

## Moermond, Marcia (CI-StPaul)

---

**From:** Martin, Lisa (CI-StPaul)  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 29, 2018 7:05 AM  
**To:** Moermond, Marcia (CI-StPaul)  
**Cc:** Skarda, Therese (CI-StPaul)  
**Subject:** FW: Update on 1270 Cleveland

**Importance:** High

This is in regards to an upcoming appeal. FYI

-----Original Message-----

From: Krenik, John (DOC) [mailto:john.krenik@state.mn.us]  
Sent: Wednesday, March 28, 2018 11:51 AM  
To: Martin, Lisa (CI-StPaul) <lisa.martin@ci.stpaul.mn.us>; Magner, Steve (CI-StPaul) <steve.magner@ci.stpaul.mn.us>  
Cc: Bistodeau, Travis (CI-StPaul) <Travis.Bistodeau@ci.stpaul.mn.us>  
Subject: RE: Update on 1270 Cleveland  
Importance: High

To Whom it May Concern,

ALL other issues in your "Corrective Orders" have been taken care of, like car and truck that were parked on the alley side (grass). I couldn't park them on the city street due to the poor snow plowing job and the cars would be in the road right of way. In the past DSI Inspectors Weisman, Schiller, St. Martin stated this would be okay during the winter plowing season.

Please note: During the Halloween blizzard the City of St. Paul was in the process of redoing Field Avenue (Between Murray and Prior Avenue South) and without my permission the City of St. Paul came onto my property and plowed a roadway my property and allowed the residents of Field Avenue to use my property for access to their property without my permission due to the road being ripped up on Field Avenue. In addition, the City of St. Paul NEVER repaired the damage that they caused. I believe Jay Palda and Sons was the contractor and he referred me to the City of St. Paul for damages, but no response was made by the City of St. Paul. City trucks with the city logo on them were observed plowing this roadway without my permission.

The other issue was updating the tabs on my 2002 Buick and that was also done and the license is compliant. My son will be taking this car when he returns from his internship in Wisconsin (Milwaukee).

Thanks,

John Krenik  
(651) 699-6555

---

From: Krenik, John (DOC)  
Sent: Wednesday, March 28, 2018 11:26 AM  
To: Martin, Lisa (CI-StPaul); steve.magner@ci.stpaul.mn.us  
Cc: Travis.bistodeau@ci.stpaul.mn.us  
Subject: RE: Update on 1270 Cleveland

Mr. Magner, Ms. Martin and Mr. Bistodeau,

I was only seeking direction, as to comply with Ms. Martin's "Corrective Order" and follow the rulings by the Minnesota Supreme Court and the Minnesota Court of Appeals. Through my past three emails (below) I have asked if following the directives of the Minnesota Supreme Court Coverage to add a "blind screen" on all of the visible sides (public view) of the cars will satisfy the City of St. Paul requirements in this situation and to comply with State Law? As I have indicated below I would be happy to comply with the Minnesota Supreme Court directive (blind screening), but I simply needed the okay from the City of St. Paul before I went ahead with this costly expense.

My primary Question is and is still unanswered: Would a blind screening, 6 foot in height on the three sides (North, South and West) and the garage on the East side be sufficient in coming into compliance with the Minnesota Supreme Court screening directives "Corrective Order?"

The parking pad where the cars are currently parked on is the one that DSI Supervisor Steve Magner directed me to put in (letter from the City of St. Paul)? The old approved parking pad site that was used for over 13 years was deemed unacceptable by DSI inspector Joel Essling so the new site was approved by the City of St. Paul at a cost of over \$5,000.00. I have the documentation of both of these parking pad site approvals! I sure hope the actions that have been taken by Mr. Essling and Mr. Ross were not in relation to my complaint of Mr. Essling's inappropriate behavior that I had reported to DSI about Mr. Essling's treatment of DSI inspector Steve Schiller?

