for a temporary construction fence on June 25, 2012. Once the fence is installed, he has 180 days before it must either be removed or replaced with permanent fence.

On July 27, 2012 I met with Mr. Brown to establish where the property line is. You were on site as well. There was a property pin found in the front yard, and we transferred the dimensions to the rear property. Mr. Brown was instructed to string a line between the two points which he did and I inspected on August 24 2012.

On October 9, 2012, I observed that the temporary fence appears to be installed. I will send Mr. Brown a letter reminding him that on April 9, 2013, the orange construction fence must be removed. If he intends to install a permanent fence, he will need to obtain a new permit.

David Tank

Building Inspector
City of St. Paul
Department of Safety and Inspections
375 Jackson St. Suite 220
St. Paul, MN 55101-1806
Office: 651-266-9020
Fax: 651-266-9099
david.tank@ci.stpaul.mn.us

From: Salina Amey [mailto:salina_amey@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, October 08, 2012 9:47 PM
To: Tank, David (CI-StPaul)
Cc: Magner, Steve (CI-StPaul); Ubl, Stephen (CI-StPaul); Schiller, Steve (CI-StPaul); Schroeder, Greg (CI-StPaul); Bloom, Jim (CI-StPaul); Lindgren, Patricia (CI-StPaul); Elena Gaarder
Subject: Photo of Fence

Hi Dave,

Attached is a photo of the fence. It would please me for it to be ordered to be taken down.

Thanks,

Salina Amey
283 Curtice St E.
St Paul, MN 55107
651-310-0923