

100 Washington Avenue South, Suite 1300
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55401
T (612) 337-6100 F (612) 339-6591
siegelbrill.com



DATE: February 6, 2012
TO: Meghan Kisch, Esq. FAX NO.: (651) 298-5619
FROM: Mark Thieroff
RE: 1038 Aurora Avenue; Our File No.: 26036-000
426 Oxford Street

PLEASE FIND 2 PAGES, INCLUDING THIS COVER.
IF YOU DID NOT RECEIVE ALL PAGES, PLEASE CONTACT Jayme Jackson AT (612) 337-6117.

FOR YOUR: Information Review Response

ORIGINAL TO FOLLOW BY MAIL: Yes No

The information contained in this facsimile message is attorney privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by telephone, and return the original message to us at the above address via the U.S. postal service.

COMMENTS:

T (612) 337-6100 F (612) 339 6591
100 Washington Ave S | Suite 1300
Minneapolis, MN 55401
siegelbrill.com

**SIEGEL
BRILL PA**
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

February 6, 2012

Via Facsimile (651) 298-5619 and U.S. Mail

Meghan Kisch, Esq.
Office of the City Attorney
400 City Hall
15 West Kellogg Blvd.
St. Paul, MN 55102

Re: 1038 Aurora Avenue; 426 Oxford Street
Our File No. 26036.000

Dear Ms. Kisch:

As you know, I represent Kingdom Pathways, the owner of the above-referenced properties.

I am writing to inform you that my client wishes to avail itself of a public hearing before the St. Paul City Council, as outlined in your January 25, 2011, letter. As I understand your letter, the basis for the recommended denial of the license application is a series of legal conclusions regarding the permitted uses of 426 Oxford Street and its eligibility for license. Accordingly, I do not see any facts to "admit," as referenced in the first paragraph of page 2 of your letter. If you believe there are disputed fact issues regarding this license appeal, please contact me immediately to discuss. In that case, you may treat this letter as a request for a hearing before an administrative law judge.

Your letter also refers to a "recommended penalty." I assume this is verbiage from a form letter that does not apply to this case involving the denial of a license application. Again, if I have misunderstood your letter, please contact me immediately to discuss.

Please let me know the date and time of the public hearing.

Thank you for your assistance.

Very truly yours,



Mark Thieroff

612-337-6102 | Direct
markthieroff@siegelbrill.com

cc. James Michaud (via email: jamesm@usfamily.net)