The tarp issue with this new 6 foot removable blind screening, that will totally conceal the cars, as ordered by the Minnesota Supreme Court is needed to protect the cars. These tarps in the past were required by DSI Steve Schiller, DSI Supervisor Mr. St. Martin and DSI Inspector Weisman.

Car license: Both the Minnesota Appeals Court and the Minnesota Supreme Court along with past DSI Supervisors have indicated that the proper license plates for these cars being over 20 years old were the Minnesota Collector License plates. I am unsure why the requirement to change the collector license plates to regular license plates on these cars when I am already in compliance with state law?

The only remedy with my collector cars has been the total removal of these cars at the insistence of a neighbor who has harassed me for a long time because I developed the property and she can't dump her yard waste on my property anymore (Ms. Sherman). This winter she has called the City of St. Paul over eight times about snow on my sidewalk when I am the first one in my block to clear the snow. I also received two snow letters from the City of St. Paul also.

I only ask to be treated fairly and equally under the law.

When this car issue started, I asked numerous times (emails below) what would be acceptable from the City of St. Paul to come into compliance with what the Minnesota Supreme Court ruling about a "Blind Screening" to block "Public View" of these cars. I had proposed a possible solution (6 foot high blind screening) and I have asked if this was acceptable and I was told, "I am not able to negotiate the terms of the order." Not trying to negotiate, but trying to get clarification! I was simple asking about a solution (blind screening) and if it was acceptable before I went to great expense to follow the Minnesota Supreme Court ruling and trying to avoid being told later by the City of St. Paul that it was unacceptable. I am just trying to follow state law and also trying to appease the City of St. Paul and be in compliance with my collector cars and was looking for "direction" so we can close this out once and for all!

Mr. Bistodeau DSI Inspector Ms. Martin has been very good and I wish we had more city employees like her. As I have stated to several city council members and to Mr. Bistodeau St. Paul is lucky to have Ms. Martin and St. Paul is a much better place due to her positive attitude and people skills! My only wish was that there were more individuals like Ms. Martin working for the City of St. Paul.

If it is possible that we could all meet and discuss the possible solution to this situation, so we all are on the same page, I would be willing to do this. As I have stated from the start I want to work with the City of St. Paul, but I also want to be treated fairly.

Thanks you for your time in this matter!

John Krenik  
(651) 699-6555

---

From: Krenik, John (DOC)  
Sent: Tuesday, March 27, 2018 9:02 AM  
To: Martin, Lisa (CI-StPaul)  
Cc: Magner, Steve (CI-StPaul)  
Subject: RE: Update on 1270 Cleveland

Hi,

I was just trying to get clarification from you in regards to the screening the Minnesota Supreme Court talked about. From the start of this process the issue of "screening" has been the core issue in this matter. From the start of this process I have wanted to have a dialog with you to see what is required by you that is acceptable and to forge ahead with the needed process to get this done once and for all.

From the start of this process with Mr. Ross, the only option has been to just remove the cars regardless of the agreement that we had come to with Mr. Magner, Ms. Montgomery, Mr. Essling (letter from the City of St. Paul) documenting the process that were needed at the start of this process only for it to be voided by Mr. Ross.

I have been very willing to work with the City of St. Paul and you, with previous agreements from Steve Magner (documented) and the City of St. Paul (new parking pad location) to locate these cars where they are. I do have the letter from the City of St. Paul approving this site for these cars and the parking pad was inspected by the City of St. Paul and approved as outlined in the Letter from the City of St. Paul. The only issue is the acceptable screening and that was what I have tried to get from the City of St. Paul from the beginning. Hence my email to you outlining the screening proposed for the City of St. Paul, as this was the issue of the cars being totally concealed (Mr. Ross' interpretation of state law).

The parking pad was approved by Mr. Magner and other city officials (Letter from the City of St. Paul).  
The cars are properly licensed by the State of Minnesota.

All I was trying to get from you is if the removable screening (5 to 6 feet tall) on the three sides of the cars would be acceptable, as it would address Mr. Ross' concerns of total concealment of the cars.

