

The City Clerk needs the following to process your appeal:

## APPLICATION FOR APPEAL

Date and Time of Hearing:

## RECEIVED

MAY 2 5 2010

CITY CLERK

## Saint Paul City Clerk

310 City Hall, 15 W. Kellogg Blvd. Saint Paul, Minnesota 55102 Telephone: (651) 266-8560

\$25 filing fee payable to the City of Saint Paul (if cash: receipt number 337844)  Copy of the City-issued orders or letter which are being appealed  Attachments you may wish to include  This appeal form completed	Tuesday, (2 1 10  Time 11:30 am  Location of Hearing: Room 330 City Hall/Courthouse
Address Being Appealed:	
Number & Street: 315 Lard St, City: S	ST Paul State: Mn Zip: 55/17
Appellant/Applicant: Sohn Norris	
Phone Numbers: Business <u>65/488-48/</u> Residence	645-927/ Cellular
Signature: Joh E Nova D39 Ef. Ligo dat in	Date:
Name of Owner (if other than Appellant):	
Address (if not Appellant's): (Same) City: _	
Phone Numbers: Business Residence 2218 Last St 55117 Doswell Ave 55108	Cellular
Specifically what is being appealed and why (use an attachm	ent if necessary):
Check all that apply:	Explanation of Appeal:
Vacate Orders/ Condemnation/	lear concise explanation as/ordas.
Revocation of Fire C of O	/ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	e exitator on Bldg, on ext. force.
	See occarp. sheets as forder -
Correction Orders	
□ Vacant Building Registration	
□ Summary/Vehicle Abatement Orders	
Other:	



CITY OF SAINT PAUL Christopher B. Coleman, Mayor

375 Jackson Street, Suite 220 Saint Paul, Minnesota 55101-1806 Telephone:

651-266-8989

Facsimile: ( Web: www.s

le: 651-266-8951 <u>www.stpaul.gov/dsi</u>

May 17, 2010

JOHN E. NORRIS E J LIQUIDATION 315 LARCH ST SAINT PAUL MN 5511

Briefly evolvate; Seems I'm penalized for be good at acquisition - freen mostly ambiguous reference to codes we can't access who real casts? Thoughts comply - How to delay 20 yrs?

NOTICE OF CONDEMNATION UNSAFE BUILDINGS ORDER TO VACATE

RE:

315 LARCH ST

Ref. # 16616

Dear Property Representative:

315 Larch Street was inspected on May 10, 2010.

The entire premises was found to be unsafe, unfit for human habitation, a public nuisance, a hazard to the public welfare or otherwise dangerous to human life. A Condemnation Placard has been posted on the building.

The following deficiency list must be completed or the buildings vacated by the re-inspection date.

A reinspection will be made on May 27, 2010 at 9:15am.

CONDEMNATIONS OF THE BUILDINGS REVOKE THE FIRE CERTIFICATES OF OCCUPANCY.

<u>Failure to complete the corrections may result in a criminal citation.</u> The Saint Paul Legislative Code requires that no building shall be occupied without a Fire Certificate of Occupancy.

315 Larch St. (ENTIRE COMPLEX) has been condemned due to the following conditions.

- -Property is a nuisance due to structural, fire, safety, and health hazards. (SPLC 45.03 (1), (4), (8), (9).
- Unapproved roofing and storage structures that appear unsafe and dangerous (MSFC 110.1.2)
- Unsafe conditions. Complex is lacking necessary egress, is dangerous to human life and public welfare, and is lacking maintenance and repair. (MSFC 110.1.1)
- Improper storage, handling and use of hazardous materials. (MSFC 2701, MSFC 3401, and NFPA 58)
- Excessive accumulation of materials. (MN Statue 299F.18)
- Disorderly storage of materials. (MSFC 315.2)

An Equal Opportunity Employer

## DEFICIENCY LIST

- 1. SPLC 34.23, MSFC 110.1 This occupancy is condemned as unsafe or dangerous. Premises can only be occupied to comply with these orders until re-inspected and approved by this office.
- 2. ROOF COVERINGS OVER THE COMPLEX SBC 3405.1, SBC 110.2 Obtain a structural engineer to complete a code analysis and have it reviewed by the St. Paul Building Official. Contact DSI at 651-266-9090. -Several structures have been erected and appear structurally unsound. Submit approved documentation to proof its structural integration or remove.
- 3. MSFC 1003.3.1.8 Remove unapproved locks from the exit doors. The door must be openable from the inside without the use of keys or special knowledge or effort. -All exit doors leading into the buildings and complex are barred or chained shut. All exits must be openable from the inside of the structures. epi doors? Bldg. outside fences. In Bldg. rear door spenable from the structures.
- 4. MSFC 1010.1, 1004.3.1 Provide and maintain a minimum of 28 inch aisles throughout employee only areas.
- 5. MSFC 1005.2 Provide additional means of egress due to an inadequate number of exits.

