

From: michellejwanouskos@comcast.net [<mailto:michellejwanouskos@comcast.net>]

Sent: Thursday, December 13, 2018 2:25 PM

To: #CI-StPaul_Ward3; #CI-StPaul_Ward2; #CI-StPaul_Ward4; #CI-StPaul_Ward5; #CI-StPaul_Ward6; #CI-StPaul_Ward6; #CI-StPaul_Ward7; #CI-StPaul_Ward1; Attorney.General@ag.state.mn.us

Subject: Kare11 News Report

Dear Mr. Tolbert, Ms. Noecker, Ms. Nelson, Ms. Brendmoen, Mr. Bostrom, Ms. Prince, Mr. Thao, and Ms. Swanson,

This morning, I watched a Kare11 news report on how the St. Paul City Council is considering a proposal to legalize the recreational use of marijuana. This compelled me to write and share an article I read last September. The article was from the Denver Post, and written by their US attorney on the subject of what's happened in the 6 years since it was legalized.

It's quite the eye-opener, and clearly something some of you are not aware of. Their politicians too saw 'dollar signs', believing it would generate huge tax revenue. Unfortunately, not only did that happen, but the ramifications of their decision haven't boded well for the citizens of their state. This is why I'm including Ms. Swanson on this as the author states 'public safety' is the US Attorney General's responsibility.

If you don't bother to read this article, or you read it and continue to push for the legalization of recreational marijuana in this state, it will tell me you have little regard for the people you represent or any MN citizens for that matter. As the author puts it..."just say know". Educate yourselves. If you do, you will not make the same mistakes they made at the expense of the people in our state.

<https://www.denverpost.com/2018/09/28/colorado-marijuana-commercialization/?fbclid=IwAR0xPUNkt1Dxn196s0VYM0Q92eRCwISzTDs2EPxwiP4RNkif-xEuHcivcz4>

Here's the article in the event you don't want to click on the link above:

It's high time we took a breath from marijuana commercialization

By **BOB TROYER** | Guest Commentary
September 28, 2018 at 4:51 pm

In 2012 we were told Colorado would lead the nation on a grand experiment in commercialized marijuana. Six years later — with two major industry reports just released and the state legislature and Denver City Council about to consider

more expansion measures — it's a perfect time to pause and assess some results of that experiment.

Where has our breathless sprint into full-scale marijuana commercialization led Colorado?

Well, recent reports from the Rocky Mountain High Intensity Drug Trafficking Area, from Denver Health, from Energy Associates, from the Colorado Department of Revenue and from the City of Denver should be enough to give everyone in this race pause.

Now Colorado's youth use marijuana at a rate 85 percent higher than the national average. Now marijuana-related traffic fatalities are up by 151 percent. Now 70 percent of 400 licensed pot shops surveyed recommend that pregnant women use marijuana to treat morning sickness. Now an indoor marijuana grow consumes 17 times more power per square foot than an average residence. Now each of the approximately one million adult marijuana plants grown by licensed growers in Colorado consumes over 2.2 liters of water — per day. Now Colorado has issued over 40 little-publicized recalls of retail marijuana laced with pesticides and mold.

And now Colorado has a booming black market exploiting our permissive regulatory system — including Mexican cartel growers for that black market who use nerve-agent pesticides that are contaminating Colorado's soil, waters, and wildlife.

Marijuana commercialization has led Colorado to these places.

It also has led to Colorado's prominence in other states considering commercialization.

As the U.S. attorney leading other U.S. attorneys on marijuana issues, I have traveled the country and heard what people are saying about Colorado. Do they tout Colorado's tax revenue from commercialized marijuana? No, because there's been no net gain: marijuana tax revenue adds less than one percent to Colorado's coffers, which is more than washed out by the public health, public safety, and regulatory costs of commercialization.

Do they highlight commercialization's elimination of a marijuana black market? No, because Colorado's black market has actually exploded after commercialization: we have become a source-state, a theater of operation for sophisticated international drug trafficking and money laundering organizations from Cuba, China, Mexico, and elsewhere.

Do they promote our success in controlling production or containing marijuana within our borders? No, because last year alone the regulated industry produced 6.4 metric tons of unaccounted-for marijuana, and over 80,000 black market plants were found on Colorado's federal lands.

Does the industry trumpet its promised decrease in alcohol use? No, because Colorado's alcohol consumption has steadily climbed since marijuana commercialization. How about the industry's claim that marijuana will cure opioid addiction? No, a Lancet study found that heavy marijuana users end up with more pain and are more likely to abuse opioids.

Yet on that last point, the marijuana industry is trying to exploit our nation's opioid tragedy to push its own controlled substance as a panacea. Why? It's a profit opportunity.

Which is also how they see our youth. Which is why in Colorado they now sell marijuana-consumption devices that avoid detection at schools, like vape pens made to look like high-lighters and eye-liner.

These are the same marketers who advertise higher and higher potency marijuana gummi candy, marijuana suppositories, and marijuana "intimate creams." This aggressive marketing makes perfect sense in addiction industries like tobacco, alcohol, opioids, and marijuana. These industries make the vast majority of their profits from heavy users, and so they strive to create and maintain this user market. Especially when users are young and their brains are most vulnerable to addiction.

I'm not sure the 55 percent of Coloradans who voted for commercialization in 2012 thought they were voting for all this.

These impacts are why you may start seeing U.S. attorneys shift toward criminally charging licensed marijuana businesses and their investors. After all, a U.S. attorney is responsible for public safety.

My office has always looked at marijuana solely through that lens, and that approach has not changed. But the public safety impacts of marijuana in Colorado have. Now that federal enforcement has shot down marijuana grows on federal lands, the crosshairs may appropriately shift to the public harms caused by licensed businesses and their investors, particularly those who are not complying with state law or trying to use purported state compliance as a shield.

We should pause and catch our breath before racing off again at the industry's urging. Let's call it "just say know." Let's educate ourselves about the impacts of commercialization. Let's reclaim our right as citizens to have a say in Colorado's health, safety, and environment. Unfettered commercialization is not inevitable. You have a say.

Bob Troyer became the U.S. attorney for the District of Colorado in 2016 after working as first assistant U.S. attorney for six years.

Michelle Jwanouskos
Maplewood, MN

From: Mathew Meihofer <mathewmeihofer@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 13, 2018 3:04 PM
To: #CI-StPaul_Ward1 <Ward1@ci.stpaul.mn.us>
Subject: keep fighting for cannabis

Hey. My name is Mathew Meihofer I'm a 28 year old full time worker that came across a article in the papper about the legalization of Pot, and I just wanted you to know that as a young person I have some low petty charges on my record because it's still not legal, I choose not to drink, I work full time and pot is my choice of what I like to do to relax and for me to be treated like a criminal because of what I do is completely outrageous and wrong, please keep fighting hard to make it legal.. There are people like me that choose not to drink, and have full time jobs and we shouldn't be treated like criminals because of the things we do on the side.. Again please keep fighting hard to make it legal for the people that are responsible and good citizens of the city of Saint Paul.

Thank you
Mathew Meihofer

An anonymous caller wanted to express to Council that she dislikes the idea that you will be changing or replacing the artwork in chambers. It should stay as is, it's beautiful and it's a part of St. Paul's history. It's honoring people who are doing their jobs weather they are African American, white or Indian. Other people she has spoken to about the artwork agree with this opinion. You should ask St. Paul citizens what they want and leave the artwork as is.

She also disagrees with the recreational marijuana issue and about legalizing it.