



# City of Saint Paul

15 West Kellogg Blvd.  
Saint Paul, MN 55102

## Minutes - Final

### Legislative Hearings

**Marcia Moermond, Legislative Hearing Officer**  
**Mai Vang, Hearing Coordinator**  
**Joanna Zimny, Executive Assistant**  
**legislativehearings@ci.stpaul.mn.us**  
**651-266-8585**

---

Tuesday, January 20, 2026

9:00 AM

Room 330 City Hall & Court House/remote

---

#### 9:00 a.m. Hearings

##### Special Tax Assessments

- 1 RLH TA 25-388** Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for property at 650 SIMS AVENUE (File No. 2507T, Assessment No. 259010) (Refer back to January 20, 2026 Legislative Hearing)

**Sponsors:** Yang

*Approve the assessment.*

*Mai Vang, owner, appeared via phone*

*[Moermond gives background of appeals process]*

*Moermond: your appeal says you thought you did it on your own and it was done. Forestry said there were a couple of dead branches high up and there was concern about them following on the neighboring property. Did you hire someone to go up high into the tree?*

*Vang: I know I went to tell him to handle it, I went there once with my husband. He's the one who cleaned up the place with my son. I will have to ask him for the details.*

*Moermond: I'll definitely look at any additional information you want to share. We have the before photo from May, and an after photo from December, and it looks like these are very high up branches. Easily 40 feet in the air.*

*Staff report by Supervisor Adam Strehlow: there are two branches in particular we estimate to be 3-4" in diameter which are well known to cause significant damage if they fall, especially from that height. That's roughly 40' in the air, give or take. There is equipment to get a more exact measurement, as that height it is kind of moot, as whether its 30 or 40 it would cause significant damage. It was over the garage and sidewalk of neighboring property. Those were the concerns in the abatement order.*

*Moermond: and that's done that way since it would damage the neighbor's property?*

*Strehlow: yes, if it wouldn't affect any neighboring properties we may reach out and suggest, but it only qualified for ordinance enforcement if it affects the neighbor.*

*Moermond: why is the owner responsible if the branches overhand the neighbor's property?*

*Strehlow: any branches that cross into the neighboring property can be trimmed by the neighbor, but since the trunk of the tree is solely within 650 Sims that means maintenance lies solely with them.*

*Moermond: I'm thinking you want to talk to your husband about what equipment he used?*

*Vang: I called some tree services and they called back and said they couldn't figure out why the City was so strict about that and didn't see the problem. Then I called Xcel to make sure everything is safe and they sent out someone. I got a phone call from the contractor saying they would go out and look into it and see if there's anything on their end they need to call. That's the last I heard. Then my husband went out and cleaned out the place and suddenly we got this. we weren't sure what is going on. We didn't see any difference in the tree. I'll have to talk to my husband on this. I know he took a couple days to haul the tree and branches away.*

*Moermond: why don't you do that and I can review any information. Right now, this has a Council Public Hearing date February 11th. Between now and then if you could get us any information it would be helpful.*

*Vang: yes, can you also send the before and after photos too?*

*Moermond: absolutely. There are two dead branches pretty high up in the air. I don't see any power lines through the tree.*

*Strehlow: the power lines are really low. You can see it in one of the photos, about the same height as the garage.*

*Vang: I have another tree with a power line and that's why I did call and make sure all of it had no issue.*

*Moermond: I'm looking at decreasing it some. I think you got bad advice, but you did have responsibility to review what the orders actually said and talk to the department about any confusion.*

**Referred to the City Council due back on 2/11/2026**

**2 RLH TA 25-391**

Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for property at 933 WOODBRIDGE STREET. (File No. J2601R, Assessment No. 268601) (Refer to January 20, 2026 Legislative Hearing)

**Sponsors:** Bowie

*Delete the assessment.*

*Moermond: this is a cleanup and it looks like the work was done June 30th, may be a trip charge, however we don't have any Department of Safety & Inspections orders or documentation for follow up so lacking that to support the assessment we will recommend deletion of the assessment.*

**Referred to the City Council due back on 2/4/2026**

**10:00 a.m. Hearings****Special Tax Assessments**

- 3 RLH TA 25-413** Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for property at 862 CLEAR AVENUE. (File No. J2601TW, Assessment No. 268701) (Refer to January 20, 2026 Legislative Hearing)

**Sponsors:** Yang

*Delete the assessment.*

*Moermond: we don't have any Department of Safety & Inspections orders or documentation for follow up due to the cyber -attack so lacking that to support the assessment, we will recommend deletion of the assessment.*