  Define who need ad
- 6. MSFC 2305.1 Provide and maintain structural integrity and stability of rack storage systems.
- 7. MSFC 2301.3 Submit plans and specifications to this office for approval of the installation of the rack storage systems.
- 8. MSFC 3404.3.3.3 Class 1, 2, and 3A Flammable/Combustible liquids must not be stored near or be allowed to obstruct physically the route of egress. Of an and of i esel cans Of but were 5 tored be allowed to obstruct physically the route of egress.
- 9. MSFC 3404.3 All Class 1 and 2 Flammable/Combustible liquid containers must be stored in the closed condition at all times except when in actual use.
- 10. SPLC 34.08 (6), 34.31 (4) Remove the accumulation of exterior storage that creates a nuisance or harbors rodents.
- 11. MSFC 315.3 Outside storage of combustible materials shall not be located within 10 feet of a property line. Separation can be reduced to three feet as long as the storage does not exceed six feet in height.
- 12. SPLC 34.08 (1), 34.31 (1) All exterior property areas shall be maintained free from any board reg cled accumulation of refuse, garbage or feces. 30yd. Dumpster on site for the Card board reg cled when load.
- 13. SPLC 34.09 (1) b,c, 34.32 (1) b,c Provide and maintain all exterior walls free from holes and deterioration. All wood exterior unprotected surfaces must be painted or protected from the elements and maintained in a professional manner free from chipped or peeling paint.
- 14. SPLC 34.09 (1) e, 34.32 (1) d Provide and maintained the roof weather tight and free from defects. -Office trailer has a visible leak. Rose to of attempted free from the control of attempted free from the control of the con
- 15. SPLC 34.09 (3), 34.32 (3) Repair and maintain the doors in good condition.

SPLC 310.02 - Use of this property does may no longer conform to licensing ordinance. Call DSI/Licensing at (651) 266-9090. hiso. says no changes serse incept in MSFC 803 - Wall and/or ceiling finishes exceed the code requirements for flame spread ratings. Remove or provide documentation of flame spread compliance. Tarps in use as dividers.

Our Tarps are Fresta series by Rnchon - Have built in Thank Retardency -18. SPLC 34.10 (2), 34.33 - Repair and maintain or remove the damaged or unapproved structures.

This will require a building permit; call DSI at (651) 266-9090. SPLC 34.10 (5), 34.33 (4), 34.16 - Provide and maintain interior in a clean and sanitary condition. 19. MSFC 315.2 - Provide and maintain orderly storage of materials.

de can find any thing I want i must be orderly.

MSFC 315.2 - Provide and maintain stable piles and stacks of materials - Doing as Stacks Stay

stacks 20. 21. 22. MN Stat 299F.18 - Immediately remove and discontinue the excessive accumulation of combustible materials. - unknown - year office walls which work of portal flame. 23. MSFC 2302.1 - Reduce and maintain storage height to 12 feet or less or provide approved high-piled storage protection. Well 11 6 + Racks accordingly MN Rules 1300.0180 - Immediately discontinue use of unsafe heating appliance until repaired or replaced by a licensed contractor. This work may require a permit(s). Call DSI at (651) 266-9090.

Furnace in the warehouse and used oil burner. There is no pollowing the course of because of gas for second secon MSFC 2703.4 - Provide Material Safety Data Sheets on all flammable and combustible liquids, hazardous materials, and chemicals on site. The sheets are to be kept on site in an orderly fashion in a readily accessible area. MSFC 3403.5 - Label all containers with product specific identification. -There are several 26. containers of unknown products throughout the complex. 27. MSFC 1011.2 - Remove the materials that cause an exit obstruction. Maintain a clear and unobstructed exitway. - Maintain clear aisles and pathways. - Lenhaps Insp. who have for exit are clear.

28. NFPA 58 - Reduce the propane within the maximum allowable quantities in accordance with NFPA 58. - 35 x20 - 700 # used 10 - tanks leaved for jab. MSFC 2703.3 - Hazardous materials in any quantity shall not be discharged or released on the sidewalk, street, highway, drainage canal, ditch, storm drain, sewer, flood-control channel, lake, river, or the ground. -Evidence of broken fluorescent bulbs and other unknown products on the ground. For an explanation or information on some of the violations contained in this report, please visit our web page at: http://www.ci.stpaul.mn.us/index.aspx?NID=211 You have the right to appeal these orders to the Legislative Hearing Officer. Applications for appeals may be obtained at the Office of the City Clerk, 310 City Hall, City/County Courthouse, 15 W Kellogg Blvd, Saint Paul MN 55102 Phone: (651-266-8688) and must be filed within 10 days of the date of the Caropy of ings original orders.