If it is possible to have some sort of dialog with Mr. Magner and you as to the acceptable screening this would be very helpful to resolve this once and for all. There has been some miscommunication and I would like a chance to resolve this.

I appreciate your help in this matter, as you have been very fair and very good to work with. I greatly appreciated your good communication! I only wish there were more like you in our city, as you represent what is good about the employees in the City of St. Paul.

Thank you for your time in this matter.

John Krenik

---

From: Martin, Lisa (CI-StPaul) [lisa.martin@ci.stpaul.mn.us]  
Sent: Tuesday, March 27, 2018 7:54 AM

To: Krenik, John (DOC)  
Cc: Magner, Steve (CI-StPaul)  
Subject: RE: Update on 1270 Cleveland

John,

The Correction Order was specific and the deadline to comply is 3/29/18. I am not able to negotiate the terms of the order, however, you may appeal by contacting the City Clerk at 651-266-8585.

Thanks!!

-----Original Message-----

From: Krenik, John (DOC) [mailto:john.krenik@state.mn.us]  
Sent: Monday, March 26, 2018 3:25 PM  
To: Martin, Lisa (CI-StPaul) <lisa.martin@ci.stpaul.mn.us>  
Subject: RE: Update on 1270 Cleveland  
Importance: High

Hi Ms. Martin,

I had sent this to you last week and you may not have seen, so I am sending it again, as a proposal. I want to continue to work with you.

I am proposing the following to get this closed out ASAP and also be in accordance with the Minnesota Supreme Court ruling on my case.

1. A removable screen about 6 to 5 feet tall placed around three sides of the cars to "conceal" the cars on the visible sides (public view). This would also conceal the whole car roof tops and all. This screen that is removable so to have access to the cars in accordance to Minnesota State law concerning car storage. This would take care of the Minnesota Supreme Court and also DSI Inspector John Ross' interpretation of state law.
2. The collector cars are parked on the parking pad, as directed by the City of St. Paul and approved by the city. I can provide the letter from the city if needed dedicating this particular location as the approved parking site pad for these collector cars. This parking pad site was approved by Steve Magner when he, Mary Montgomery (zoning) and Mr. Essling visited my property and together we developed the plan for parking these collector cars on my property (parking pad) at a cost of over \$5,000.00. I have the letter if you need to review it from the City of St. Paul. This was due to the my old parking pad site that the city had approved and used for thirteen years was deemed unacceptable by DSI supervisor Joe Essling.
3. The licensing of the cars is correct, as the "collector plates," as outlined by state law for vehicles 20 years or older (1973 and 1976), is the proper licensing of these vehicles. This was universally agreed upon by all concerned (St. Paul hearing officer, Minnesota Appellate Court and the Minnesota Supreme Court. The cars are properly licensed according to state law, as collector cars.
4. The cars are covered by a car cover to protect them from the weather. I would like to continue to cover them, as to protect them and these car covers would not be visible to the public, due to the new screening.
5. I will update the car license for the silver 2002 Buick that had expired tabs that were due in February 2018. BTW - My son will be taking this car in June, as his internship will be done. He is at the U of W (Stout) and is currently in Milwaukee, WI (packaging engineering).

6. The other car that is parked by the alley side (grass) is being moved on Wednesday evening. My friend wanted this car, so I gave it to him so there is peace out there. I am tired of all of the calls. As I have said before you have been very nice and I sincerely appreciate all you have done.

If the above is acceptable I can have this work done once and for all and if given a date I could meet with you to go over a final inspection.

My wife and I are very tired of all of the calls to the city and just want this done. The snow letters were probably the last straw, as why live in an area where you are not wanted.

Please let me know.

Thanks,

John Krenik

---

From: Krenik, John (DOC)  
Sent: Tuesday, March 20, 2018 12:00 PM  
To: Martin, Lisa (CI-StPaul)  
Subject: RE: Update on 1270 Cleveland

Hi,

Sorry to bother you again. I am proposing the following to get this closed out ASAP and also be in accordance with the Minnesota Supreme Court ruling on my case.