**Referred to the City Council due back on 2/4/2026**

- 4 RLH TA 26-16** Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for property at 705 DAYTON AVENUE. (File No. J2602E, Assessment No. 268301)

**Sponsors:** Bowie

*Delete the assessment.*

*Moermond: we don't have any Department of Safety & Inspections orders or documentation for follow up due to the cyber -attack so lacking that to support the assessment, we will recommend deletion of the assessment.*

**Referred to the City Council due back on 2/18/2026**

- 5 RLH TA 25-416** Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for property at 913 EARL STREET. (File No. J2601TW, Assessment No. 268701) (Refer to January 20, 2026 Legislative Hearing)

**Sponsors:** Yang

*Delete the assessment.*

*Moermond: we don't have any Department of Safety & Inspections orders or documentation for follow up due to the cyber -attack so lacking that to support the assessment, we will recommend deletion of the assessment.*

**Referred to the City Council due back on 2/4/2026**

- 6 RLH TA 26-13** Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for property at 1084 SELBY AVENUE. (File No. J2602TW, Assessment No. 268702)

**Sponsors:** Bowie

*Delete the assessment.*

*Moermond: we don't have any Department of Safety & Inspections orders or documentation for follow up due to the cyber -attack so lacking that to support the*

*assessment, we will recommend deletion of the assessment.*

**Referred to the City Council due back on 2/18/2026**

- 7      **RLH TA 26-17**      Ratifying the Appealed Special Tax Assessment for property at 435 VAN BUREN AVENUE. (File No. J2602E, Assessment No. 268301)

**Sponsors:**      Bowie

*Delete the assessment.*

*Moermond: we don't have any Department of Safety & Inspections orders or documentation for follow up due to the cyber -attack so lacking that to support the assessment, we will recommend deletion of the assessment.*

**Referred to the City Council due back on 2/18/2026**

## 11:00 a.m. Hearings

### Making Finding on Nuisance Abatements

- 8      **RLH SAO 25-69**      Making finding on the appealed nuisance abatement ordered for 2016 FREMONT AVENUE in Council File RLH SAO 25-55. (Refer to January 20, 2026 Legislative Hearing)

**Sponsors:**      Johnson

*The nuisance is abated and matter resolved.*

*Moermond: my understanding is both appeals for these are abated?*

*Supervisor Kedrowski: correct.*

*Moermond: deny the appeal noting it is in compliance for Summary Abatement Order 26-6 and the nuisance is abated and matter resolved.*

**Referred to the City Council due back on 2/4/2026**

### Summary & Vehicle Abatement Orders

- 9      **RLH SAO 26-6**      Appeal of Mark Puchala to a Vehicle Abatement Order at 2016 FREMONT AVENUE.

**Sponsors:**      Johnson

*Deny the appeal, noting the property is now in compliance.*

*Moermond: my understanding is both appeals for these are abated?*

*Supervisor Kedrowski: correct.*

*Moermond: deny the appeal noting it is in compliance for Summary Abatement Order 26-6 and the nuisance is abated and matter resolved.*

**Referred to the City Council due back on 2/4/2026**

**10      RLH SAO 26-5      Appeal of Danny Ballard to a Summary Abatement Order at 120 FLANDRAU PLACE.**

**Sponsors:**          Johnson

*Grant to March 6, 2026 for compliance.*

*Danny Ballard, owner, appeared*

*[Moermond gives background of appeals process]*

*Staff report by Supervisor Richard Kedrowski: Summary Abatement Order was issued for exercise equipment, trash and debris throughout the property. Appeal was filed before the resinspection date.*

*Ballard: my wife has some medical issues and has been in and out of the hospital the last few months, and I've been having issues with my joints, so we got behind financially and lost track of things since last summer. I just need 60 more days, so I can address my medical issues. My wife won't be able to help. I have a couple other people who live with us but I can't rely on them to help.*

*Moermond: we have a vehicle in the front and that isn't written up, and the other things are in the back?*

*Ballard: they're on the side, at the end of the driveway. It is organized.*

*Moermond: this looks like items, not garbage, is that correct?*

*Ballard: yeah, there's not trash or garbage.*

*Moermond: no food waste or things that will attract pets.*

*Ballard: most of the bags are leaves.*

*Moermond: can you deal with those sooner?*

*Ballard: now they have snow piled on top.*

*Moermond: how many leaf bags do you have?*

*Ballard: 5 or 6.*

*Moermond: I'm going to recommend an extension to March 6.*

*Ballard: that would be fine. I'm going to get rid of the car anyway. It has sat there for a year and I told her the City would eventually give her a time on that. I will include that personally in getting that area cleaned up.*

*Moermond: great, we have a plan of action.*

**Referred to the City Council due back on 2/4/2026**