If you have any questions, email me at: adrian.neis@ci.stpaul.mn.us or call me at 651-266-8992 between 7:30 - 9:00 a.m. Please help make Saint Paul a safer place in which to live and work.

Sincerely,

A.J. Neis Fire Inspector Ref. # 16616

CC: Housing Resource Center
Force Unit
Jim Bloom, St. Paul Building Official
Philip Owens, Assistant Fire Marshal 266 8741.—
Steve Ubl, Building Inspector
Greg LaMere, Ramsey County Hazardous Waste
Larry Zangs, Project Facilitator
Dick Lippert, Deputy Director of Code Enforcement
Steve Magner, Code Enforcement Manager
Steve Zaccard, Fire Marshal

Bol Kisler - 266 8989

8. Appeal of John Norris to a Notice of Condemnation and Order to Vacate for property at <u>315</u> Larch Street.

John Norris Sr., appellant, appeared with his son, John Norris Jr. Joe Keller, Joe's Hot Dogs, appeared in support of the appellant. A.J. Neis and Leanna Shaff appeared on behalf of the department, DSI – Fire.

Inspector Neis stated that he first inspected the property on April 19, 2010 and found multiple code violations including unapproved roofing and storage structures; improper storage, handling and use of hazardous materials; excessive accumulation of materials; disorderly storage of materials; lacked necessary egress as there was only one exit from the building; and overall found it to be structurally unsafe and posing a fire danger. He re-inspected the property on May 10 and was able to gain access to areas he had been unable to gain entry on his inspection in April. He condemned the building due to the conditions found and placarded the building with a re-inspection scheduled for May 27. He conducted another inspection on May 27 and found that the property owner was working to address the deficiencies listed in the orders. He noted that Ramsey County Hazardous Waste also issued orders due to the hazardous conditions found at the property.

Mr. Norris Sr. stated that he didn't understand the orders which was why he filed the appeal. They were working on cleaning out the yard as well as the inside of the building. Ms. Moermond asked what type of business he conducted. Mr. Norris Sr. responded that he was a "green cycler" where he collected used electrical parts and would break down the components for resale. He said they were working to clean up the property but needed more time.

Mr. Norris Jr. stated that they had hired some additional staff to help clean out the building; however, staff needed more supervision and it was taking more time then he had expected. He said that the property had been burglarized through the roof which had been repaired on the exterior so it did not leak; however, they had not yet repaired the interior. They had also gotten two large dumpsters which they filled and removed and were getting two more. He requested a couple of months to comply with the orders.

Inspector Neis said that he found the conditions at the building posed a danger to firefighters to be able to navigate through the building as there was only one exit in and out. The roofing structure also posed a serious danger as there was one structure covering three separate buildings. Awnings were also installed without permits being pulled. He also found that the materials being stored could fall and injure anyone occupying the building.

Ms. Shaff stated that the property owner would need to have an engineer review the safety issues with the structure of the buildings concerning appropriate egress; the roofing structure would also need to be reviewed by an engineer and the building official would need to conduct a separate review.

Mr. Norris Sr. responded that he had contacted two engineers to come and look at the property and was waiting to schedule a time. He said he objected to the number of inspections that had been conducted with photographs being taken and the fact that the police were present which he believed was hurting his business.

Ms. Moermond asked Mr. Norris Sr. what his customer base was and whether he was the sole owner of the business. Mr. Norris Sr. responded that he and his wife owned the business and he had approximately 25,000 customers which included the City of St. Paul, the school district and electricians who would buy his used parts. Ms. Moermond asked for an explanation of the police presence at the property.

Inspector Neis explained that at his initial inspection in April, he met with Mr. Norris Sr. who became very belligerent, loud and confrontational when he had two other people basically corner him in the building with only one escape route. He was aware that Mr. Norris Sr. had a permit to carry a gun and also hired shady characters from off the street. He requested the police accompany him on further inspections of the property as a precaution and said Mr. Norris Sr. also became extremely belligerent when he took photographs of the property. On the last inspection when Mr. Norris Jr. was present, he seemed to be more reasonable where he did not feel threatened.

Ms. Moermond responded that from what was described, she believed police presence was warranted for the inspections. She asked Mr. Norris Sr. whether he would be willing to allow Jr. to handle working with the inspector for future inspections. Mr. Norris Sr. responded that they could all attempt to work together but acknowledged that Jr. was more the voice of reason than he was as he tended to blow things up.

Ms. Moermond reviewed the photographs of the conditions found at the property from the May 10 inspection. She suggested Inspector Neis write an explanation of the violations on the photographs for the Norris' so that they could understand what work needed to be done. She recommended denying the appeal and indicated that customers were not allowed inside the building. Any business would have to be conducted at least three yards away from the building only if the license permitted for an extension of service of the business.