1. A removable screen about 6 to 5 feet tall placed around three sides of the cars to "conceal" the cars on the visible sides (public view). This would also conceal the whole car roof tops and all. This screen that is removable so to have access to the cars in accordance to Minnesota State law concerning car storage. This would take care of the Minnesota Supreme Court and also DSI Inspector John Ross' interpretation of state law.
2. The collector cars are parked on the parking pad, as directed by the City of St. Paul and approved by the city. I can provide the letter from the city if needed dedicating this particular location as the approved parking site pad for these collector cars. This parking pad site was approved by Steve Wagner when he, Mary Montgomery (zoning) and Mr. Essling visited my property and together we developed the plan for parking these collector cars on my property (parking pad) at a cost of over \$5,000.00. I have the letter if you need to review it from the City of St. Paul. This was due to the my old parking pad site that the city had approved and used for thirteen years was deemed unacceptable by DSI supervisor Joe Essling.
3. The licensing of the cars is correct, as the "collector plates," as outlined by state law for vehicles 20 years or older, is the proper licensing of these vehicles. This was universally agreed upon by all concerned (St. Paul hearing officer, Minnesota Appellate Court and the Minnesota Supreme Court. The cars are properly licensed according to state law, as collector cars.
4. The cars are covered by a car cover to protect them from the weather. I would like to continue to cover them, as to protect them and these car covers would not be visible to the public, due to the new screening.
5. I will update the car license for the silver 2002 Buick that had expired tabs that were due in February 2018. BTW - My son will be taking this car in June, as his internship will be done. He is at the U of W (Stout) and is currently in Milwaukee, WI (packaging engineering).

6. The other car that is parked by the alley side (grass) is being moved on Wednesday evening. My friend wanted this car, so I gave it to him so there is peace out there. I am tired of all of the calls. As I have said before you have been very nice and I sincerely appreciate all you have done.

If the above is acceptable I can have this work done by Monday March 26, 2018 and be done with it once and for all and if given a date I could meet with you to go over a final inspection.

My wife and I are very tired of all of the calls to the city and just want this done. The snow letters were probably the last straw, as why live in an area where you are not wanted.

Please let me know.

Thanks,

John Krenik

---

From: Martin, Lisa (CI-StPaul) [lisa.martin@ci.stpaul.mn.us]  
Sent: Monday, March 19, 2018 8:20 AM  
To: Krenik, John (DOC)  
Subject: FW: Update on 1270 Cleveland

Good morning! We received a complaint on the vehicles parked in the grass. I am sending you a Correction Notice to address the vehicle issues. I am hoping we can resolve all the vehicle issues by 3/29/18. Feel free to contact me with any questions. I will be out of the office on Tuesday (3-20-18). You can reach me at 651-266-1940.

Have a great day!!

-----Original Message-----

From: Martin, Lisa (CI-StPaul)  
Sent: Wednesday, November 29, 2017 9:05 AM  
To: 'Krenik, John (DOC)' <john.krenik@state.mn.us>  
Subject: RE: Update on 1270 Cleveland

John,

I tried to leave you a message but your voice mail is full. I spoke with my manager and we discussed some options to assist you in gaining compliance so we can close the file. The option to put new plates on the cars instead of collector plates would work if the vehicles are drivable, and you would need to remove the fence and tarps. Perhaps you can call me on Friday morning so together we can put a plan in place? I will be out of the office until Friday. I look forward to working with you!

Please call me at 651-266-1940. Have a great day and I hope you feel better soon!!!

This email is intended to be read only by the intended recipient. This email may be legally privileged or protected from disclosure by law. If you are not the intended recipient, any dissemination of this email or any attachments is strictly prohibited, and you should refrain from reading this email or examining any attachments. If you received this email in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete this email and any attachments.

Thank you.